Camouflage and interception: How pathogens evade detection by intracellular nucleic acid sensors

Leonie Unterholzner^{1, 2} and Jessica F. Almine¹

- Division of Biomedical and Life Sciences, Faculty of Health and Medicine, Lancaster University, LA1 4YQ, UK
- 2 Correspondence: l.unterholzner@lancaster.ac.uk

Abstract

Intracellular DNA and RNA sensors play a vital part in the innate immune response to viruses and other intracellular pathogens, causing the secretion of type I interferons, cytokines and chemokines from infected cells. Pathogen RNA can be detected by RIG-I-like receptors in the cytosol, while cytosolic DNA is recognised by DNA sensors such as cyclic GMP-AMP synthase (cGAS). The resulting local immune response, which is initiated within hours of infection, is able to eliminate many pathogens before they are able to establish an infection in the host. For this reason, all viruses, and some intracellular bacteria and protozoa, need to evade detection by nucleic acid sensors. Immune evasion strategies include the sequestration and modification of nucleic acids, and the inhibition or degradation of host factors involved in innate immune signalling. Large DNA viruses, such as herpesviruses, often use multiple viral proteins to inhibit signalling cascades at several different points; for instance Herpes Simplex Virus 1 (HSV-1) targets both DNA sensors cGAS and IFI16, as well as the adaptor protein STING and other signalling factors in the pathway. Viruses with a small genome enocde only few immunomodulatory proteins, but these are often multifunctional, such as the NS1 protein from Influenza A virus which inhibits RNA sensing in multiple ways. Intracellular bacteria and protozoa can also be detected by nucleic acid sensors. However, as the type I interferon response is not always beneficial for the host under these circumstances, some bacteria subvert, rather than evade, these signalling cascades for their own gain.

Key words:

immune evasion, interferon, DNA sensing, RIG-I like receptors, viruses, intracellular pathogens.

Introduction

Our innate immune system provides a rapid, if relatively non-specific, response to invading pathogens. The cornerstones of innate immunity are the pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), which detect pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) during infection. PAMPs are key molecular features shared by classes of pathogens that are usually absent from healthy cells, and include for instance bacterial or fungal cell wall components, or viral nucleic acids which would not usually be found in the host cell. PAMPs can be recognised *in trans* when phagocytes detect engulfed pathogens or debris from infected cells *via* Toll-like receptors (TLRs) on their cell surface or inside endosomes. In addition, many cell types can also recognise when they have been infected themselves by an intracellular pathogen using intracellular PRRs that constantly survey the cell's contents for signs of infection. Intracellular PRRs raise the alarm either after sensing the presence of PAMPs directly, or after

detecting the indirect effects of infection or tissue damage as danger-associated molecular pattern (DAMP)¹.

Intracellular nucleic acid sensors are PRRs that can perform both those functions: they can recognise pathogen-derived DNA or RNA species inside the cell, and also detect nucleic acids as danger signal, for instance when infection leads to the leakage of mitochondrial DNA or RNA into the cytosol. Intracellular nucleic acid receptors are particularly important in the detection of viruses, which lack many other conspicuous features such as the unique cell wall components of bacteria or protozoa. However, nucleic acids are starting to emerge as key mediators during the innate immune response to a range of pathogens, including intracellular bacteria and protozoa.

In this review we will focus on the intracellular DNA and RNA sensors that induce a transcriptional response leading to the production of interferons, cytokines and chemokines. The rapidly inducible transcriptional program is a key factor in the early elimination of pathogens and for the initiation of appropriate local immune responses that ultimately determines the outcome of an infection. For this reason, it can be assumed that all pathogens need to evade or subvert the host's innate immune responses in order to be able to establish an infection. Here, we will describe the strategies that intracellular pathogens use to hide from the cell's nucleic acid receptors, and to disable or subvert their function. Ultimately, the activation of signalling cascades by nucleic acid sensors and many other PRRs converges on the activation of transcription factors such as NF- κ B and IRF3. Many pathogens also block innate immune responses at the level of these downstream signalling nodes, or by inhibiting the function of interferons and cytokines after they have been secreted, and we refer the reader to further reviews on this topic²⁻⁴

Detection of pathogens by RIG-I-like receptors (RLRs)

The RIG-I-like receptors retinoic-acid inducible gene I (RIG-I) and melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5 (MDA5) recognise exogenous RNA in the cytosol of infected cells. RIG-I detects short stretches of dsRNA as well as RNA species containing a triphosphate or diphosphate moiety at their 5' end, and MDA5 recognises long dsRNA molecules and higher order aggregates of viral dsRNA⁵. While the cytosol contains abundant cellular RNA molecules, these are not detected by RLRs. mRNAs contain a m7-guanosine cap at their 5' end, tRNAs are cleaved to generate a 5' monophosphate, and both tRNAs and rRNAs contain modifications which prevent recognition by RIG-I⁵. dsRNA regions, e.g. in stem loops of endogenous RNA molecules are usually short and contain frequent mismatches, further enhanced by ADAR1-mediated conversion of adenosine to inosine (A->I editing)⁶. In this way, RLRs are able to detect exogenous RNA molecules that may be present during infection in the presence of an abundance of cellular RNA in the cytosol.

Following detection of specific RNA ligands in the cytosol, RIG-I and MDA5 undergo posttranslational modifications such as dephosphorylation and polyubiquitylation⁷ and signal via their caspase activation and recruitment domains (CARD) to the CARD domain-containing adaptor MAVS (mitochondrial anti-viral signalling protein), nucleating the assembly of prion-like MAVS filaments⁸. This results in the MAVS-mediated activation of the transcription factors IRF3 and NF-κB that co-operate in the activation of the IFN-β promoter. RLR signalling is further regulated by the addition of K48-linked and K-11 linked ubiquitin chains, which mediate the degradation of RIG-I and MAVS^{7,9} Termination of RLR signalling is also achieved by RIG-I phosphorylation preventing the ubiquitination of CARD domains¹⁰ and MAVS dephosphorylation which impedes interaction with downstream signalling proteins¹¹ To prevent spurious activity, RIG-I and MAVS exist in an autoinhibitory

state facilitated by the phosphorylation and sequestration of CARD domains in RIG-I^{12,13} and the repression of signalling motifs by adjacent regions in MAVS¹⁴. Negative regulation of signalling is important to ensure that an innate immune response is transient, and does not cause extensive tissue damage.

RLRs have been implicated in the detection of many viruses, as well as other intracellular pathogens. Most viral RNA polymerases generate transcripts with 5' triphosphate ends and many viruses also generate dsRNA during their life cycle. Thus, both RIG-I and MDA5 are predicted to be able to detect the dsRNA genomes of reoviruses and the dsRNA replicative intermediates of the +ve strand ssRNA viruses of the Flaviviridae and Coronaviridae families¹⁵. RIG-I can also detect the short dsRNA panhandle structures containing a 5' triphosphate from -ve strand RNA viruses, such as Influenza A virus and Sendai virus^{16,17}, and RNA with a 5' diphosphate ends, such as the genome of reoviruses¹⁸. MDA5, on the other hand, is specifically required for the detection of picornaviruses, that contain protein-capped +ve stranded RNA genomes, and generate long, structured dsRNA intermediates that can be detected by MDA5, but not RIG-I¹⁹⁻²². MDA5 also specifically detects ssRNA viruses of the *Calicivirdae* family, such as norovirus^{23,24}. Several DNA viruses are also sensed by the RLRs, including herpes simplex virus 1, adenovirus, Modified Vaccinia virus Ankara and Epstein-Barr virus²⁵⁻²⁹ This is thought to be due to the convergent transcription of adjacent open reading frames generating dsRNA, and the transcription of structured RNAs by viral polymerases. RNA sensing can also contribute to the detection of intracellular bacteria, including Legionella pneumophila, Salmonella typhimurium and Shigella flexneri³⁰⁻³². Furthermore, RNA sensors can also be activated by cellular RNA as positive feedback loop during infection: RNA fragments generated by the interferon-stimulated gene (ISG) RNaseL, unmasked ribosomal RNA transcripts or mitochondrial RNA that leaks into the cytosol can also be detected by RLRs^{25,33,34}.

Detection of exogenous DNA and cyclic di-nucleotides

Many mammalian cell types can also detect exogenous DNA. Bacterial, viral or synthetic dsDNA, and even dsDNA isolated from mammalian cells, can be sensed, if it gains access to the cytosol and is over 40-50 bp in length⁵. The key sensor of cytosolic DNA that induces an interferon response is the enzyme cyclic GMP-AMP synthase (cGAS), which upon dsDNA binding catalyses the production of the second messenger 2'3'-cyclic-GMP-AMP (cGAMP)³⁵. cGAMP then binds to the adaptor protein stimulator of interferon genes (STING), causing a conformational change in the STING dimers³⁶. This is linked to the activation of STING, its translocation from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) to peri-nuclear signalling foci, and the association with TBK1 and IRF3³⁶⁻³⁸. TBK1 phosphorylates STING at Serine 366, which, in analogy to MAVS signalling, leads to the recruitment of IRF3³⁷. STING function is further regulated by palmitoylation, and modification with K63-, K48-, K11- and K27-linked ubiquitin chains, and degradation by autophagy⁷.

Additional DNA binding proteins have been proposed to function as DNA sensors 39 . As cGAS is essential for the response to cytosolic DNA in most systems investigated so far, any other proposed DNA sensors may synergise with cGAS as co-receptors or co-factors, in order to further amplify the response or to provide an additional layer of specificity. This has been shown for the DNA binding protein interferon- γ -inducible protein 16 (IFI16), which co-operates with cGAS in the activation of STING in human cells 40,41 . In human cells, IFI16 may also be able to detect viral DNA in the nucleus, possibly by recognising unchromatinised ("naked") stretches of DNA to distinguish viral DNA from our own chromatin $^{42-44}$. Another member of the PYHIN family, AIM2, has also been shown to detect DNA $^{45-47}$. However, its downstream signalling diverges from IFI16 as it triggers inflammasome formation and IL-1 β production. Other DNA sensors include DNA-dependent

activator of IFN-regulatory factors (DAI)⁴⁸, DNA-dependent protein kinase (DNA-PK)⁴⁹ DExD/H-box helicases DHX9 and DHX36⁵⁰, DDX41⁵¹ and MRE11⁵². While several of these proteins have been observed to be important for the induction of an interferon response after detection of cytosolic DNA, their molecular role in the context of the cGAS-STING signalling axis remains to be devermined.

The cytosolic DNA sensing pathway has been shown to be crucial for the detection of many different DNA viruses and retroviruses^{53,54}. Surprisingly, some RNA viruses such as Dengue virus also engage the DNA sensing machinery by causing mitochondrial damage, resulting in the release of mitochondrial DNA into the cytosol⁵⁵. STING-dependent DNA sensing has also shown to be involved in the detection of DNA from protozoa such as *Plasmodium falciparum* and intracellular bacteria including *Mycobacterium tuberculosis and Listeria monocytogenes*⁵⁶⁻⁵⁹.

During bacterial infection, the DNA sensing adaptor STING has a second function and can act as a PRR in its own right. STING can sense bacterial signalling molecules such as cyclic di-GMP and cyclic di-AMP^{60,61}. Like cGAMP, these cyclic dinucleotides can bind and activate STING and induce the production of type I interferons, which has been observed e.g. during infection with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis and Listeria monocytogenes*⁶¹⁻⁶³. Thus bacteria can activate a STING-dependent innate immune response by two different means, even though the resulting production of type I interferons is not always beneficial for the host, but instead can serve as an immune diversion strategy for some bacteria^{63,64}.

Immune evasion strategies employed by intracellular pathogens

Pathogens and their hosts co-evolve, and there are clear signs of selective pressure on host factors such as DNA and RNA sensors on one hand and pathogen-encoded immune evasion proteins on the other 65-67. Immunomodulatory proteins and virulence factors are finely tuned to adapt to the host range and life cycle of the pathogen. The precise interplay between host and pathogen factors is often unique, and can differ in different host organisms, cell types and amongst even closely related pathogens. However, mapping these host-pathogen interactions at a molecular level can provide clues about the importance of host signalling cascades, can help us understand changes in species specificity and virulence of emerging pathogens, and aid in the design of vaccine vectors. As PRR signalling pathways are being characterised in more molecular detail, the intricate counter-strategies employed by pathogens to evade recognition are also becoming apparent. Some general principles by which intracellular pathogens hide from detection or intercept the cell's signalling cascades are described below.

1. Sequestration of PAMPs

Pathogens that reside inside host cells are already sheltered from the immune system to some extent, by avoiding exposure to antibodies or complement components. However, due to the existence of intracellular PRRs, additional camouflage strategies are essential for the establishment of infection. Intracellular bacteria and protozoan parasites often co-opt vacuoles as a niche for replication, which prevents pathogen-derived nucleic acids and other PAMPs from being exposed to the cell's PRRs. Thus, detection of intracellular nucleic acids during bacterial infection often requires the presence of bacterial secretion systems. For instance, the type VII secretion system ESX from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* is responsible for the exposure of bacterial DNA to cGAS in macrophages, but also secretes virulence factors that interfere with host functions^{56,68}. Viruses require greater access to host factors for replication than bacteria, but also try to shield their nucleic acids from detection. Some RNA viruses, including Dengue virus and Hepatitis C viruses (HCV) sequester their genome and replication machinery in

membrane-bound compartments, which function to create locally high concentration of replication factors, as well as hiding viral RNA genomes from recognition by RIG-I^{69,70}. HIV-1 carries out reverse transcription and replication of its genome inside its capsid, which contains selective pores to allow entry for nucleotides from the host cell, while shielding viral DNA from recognition by cGAS⁷¹.

2. Modification of viral RNA and DNA

Many pathogens aim to keep PAMP levels at a minimum: coronaviruses for instance quickly degrade any excessive dsRNA formed during the viral life cycle⁷², and Group B Streptococcus degrades cyclic di-AMP using an ectonuclease⁷³. However, the production of nucleic acid PAMPS cannot be avoided altogether, and thus more sophisticated strategies of camouflage have evolved, so that the pathogen can blend in to the cellular environment.

As viral RNA polymerases generate RNA species with a 5' triphosphate moiety, many viruses employ additional strategies to modify their RNA, so that it is not recognised efficiently by RIG-I. For instance, Picornaviridae, Caliciviridae and Astroviridae covalently attach a protein, Vpg, to the 5' end of viral RNAs, which prevents RIG-I-mediated recognition¹⁵. Processing of the -ve strand ssRNA genome of members of the Bornaviridae and Bunyaviridae families involves the cleavage of the first nucleotide by a viral endonuclease to generate a monophosphate group at the 5' end⁷⁴. Viruses that transcribe their mRNAs in the nucleus, including the DNA viruses of the Herpesviridae and Papillomaviridae families and retroviruses such as HIV, co-opt the cell's own capping machinery to protect the 5' end of their mRNAs with a 7-methylguanosine cap, while others, including Influenza A virus, use "cap snatching" to remove the capped 5' ends from cellular mRNAs and incorporate them into viral RNA transcripts⁷⁵. Many viruses, including poxviruses and flaviviruses, encode their own capping enzymes that synthesise RNA caps which are indistinguishable from the mammalian cap structure⁷⁵. Yellow Fever virus in addition recapitulates the 2'O-methylation of the first RNA nucleotide next to the cap, which is found in cellular mRNAs and further decreases recognition by RIG-I⁷⁶. Bacteria and protozoa also cap their mRNAs, but not always with 100% efficiency. RNA derived from intracellular bacteria can activate the RNA sensing pathway if it reaches the cytosol, but it remains to be determined whether this is important during infection".

So far, it is not known whether DNA modifications could prevent recognition by cGAS or other DNA sensors. It has been proposed that IFI16 may be able to distinguish viral DNA from the cell's own genome by recognising longer (>40nt) stretches of free DNA^{43,44}, and the detection of DNA by cGAS has also been shown to be length-dependent⁷⁸. It remains to be tested whether the assembly of nucleosomes on the genomes of DNA viruses such as herpesviruses and papillomaviruses could be a strategy to limit their detection by intracellular DNA sensors.

3. Degradation and inhibition of host signalling factors

All intracellular pathogens encode virulence factors that interfere with the host's signalling cascades. Viral immunomodulatory proteins are usually expressed as immediate early genes, or are even part of the viral particle, so that they are able to inhibit the host's signalling cascades as soon as PAMPs are detected early in infection. Intracellular bacteria employ secretion systems to transport effector proteins through the vacuolar and bacterial membranes into the host cell, while protozoans can secrete virulence factors via exosomes or other membrane-bound vesicles. A vast repertoire of virulence factors that influence the cell's innate immune signalling cascades have been described, particularly in viruses, which often dedicate a large portion of their genome to interfering with the host's innate immune

response. While many viruses encode only few proteins, these are often multifunctional and target several key host factors. Large DNA viruses, such as poxviruses and herpesviruses, encode a larger repertoire of dozens of immunomodulatory proteins which interfere with anti-viral signalling cascades in the cell at multiple points^{79,80}. Bacteria and protozoa encode thousands of genes, and thus have an even greater capacity to interfere with host functions, but comparatively few immune evasion proteins have been described so far, with many pathogenencoded effector proteins still uncharacterised^{3,4,64}.

Many pathogens have evolved similar strategies to inhibit the innate immune signalling cascades in the host cell: virulence factors act to either eliminate host signalling factors by degradation, sequester them or block their function in the signalling cascade. As we learn more about the regulation of innate immune signalling cascades that detect pathogens, more examples of counter-strategies employed by pathogens also emerge.

3.1 Degradation and inhibition of RNA sensors

Since the discovery of the intracellular RNA sensing system, many immune evasion proteins that target RLRs or MAVS have been identified (see figure 1), mostly in viruses with an RNA genome, for which RIG-I and/or MDA5 are the key PRRs during infection. Some viral proteases cleave RNA sensors, with several picornaviruses utilising their 3C protease to cleave RIG-I, and the 2A protease to cleave MDA5⁸¹⁻⁸³. The leader protease L^{pro} from foot and mouth disease virus (FMDV) also cleaves Lpg2, a co-factor for MDA5 activation⁸⁴. Some viruses modify RLRs with K48-linked poly-ubiquitin chains, diverting the RNA sensors for degradation by the cell's proteasome. Toscana virus (TOSV) NSs and the rotavirus (RV) NSP1 protein cause the degradation of RIG-I^{85,86}, while West Nile virus (WNV) NS1 targets both RIG-I and MDA5⁸⁷. RIG-I mRNA expression and translation can also be inhibited during viral infection: For instance, Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV) expresses the virus-encoded microRNA miR-BART6-3p which targets RIG-I mRNA⁸⁸, and Hepatitis B virus (HBV) induces the cellular microRNA miR146a that inhibits RIG-I expression⁸⁹.

Even under conditions where the expression of RIG-I and MDA5 is intact, the RNA sensors can be prevented from carrying out their signalling function by viral proteins that bind to them. The herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV-1) protein UL37 interacts with RIG-I and causes the deamidation of its helicase domain, which renders RIG-I unable to sense RNA ligands⁹⁰. Another HSV-1 protein, US11 inhibits RNA sensing by associating with the dsRNA binding protein PACT, which potentiates RNA-induced responses^{91,92}. PACT is also targeted by Influenza A virus (IAV) NS1, the VP35 protein from Ebolavirus (EBOV) and the 4a protein from Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS) coronavirus⁹³⁻⁹⁵. Many of the PACT-interacting proteins also bind dsRNA, so they likely shield the viral RNA from detection while at the same time inactivating PACT and RNA sensors.

The activity of RIG-I and MDA5 is tightly regulated in the cell: In the absence of infection, the CARD domains of the RNA sensors is kept in a phosphorylated state, and activation involves their dephosphorylation catalysed by protein phosphatase 1 (PP1)⁹⁶. RIG-I activity is further enhanced by the assembly of K63-linked poly-ubiquitin chains catalysed by the E3 ubiquitin ligases TRIM25 and Riplet which release RIG-I from its autoinhibited state^{97,98}. Given the importance of these post-translational modifications, it is not surprising that many viruses have evolved ways of inhibiting these regulatory mechanisms. For instance, measles virus utilises an elaborate signalling strategy involving the activation of the C-type lectin DC-SIGN to inhibit the phosphatase PP1, thus keeping the RLRs phosphorylated and inactive⁹⁹. The E3 ubiquitin ligase Riplet is inhibited by the multifunctional IAV NS1 protein¹⁰⁰ and cleaved by the NS3/4A protease from HCV¹⁰¹. Multiple viruses also inhibit TRIM25, including again IAV NS1, the SARS coronavirus N protein, the V

protein from various paramyxoviruses, BPLF1 from EBV and the E6 protein from human papillomavirus (HPV) 16 ¹⁰²⁻¹⁰⁷. The subgenomic flavivirus RNA (sfRNA) from Dengue virus can also bind and inactivate TRIM25 in an RNA sequence-specific manner¹⁰⁸. RIG-I ubiquitylation by TRIM25 is also inhibited by the bacterial quorum sensing molecule cyclo(Phe-Pro) from the opportunistic pathogen *Vibrio vulnificus*. Cyclo(Phe-Pro) specifically binds to RIG-I and prevents it from being ubiquitylated by TRIM25¹⁰⁹, possibly providing a link between bacterial infection and susceptibility to co-infection with viruses.

A multitude of viral immunomodulators prevent RIG-I and MDA5 from interacting with its adaptor protein MAVS, thus blocking the nucleation event that allows MAVS to form higher order signalling assemblies. Dengue virus NS3 binds 14-3-3 ϵ to prevent the translocation of RIG-I to MAVS¹¹⁰. The interaction between RLRs and MAVS is also disrupted by the picornavirus 3C^{pro} proteases, the Z and N proteins of some arenaviruses, NS2 from respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), NS1 from Influenza A virus, NSP1 from rotavirus and US11 from HSV-1^{16,86,111-114}.

MAVS is also targeted directly by many viruses. MAVS-interacting proteins include the metapneumovirus protein M2-2¹¹⁵, the NS4A proteins of Zika and Dengue viruses^{116,117}, viral IRF1 (vIRF1) from human herpesvirus 8 (HHV8)¹¹⁸ and ORF9b from SARS coronavirus¹¹⁹. MAVS is also diverted for proteasome-mediated degradation by the Hepatitis B virus (HBV) X protein¹²⁰, and is cleaved by the HCV protease NS3/4A, which releases it from the mitochondria and other intracellular membranes to disrupt signalling¹²¹⁻¹²⁴. The US9 protein from HSV-1 causes MAVS to leak from the mitochondria by disrupting the mitochondrial membrane potential¹²⁵, and the IAV protein PB1-F2 decreases mitochondrial membrane potential causing mitochondrial fragmentation^{126,127}. Disrupting mitochondrial physiology is common mechanism to block MAVS signalling. Infection with Dengue and Zika viruses causes mitochondrial elongation which also blocks MAVS function¹²⁸. The sustained interferon production caused by MAVS signalling from the peroxisomes is inhibited by the MIA protein from hCMV and the VP16 protein from HSV-1^{118,129,130}.

While there are abundant examples of inhibition of RNA sensing by pathogens, and in particular viruses, there is one instance where a pathogen actually enhances signalling by RIG-I and MDA5. The food-borne pathogen *Salmonella typhimurium* utilises its effector protein SopA, an E3 ubiquitin ligase, to ubiquitylate the host proteins TRIM56 and TRIM65, which in turn promote RIG-I and MDA5 signalling ^{131,132}. This is an example of subversion, rather than evasion, of the interferon response, in line with the observation that the production of type I interferons can be advantageous to the pathogen, rather than the host, during some bacterial infections ⁶⁴.

3.2 Degradation and inhibition of DNA sensors

Even though the cGAS/STING DNA sensing pathway was only discovered relatively recently, several viral and bacterial virulence factors that target this pathway have already been discovered. The nuclear DNA viruses human cytomegalovirus (hCMV), HSV-1 and Kaposi's Sarcoma-associated Herpesvirus (KSHV) all target several key DNA sensing factors to prevent the detection of their genomic DNA. HSV-1 utilises its ICP27 protein to inhibit STING¹³³. In addition, the E3 ubiquitin ligase ICP0 to cause the degradation of IFI16 protein, and the virion host shutoff protein UL41 promotes the turnover of the mRNAs encoding IFI16 and cGAS^{42,134,135}. In addition, the HSV-1 virion protein VP22 interacts with cGAS and inhibits its enzymatic activity¹³⁶ and also interacts with AIM2 and blocks its oligomerisation¹³⁷. HSV-1 tegument protein UL37, which targets and deamidates RIG-I, also deamidates cGAS, impairing cGAMP synthesis¹³⁸. The deployment of different virulence factors to target several components of the DNA sensing pathway may be necessary to block the pathway more efficiently, and/or to inhibit some non-overlapping functions of

cGAS and IFI16 which have been observed during herpesvirus infection¹³⁹. Analogously, several different hCMV proteins inhibit DNA sensing: pUL31 binds to cGAS and dissociates it from DNA¹⁴⁰, pUL83 inhibits both cGAS and IFI16¹⁴¹⁻¹⁴³, pUL82 inhibits STING translocation¹⁴⁴, IE2 causes STING degradation¹⁴⁵, and US9 inactivates both MAVS and STING¹²⁵. Furthermore, pUL83 binds AIM2 and facilitates the degradation of AIM2-driven inflammasomes¹⁴⁶. A similar multi-pronged approach has also be observed for the gammaherpesvirus KSHV¹⁴⁷⁻¹⁵⁰. STING is also inhibited by oncoproteins from the nuclear DNA viruses human Adenovirus (hAD) and HPV¹⁵¹, and by Vaccinia virus (VACV), a DNA virus that resides in the cytosol¹⁵². VACV also inhibits DNA-PK, using its virulence factor C16 to bind the Ku70/Ku80 subunits of the DNA-PK complex¹⁵³. Mouse cytomegalovirus (mCMV) viral inhibitor of RIP activation (vIRA) targets another proposed DNA sensor, DAI, to prevent the induction of programmed necrosis upon viral infection¹⁵⁴.

Surprisingly, not only DNA viruses, but also some RNA viruses have been found to antagonise STING - even though they do not produce DNA or cyclic dinucleotides during their replication cycle. It has been reported that STING can function in a cGAS-independent manner during the detection of viral membrane fusion, for instance during infection with IAV¹⁵⁵. This non-canonical STING signalling pathway is antagonised by the IAV fusion peptide (FP)¹⁵⁶. Flaviviruses, enveloped viruses with a positive stranded ssRNA genome, also inhibit STING-dependent DNA sensing. Viral NS2B proteases encoded by Dengue virus, Zika virus, West Nile Virus and Japanese encephalitis virus cleave human STING protein, but not its mouse orthologue^{157,158}. The dengue virus NS2B cofactor also targets cGAS for degradation⁵⁵. This prevents the activation of an innate immune response after the release of mitochondrial DNA, which occurs during Dengue virus infection^{55,159}, highlighting the breadth of pathogen classes that can be detected directly or indirectly by the DNA sensing pathway.

While the production of type I interferons is not always an effective strategy to limit bacterial infections, the activation of STING by bacterial DNA or cyclic dinucleotides can also promote autophagy to clear bacterial from the infected cells. For this reason, some intracellular bacteria also inhibit STING⁶². For instance, the *Shigella* effector protein IpaJ inhibits STING translocation from the ER to ERGIC³⁸, and the *Yersinia* YopJ protein also blocks STING trafficking and causes its deubiquitylation¹⁶⁰. *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* secretes the phosphodiesterase ENPP1, which degrades cGAMP and bacterial cyclic di-nucleotides, thus inhibiting both DNA- and CDN-induced STING activation¹⁶¹. Group A Streptococcus, *S. pyogenes*, subverts, rather than evades, STING signalling: it utilises its M protein to activate STING, resulting in the production of the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 downstream of type I interferon signalling¹⁶². In this way, the bacterium exploits the reciprocal antagonism between the interferon response and inflammation, and shapes the immune response to favour the pathogen, rather than the host.

Concluding remarks

All pathogens, having co-evolved with their hosts, employ elaborate strategies to strike a fine balance between the requirements of their own life cycle, the evasion of host defences, and effects on pathology for the host, which can influence further transmission and thus the evolutionary fitness of a pathogen. As in so many fields in biology, much can be learnt from insights into the host-pathogen interactions that interfere with our cell's defences. Immunomodulatory proteins from pathogens can point us to their targets, which are often key signalling nodes that play a role during infection. While immune evasion has long been studied in human cells and mouse models, the investigation of innate immune signalling in reservoir hosts such as bats or birds, is an emerging field of research. The variation of these interactions during

pathogen evolution often underlies the ability of pathogens to cross species boundaries or to adopt new traits in transmission or virulence during emerging infections. Knowing more about the functions of individual immunomodulatory proteins is also crucial for the rational development of vaccine vectors, as for instance the removal of individual immune evasion factors can aid safety and immunogenicity of a live vaccine. As is it becoming increasingly clear that nucleic acid PAMPs and their PRRs also perform key roles during sterile inflammation, autoimmunity and even cancer treatment, the lessons we learn from our pathogens may ultimately have applications for the treatment and prevention of both infectious and non-infectious diseases that involve the immune system.

Acknowledgements

Our work is funded by the Medical Research Council (Career Development Award MR/K00655X/1), the European Commission (MC-CIG631718) and North West Cancer Research (CR-1140).

References

- 1. Blander JM, Sander LE. Beyond pattern recognition: five immune checkpoints for scaling the microbial threat. Nat Rev Immunol. 2012 Mar;12(3):215–25.
- 2. Beachboard DC, Horner SM. Innate immune evasion strategies of DNA and RNA viruses. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2016 Aug;32:113–9.
- 3. Dos-Santos ALA, Carvalho-Kelly LF, Dick CF, Meyer-Fernandes JR. Innate immunomodulation to trypanosomatid parasite infections. Exp Parasitol. 2016 Aug;167:67–75.
- 4. Reddick LE, Alto NM. Bacteria fighting back: how pathogens target and subvert the host innate immune system. Mol Cell. 2014 Apr 24;54(2):321–8.
- 5. Schlee M, Hartmann G. Discriminating self from non-self in nucleic acid sensing. Nat Rev Immunol. 2016 Sep;16(9):566–80.
- 6. Lässig C, Hopfner K-P. Discrimination of cytosolic self and non-self RNA by RIG-I-like receptors. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2017 Jun 2;292(22):9000–9.
- 7. Chiang C, Gack MU. Post-translational Control of Intracellular Pathogen Sensing Pathways. Trends Immunol. 2017 Jan;38(1):39–52.
- 8. Hou F, Sun L, Zheng H, Skaug B, Jiang Q-X, Chen ZJ. MAVS forms functional prion-like aggregates to activate and propagate antiviral innate immune response. Cell. 2011 Aug 5;146(3):448–61.
- 9. Xing J, Zhang A, Minze LJ, Li XC, Zhang Z. TRIM29 Negatively Regulates the Type I IFN Production in Response to RNA Virus. J Immunol. 2018 Jul 1;201(1):183–92.
- 10. Nistal-Villán E, Gack MU, Martínez-Delgado G, Maharaj NP, Inn K-S, Yang H, et al. Negative role of RIG-I serine 8 phosphorylation in the regulation of interferon-beta production. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2010 Jun 25;285(26):20252–61.
- 11. Xiang W, Zhang Q, Lin X, Wu S, Zhou Y, Meng F, et al. PPM1A silences cytosolic RNA sensing and antiviral defense through direct dephosphorylation of MAVS and TBK1. Sci Adv. 2016 Jul;2(7):e1501889.
- 12. Gack MU, Nistal-Villán E, Inn K-S, García-Sastre A, Jung JU. Phosphorylation-mediated negative regulation of RIG-I antiviral activity. J Virol. 2010 Apr;84(7):3220–9.
- 13. Saito T, Hirai R, Loo Y-M, Owen D, Johnson CL, Sinha SC, et al. Regulation of innate antiviral defenses through a shared repressor domain in RIG-I and LGP2. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2007 Jan 9;104(2):582–7.
- 14. Shi Y, Yuan B, Qi N, Zhu W, Su J, Li X, et al. An autoinhibitory mechanism modulates MAVS activity in antiviral innate immune response. Nat Commun. 2015 Jul 17;6:7811.

- 15. Schlee M. Master sensors of pathogenic RNA RIG-I like receptors. Immunobiology. 2013 Nov;218(11):1322–35.
- 16. Pichlmair A, Schulz O, Tan CP, Näslund TI, Liljeström P, Weber F, et al. RIG-I-mediated antiviral responses to single-stranded RNA bearing 5'-phosphates. Science. 2006 Nov 10;314(5801):997–1001.
- 17. Rehwinkel J, Tan CP, Goubau D, Schulz O, Pichlmair A, Bier K, et al. RIG-I detects viral genomic RNA during negative-strand RNA virus infection. Cell. 2010 Feb 5;140(3):397–408.
- 18. Goubau D, Schlee M, Deddouche S, Pruijssers AJ, Zillinger T, Goldeck M, et al. Antiviral immunity via RIG-I-mediated recognition of RNA bearing 5'-diphosphates. Nature. 2014 Oct 16;514(7522):372–5.
- 19. Kato H, Takeuchi O, Sato S, Yoneyama M, Yamamoto M, Matsui K, et al. Differential roles of MDA5 and RIG-I helicases in the recognition of RNA viruses. Nature. 2006 May 4;441(7089):101–5.
- 20. Pichlmair A, Schulz O, Tan CP, Rehwinkel J, Kato H, Takeuchi O, et al. Activation of MDA5 requires higher-order RNA structures generated during virus infection. J Virol. 2009 Oct;83(20):10761–9.
- 21. Gitlin L, Benoit L, Song C, Cella M, Gilfillan S, Holtzman MJ, et al. Melanoma differentiation-associated gene 5 (MDA5) is involved in the innate immune response to Paramyxoviridae infection in vivo. PLoS Pathog. 2010 Jan 22;6(1):e1000734.
- 22. Feng Q, Hato SV, Langereis MA, Zoll J, Virgen-Slane R, Peisley A, et al. MDA5 detects the double-stranded RNA replicative form in picornavirus-infected cells. Cell Rep. 2012 Nov 29;2(5):1187–96.
- 23. McCartney SA, Thackray LB, Gitlin L, Gilfillan S, Virgin HW, Virgin Iv HW, et al. MDA-5 recognition of a murine norovirus. PLoS Pathog. 2008 Jul 18;4(7):e1000108.
- 24. Chhabra P, Ranjan P, Cromeans T, Sambhara S, Vinjé J. Critical role of RIG-I and MDA5 in early and late stages of Tulane virus infection. J Gen Virol. 2017 May;98(5):1016–26.
- 25. Chiang JJ, Sparrer KMJ, van Gent M, Lässig C, Huang T, Osterrieder N, et al. Viral unmasking of cellular 5S rRNA pseudogene transcripts induces RIG-I-mediated immunity. Nat Immunol. 2018 Jan;19(1):53–62.
- 26. Rasmussen SB, Jensen SB, Nielsen C, Quartin E, Kato H, Chen ZJ, et al. Herpes simplex virus infection is sensed by both Toll-like receptors and retinoic acid-inducible gene- like receptors, which synergize to induce type I interferon production. J Gen Virol. 2009 Jan;90(Pt 1):74–8.
- 27. Minamitani T, Iwakiri D, Takada K. Adenovirus virus-associated RNAs induce type I interferon expression through a RIG-I-mediated pathway. J Virol. American Society for Microbiology; 2011 Apr;85(8):4035–40.
- 28. Samanta M, Iwakiri D, Kanda T, Imaizumi T, Takada K. EB virus-encoded RNAs are recognized by RIG-I and activate signaling to induce type I IFN.

- EMBO J. 2006 Sep 20;25(18):4207-14.
- 29. Delaloye J, Roger T, Steiner-Tardivel Q-G, Le Roy D, Knaup Reymond M, Akira S, et al. Innate immune sensing of modified vaccinia virus Ankara (MVA) is mediated by TLR2-TLR6, MDA-5 and the NALP3 inflammasome. PLoS Pathog. 2009 Jun;5(6):e1000480.
- 30. Schmolke M, Patel JR, de Castro E, Sanchez-Aparicio MT, Uccellini MB, Miller JC, et al. RIG-I detects mRNA of intracellular Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium during bacterial infection. MBio. American Society for Microbiology; 2014 Apr 1;5(2):e01006–14.
- 31. Jehl SP, Nogueira CV, Zhang X, Starnbach MN. IFNγ inhibits the cytosolic replication of Shigella flexneri via the cytoplasmic RNA sensor RIG-I. PLoS Pathog. Public Library of Science; 2012;8(8):e1002809.
- 32. Hagmann CA, Herzner AM, Abdullah Z, Zillinger T, Jakobs C, Schuberth C, et al. RIG-I Detects Triphosphorylated RNA of Listeria monocytogenes during Infection in Non-Immune Cells. PLoS ONE. 2013;8(4):e62872.
- 33. Dhir A, Dhir S, Borowski LS, Jimenez L, Teitell M, Rötig A, et al. Mitochondrial double-stranded RNA triggers antiviral signalling in humans. Nature. Nature Publishing Group; 2018 Jul 25;:1.
- 34. Malathi K, Dong B, Gale M, Silverman RH. Small self-RNA generated by RNase L amplifies antiviral innate immunity. Nature. Nature Publishing Group; 2007 Aug 16;448(7155):816–9.
- 35. Sun L, Wu J, Du F, Chen X, Chen ZJ. Cyclic GMP-AMP synthase is a cytosolic DNA sensor that activates the type I interferon pathway. Science. 2013 Feb 15;339(6121):786–91.
- 36. Gao P, Ascano M, Zillinger T, Wang W, Dai P, Serganov AA, et al. Structure-function analysis of STING activation by c[G(2',5')pA(3",5")p] and targeting by antiviral DMXAA. Cell. 2013 Aug 15;154(4):748–62.
- 37. Liu S, Cai X, Wu J, Cong Q, Chen X, Li T, et al. Phosphorylation of innate immune adaptor proteins MAVS, STING, and TRIF induces IRF3 activation. Science. 2015 Mar 13;347(6227):aaa2630.
- 38. Dobbs N, Burnaevskiy N, Chen D, Gonugunta VK, Alto NM, Yan N. STING Activation by Translocation from the ER Is Associated with Infection and Autoinflammatory Disease. Cell Host Microbe. 2015 Aug 12;18(2):157–68.
- 39. Unterholzner L. The interferon response to intracellular DNA: why so many receptors? Immunobiology. 2013 Nov;218(11):1312–21.
- 40. Almine JF, O'Hare CAJ, Dunphy G, Haga IR, Naik RJ, Atrih A, et al. IFI16 and cGAS cooperate in the activation of STING during DNA sensing in human keratinocytes. Nat Commun. 2017 Feb 13;8:14392.
- 41. Jønsson KL, Laustsen A, Krapp C, Skipper KA, Thavachelvam K, Hotter D, et al. IFI16 is required for DNA sensing in human macrophages by promoting production and function of cGAMP. Nat Commun. 2017 Feb 10;8:14391.

- 42. Orzalli MH, DeLuca NA, Knipe DM. Nuclear IFI16 induction of IRF-3 signaling during herpesviral infection and degradation of IFI16 by the viral ICP0 protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2012 Oct 30;109(44):E3008–17.
- 43. Morrone SR, Wang T, Constantoulakis LM, Hooy RM, Delannoy MJ, Sohn J. Cooperative assembly of IFI16 filaments on dsDNA provides insights into host defense strategy. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2014 Jan 7;111(1):E62–71.
- 44. Stratmann SA, Morrone SR, van Oijen AM, Sohn J. The innate immune sensor IFI16 recognizes foreign DNA in the nucleus by scanning along the duplex. Elife. 2015 Dec 16;4:e11721.
- 45. Hornung V, Ablasser A, Charrel-Dennis M, Bauernfeind F, Horvath G, Caffrey DR, et al. AIM2 recognizes cytosolic dsDNA and forms a caspase-1-activating inflammasome with ASC. Nature. 2009 Mar 26;458(7237):514–8.
- 46. Bürckstümmer T, Baumann C, Blüml S, Dixit E, Dürnberger G, Jahn H, et al. An orthogonal proteomic-genomic screen identifies AIM2 as a cytoplasmic DNA sensor for the inflammasome. Nat Immunol. 2009 Mar;10(3):266–72.
- 47. Fernandes-Alnemri T, Yu J-W, Datta P, Wu J, Alnemri ES. AIM2 activates the inflammasome and cell death in response to cytoplasmic DNA. Nature. 2009 Mar 26;458(7237):509–13.
- 48. Takaoka A, Wang Z, Choi MK, Yanai H, Negishi H, Ban T, et al. DAI (DLM-1/ZBP1) is a cytosolic DNA sensor and an activator of innate immune response. Nature. 2007 Jul 26;448(7152):501–5.
- 49. Ferguson BJ, Mansur DS, Peters NE, Ren H, Smith GL. DNA-PK is a DNA sensor for IRF-3-dependent innate immunity. Elife. 2012;1:e00047.
- 50. Kim T, Pazhoor S, Bao M, Zhang Z, Hanabuchi S, Facchinetti V, et al. Aspartate-glutamate-alanine-histidine box motif (DEAH)/RNA helicase A helicases sense microbial DNA in human plasmacytoid dendritic cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2010 Aug 24;107(34):15181–6.
- 51. Zhang Z, Yuan B, Bao M, Lu N, Kim T, Liu Y-J. The helicase DDX41 senses intracellular DNA mediated by the adaptor STING in dendritic cells. Nat Immunol. 2011 Oct;12(10):959–65.
- 52. Kondo T, Kobayashi J, Saitoh T, Maruyama K, Ishii KJ, Barber GN, et al. DNA damage sensor MRE11 recognizes cytosolic double-stranded DNA and induces type I interferon by regulating STING trafficking. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2013 Feb 19;110(8):2969–74.
- 53. Gao D, Wu J, Wu Y-T, Du F, Aroh C, Yan N, et al. Cyclic GMP-AMP Synthase Is an Innate Immune Sensor of HIV and Other Retroviruses Supplementary Materials. Science. 2013 Aug 23;341(6148):903–6.
- 54. Li X-D, Wu J, Gao D, Wang H, Sun L, Chen ZJ. Pivotal roles of cGAS-cGAMP signaling in antiviral defense and immune adjuvant effects. Science. 2013 Sep 20;341(6152):1390–4.
- 55. Aguirre S, Luthra P, Sanchez-Aparicio MT, Maestre AM, Patel J, Lamothe F,

- et al. Dengue virus NS2B protein targets cGAS for degradation and prevents mitochondrial DNA sensing during infection. Nat Microbiol. 2017 Mar 27:2:17037.
- 56. Waßermann R, Gulen MF, Sala C, Perin SG, Lou Y, Rybniker J, et al. Mycobacterium tuberculosis Differentially Activates cGAS- and Inflammasome-Dependent Intracellular Immune Responses through ESX-1. Cell Host Microbe. 2015 Jun 1.
- 57. Collins AC, Cai H, Li T, Franco LH, Li X-D, Nair VR, et al. Cyclic GMP-AMP Synthase Is an Innate Immune DNA Sensor for Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Cell Host Microbe. 2015 Jun 1.
- 58. Sisquella X, Ofir-Birin Y, Pimentel MA, Cheng L, Abou Karam P, Sampaio NG, et al. Malaria parasite DNA-harbouring vesicles activate cytosolic immune sensors. Nat Commun. 2017 Dec 7;8(1):1985.
- 59. Hansen K, Prabakaran T, Laustsen A, Jorgensen SE, Rahbaek SH, Jensen SB, et al. Listeria monocytogenes induces IFN expression through an IFI16-, cGAS- and STING-dependent pathway. EMBO J [Internet]. EMBO Press; 2014 Aug 1;33(15):1654–66. Available from: http://emboj.embopress.org/cgi/doi/10.15252/embj.201488029
- 60. Burdette DL, Monroe KM, Sotelo-Troha K, Iwig JS, Eckert B, Hyodo M, et al. STING is a direct innate immune sensor of cyclic di-GMP. Nature. 2011 Oct 27;478(7370):515–8.
- 61. Dey B, Dey RJ, Cheung LS, Pokkali S, Guo H, Lee J-H, et al. A bacterial cyclic dinucleotide activates the cytosolic surveillance pathway and mediates innate resistance to tuberculosis. Nat Med. 2015 Apr;21(4):401–6.
- 62. Marinho FV, Benmerzoug S, Oliveira SC, Ryffel B, Quesniaux VFJ. The Emerging Roles of STING in Bacterial Infections. Trends Microbiol. 2017 Nov;25(11):906–18.
- 63. Archer KA, Durack J, Portnoy DA. STING-dependent type I IFN production inhibits cell-mediated immunity to Listeria monocytogenes. PLoS Pathog. 2014 Jan;10(1):e1003861.
- 64. Snyder DT, Hedges JF, Jutila MA. Getting "Inside" Type I IFNs: Type I IFNs in Intracellular Bacterial Infections. J Immunol Res. 2017;2017:9361802.
- 65. Hancks DC, Hartley MK, Hagan C, Clark NL, Elde NC. Overlapping Patterns of Rapid Evolution in the Nucleic Acid Sensors cGAS and OAS1 Suggest a Common Mechanism of Pathogen Antagonism and Escape. PLoS Genet. 2015 May;11(5):e1005203.
- 66. Lemos de Matos A, McFadden G, Esteves PJ. Positive evolutionary selection on the RIG-I-like receptor genes in mammals. PLoS ONE. 2013;8(11):e81864.
- 67. Sorci G, Cornet S, Faivre B. Immunity and the emergence of virulent pathogens. Infect Genet Evol. 2013 Jun;16:441–6.
- 68. Gröschel MI, Sayes F, Simeone R, Majlessi L, Brosch R. ESX secretion

- systems: mycobacterial evolution to counter host immunity. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2016 Nov;14(11):677–91.
- 69. Uchida L, Espada-Murao LA, Takamatsu Y, Okamoto K, Hayasaka D, Yu F, et al. The dengue virus conceals double-stranded RNA in the intracellular membrane to escape from an interferon response. Sci Rep. Nature Publishing Group; 2014 Dec 10;4:7395.
- 70. Neufeldt CJ, Joyce MA, Van Buuren N, Levin A, Kirkegaard K, Gale M, et al. The Hepatitis C Virus-Induced Membranous Web and Associated Nuclear Transport Machinery Limit Access of Pattern Recognition Receptors to Viral Replication Sites. PLoS Pathog. Public Library of Science; 2016 Feb;12(2):e1005428.
- 71. Jacques DA, McEwan WA, Hilditch L, Price AJ, Towers GJ, James LC. HIV-1 uses dynamic capsid pores to import nucleotides and fuel encapsidated DNA synthesis. Nature. Nature Publishing Group; 2016 Aug 18;536(7616):349–53.
- 72. Kindler E, Gil-Cruz C, Spanier J, Li Y, Wilhelm J, Rabouw HH, et al. Early endonuclease-mediated evasion of RNA sensing ensures efficient coronavirus replication. PLoS Pathog. Public Library of Science; 2017 Feb;13(2):e1006195.
- 73. Andrade WA, Firon A, Schmidt T, Hornung V, Fitzgerald KA, Kurt-Jones EA, et al. Group B Streptococcus Degrades Cyclic-di-AMP to Modulate STING-Dependent Type I Interferon Production. Cell Host Microbe. 2016 Jul 13;20(1):49–59.
- 74. Habjan M, Andersson I, Klingström J, Schümann M, Martin A, Zimmermann P, et al. Processing of genome 5' termini as a strategy of negative-strand RNA viruses to avoid RIG-I-dependent interferon induction. PLoS ONE. Public Library of Science; 2008 Apr 30;3(4):e2032.
- 75. Decroly E, Canard B. Biochemical principles and inhibitors to interfere with viral capping pathways. Curr Opin Virol. 2017 Jun;24:87–96.
- 76. Schuberth-Wagner C, Ludwig J, Bruder AK, Herzner AM, Zillinger T, Goldeck M, et al. A Conserved Histidine in the RNA Sensor RIG-I Controls Immune Tolerance to N1-2'O-Methylated Self RNA. Immunity. 2015 Jul 21;43(1):41–51.
- 77. Abdullah Z, Schlee M, Roth S, Mraheil MA, Barchet W, Böttcher J, et al. RIG-I detects infection with live Listeria by sensing secreted bacterial nucleic acids. EMBO J. 2012 Nov 5;31(21):4153–64.
- 78. Luecke S, Holleufer A, Christensen MH, Jønsson KL, Boni GA, Sørensen LK, et al. cGAS is activated by DNA in a length-dependent manner. EMBO Rep. 2017 Oct;18(10):1707–15.
- 79. Smith GL, Benfield CTO, Maluquer de Motes C, Mazzon M, Ember SWJ, Ferguson BJ, et al. Vaccinia virus immune evasion: mechanisms, virulence and immunogenicity. J Gen Virol. 2013 Nov;94(Pt 11):2367–92.
- 80. Su C, Zhan G, Zheng C. Evasion of host antiviral innate immunity by HSV-1,

- an update. Virol J. BioMed Central; 2016 Mar 8;13(1):38.
- 81. Feng Q, Langereis MA, Lork M, Nguyen M, Hato SV, Lanke K, et al. Enterovirus 2Apro targets MDA5 and MAVS in infected cells. J Virol. 2014 Mar;88(6):3369–78.
- 82. Barral PM, Sarkar D, Fisher PB, Racaniello VR. RIG-I is cleaved during picornavirus infection. Virology. 2009 Sep 1;391(2):171–6.
- 83. Papon L, Oteiza A, Imaizumi T, Kato H, Brocchi E, Lawson TG, et al. The viral RNA recognition sensor RIG-I is degraded during encephalomyocarditis virus (EMCV) infection. Virology. 2009 Oct 25;393(2):311–8.
- 84. Rodríguez Pulido M, Sánchez-Aparicio MT, Martínez-Salas E, García-Sastre A, Sobrino F, Sáiz M. Innate immune sensor LGP2 is cleaved by the Leader protease of foot-and-mouth disease virus. PLoS Pathog. 2018 Jun;14(6):e1007135.
- 85. Gori-Savellini G, Valentini M, Cusi MG. Toscana virus NSs protein inhibits the induction of type I interferon by interacting with RIG-I. J Virol. 2013 Jun;87(12):6660–7.
- 86. Qin L, Ren L, Zhou Z, Lei X, Chen L, Xue Q, et al. Rotavirus nonstructural protein 1 antagonizes innate immune response by interacting with retinoic acid inducible gene I. Virol J. BioMed Central; 2011 Dec 8;8(1):526.
- 87. Zhang H-L, Ye H-Q, Liu S-Q, Deng C-L, Li X-D, Shi P-Y, et al. West Nile Virus NS1 Antagonizes Interferon Beta Production by Targeting RIG-I and MDA5. J Virol. American Society for Microbiology; 2017 Sep 15;91(18):e02396–16.
- 88. Lu Y, Qin Z, Wang J, Zheng X, Lu J, Zhang X, et al. Epstein-Barr Virus miR-BART6-3p Inhibits the RIG-I Pathway. J Innate Immun. Karger Publishers; 2017;9(6):574–86.
- 89. Hou Z, Zhang J, Han Q, Su C, Qu J, Xu D, et al. Hepatitis B virus inhibits intrinsic RIG-I and RIG-G immune signaling via inducing miR146a. Sci Rep. 2016 May 23;6:26150.
- 90. Zhao J, Zeng Y, Xu S, Chen J, Shen G, Yu C, et al. A Viral Deamidase Targets the Helicase Domain of RIG-I to Block RNA-Induced Activation. Cell Host Microbe. 2016 Dec 14;20(6):770–84.
- 91. Kok K-H, Lui P-Y, Ng M-HJ, Siu K-L, Au SWN, Jin D-Y. The double-stranded RNA-binding protein PACT functions as a cellular activator of RIG-I to facilitate innate antiviral response. Cell Host Microbe. 2011 Apr 21;9(4):299–309.
- 92. Kew C, Lui P-Y, Chan C-P, Liu X, Au SWN, Mohr I, et al. Suppression of PACT-induced type I interferon production by herpes simplex virus 1 Us11 protein. J Virol. 2013 Dec;87(24):13141–9.
- 93. Siu K-L, Yeung ML, Kok K-H, Yuen K-S, Kew C, Lui P-Y, et al. Middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus 4a protein is a double-stranded RNA-binding protein that suppresses PACT-induced activation of RIG-I and MDA5

- in the innate antiviral response. J Virol. American Society for Microbiology; 2014 May;88(9):4866–76.
- 94. Luthra P, Ramanan P, Mire CE, Weisend C, Tsuda Y, Yen B, et al. Mutual antagonism between the Ebola virus VP35 protein and the RIG-I activator PACT determines infection outcome. Cell Host Microbe. 2013 Jul 17;14(1):74–84.
- 95. Tawaratsumida K, Phan V, Hrincius ER, High AA, Webby R, Redecke V, et al. Quantitative proteomic analysis of the influenza A virus nonstructural proteins NS1 and NS2 during natural cell infection identifies PACT as an NS1 target protein and antiviral host factor. J Virol. American Society for Microbiology; 2014 Aug;88(16):9038–48.
- 96. Wies E, Wang MK, Maharaj NP, Chen K, Zhou S, Finberg RW, et al. Dephosphorylation of the RNA sensors RIG-I and MDA5 by the phosphatase PP1 is essential for innate immune signaling. Immunity. 2013 Mar 21;38(3):437–49.
- 97. Gack MU, Shin YC, Joo C-H, Urano T, Liang C, Sun L, et al. TRIM25 RING-finger E3 ubiquitin ligase is essential for RIG-I-mediated antiviral activity. Nature. 2007 Apr 19;446(7138):916–20.
- 98. Oshiumi H, Miyashita M, Inoue N, Okabe M, Matsumoto M, Seya T. The ubiquitin ligase Riplet is essential for RIG-I-dependent innate immune responses to RNA virus infection. Cell Host Microbe. 2010 Dec 16;8(6):496–509.
- 99. Mesman AW, Zijlstra-Willems EM, Kaptein TM, de Swart RL, Davis ME, Ludlow M, et al. Measles virus suppresses RIG-I-like receptor activation in dendritic cells via DC-SIGN-mediated inhibition of PP1 phosphatases. Cell Host Microbe. 2014 Jul 9;16(1):31–42.
- 100. Rajsbaum R, Albrecht RA, Wang MK, Maharaj NP, Versteeg GA, Nistal-Villán E, et al. Species-specific inhibition of RIG-I ubiquitination and IFN induction by the influenza A virus NS1 protein. PLoS Pathog. 2012;8(11):e1003059.
- 101. Oshiumi H, Miyashita M, Matsumoto M, Seya T. A distinct role of Riplet-mediated K63-Linked polyubiquitination of the RIG-I repressor domain in human antiviral innate immune responses. PLoS Pathog. 2013;9(8):e1003533.
- 102. Gupta S, Ylä-Anttila P, Callegari S, Tsai M-H, Delecluse H-J, Masucci MG. Herpesvirus deconjugases inhibit the IFN response by promoting TRIM25 autoubiquitination and functional inactivation of the RIG-I signalosome. PLoS Pathog. Public Library of Science; 2018 Jan;14(1):e1006852.
- 103. Gack MU, Albrecht RA, Urano T, Inn K-S, Huang I-C, Carnero E, et al. Influenza A virus NS1 targets the ubiquitin ligase TRIM25 to evade recognition by the host viral RNA sensor RIG-I. Cell Host Microbe. 2009 May 8;5(5):439–49.
- 104. Koliopoulos MG, Lethier M, Van der Veen AG, Haubrich K, Hennig J, Kowalinski E, et al. Molecular mechanism of influenza A NS1-mediated

- TRIM25 recognition and inhibition. Nat Commun. 2018 May 8;9(1):1820.
- 105. Sanchez-Aparicio MT, Feinman LJ, García-Sastre A, Shaw ML. Paramyxovirus V Proteins Interact with the RIG-I/TRIM25 Regulatory Complex and Inhibit RIG-I Signaling. Dermody TS, editor. J Virol. 2018 Mar 15;92(6):396.
- 106. Chiang C, Pauli E-K, Biryukov J, Feister KF, Meng M, White EA, et al. The Human Papillomavirus E6 Oncoprotein Targets USP15 and TRIM25 To Suppress RIG-I-Mediated Innate Immune Signaling. Jung JU, editor. J Virol. American Society for Microbiology; 2018 Mar 15;92(6):1.
- 107. Hu Y, Li W, Gao T, Cui Y, Jin Y, Li P, et al. The Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Nucleocapsid Inhibits Type I Interferon Production by Interfering with TRIM25-Mediated RIG-I Ubiquitination. J Virol. 2017 Apr 15;91(8).
- 108. Manokaran G, Finol E, Wang C, Gunaratne J, Bahl J, Ong EZ, et al. Dengue subgenomic RNA binds TRIM25 to inhibit interferon expression for epidemiological fitness. Science. American Association for the Advancement of Science; 2015 Oct 9;350(6257):217–21.
- 109. Lee W, Lee S-H, Kim M, Moon J-S, Kim G-W, Jung H-G, et al. Vibrio vulnificus quorum-sensing molecule cyclo(Phe-Pro) inhibits RIG-I-mediated antiviral innate immunity. Nat Commun. Nature Publishing Group; 2018 Apr 23;9(1):1606.
- 110. Chan YK, Gack MU. A phosphomimetic-based mechanism of dengue virus to antagonize innate immunity. Nat Immunol. Nature Publishing Group; 2016 May;17(5):523–30.
- 111. Xing J, Wang S, Lin R, Mossman KL, Zheng C. Herpes simplex virus 1 tegument protein US11 downmodulates the RLR signaling pathway via direct interaction with RIG-I and MDA-5. J Virol. 2012 Apr;86(7):3528–40.
- 112. Zhou S, Cerny AM, Zacharia A, Fitzgerald KA, Kurt-Jones EA, Finberg RW. Induction and inhibition of type I interferon responses by distinct components of lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus. J Virol. 2010 Sep;84(18):9452–62.
- 113. Ling Z, Tran KC, Teng MN. Human respiratory syncytial virus nonstructural protein NS2 antagonizes the activation of beta interferon transcription by interacting with RIG-I. J Virol. 2009 Apr;83(8):3734–42.
- 114. Fan L, Briese T, Lipkin WI. Z proteins of New World arenaviruses bind RIG-I and interfere with type I interferon induction. J Virol. 2010 Feb;84(4):1785–91.
- 115. Ren J, Wang Q, Kolli D, Prusak DJ, Tseng C-TK, Chen ZJ, et al. Human metapneumovirus M2-2 protein inhibits innate cellular signaling by targeting MAVS. J Virol. 2012 Dec;86(23):13049–61.
- 116. Ma J, Ketkar H, Geng T, Lo E, Wang L, Xi J, et al. Zika Virus Non-structural Protein 4A Blocks the RLR-MAVS Signaling. Front Microbiol. 2018;9:1350.
- 117. He Z, Zhu X, Wen W, Yuan J, Hu Y, Chen J, et al. Dengue Virus Subverts

- Host Innate Immunity by Targeting Adaptor Protein MAVS. J Virol. 2016 Aug 15;90(16):7219–30.
- 118. Hwang KY, Choi YB. Modulation of Mitochondrial Antiviral Signaling by Human Herpesvirus 8 Interferon Regulatory Factor 1. J Virol. 2016 Jan 1;90(1):506–20.
- 119. Shi C-S, Qi H-Y, Boularan C, Huang N-N, Abu-Asab M, Shelhamer JH, et al. SARS-coronavirus open reading frame-9b suppresses innate immunity by targeting mitochondria and the MAVS/TRAF3/TRAF6 signalosome. J Immunol. 2014 Sep 15;193(6):3080–9.
- 120. Wei C, Ni C, Song T, Liu Y, Yang X, Zheng Z, et al. The hepatitis B virus X protein disrupts innate immunity by downregulating mitochondrial antiviral signaling protein. J Immunol. 2010 Jul 15;185(2):1158–68.
- 121. Meylan E, Curran J, Hofmann K, Moradpour D, Binder M, Bartenschlager R, et al. Cardif is an adaptor protein in the RIG-I antiviral pathway and is targeted by hepatitis C virus. Nature. Nature Publishing Group; 2005 Oct 20;437(7062):1167–72.
- 122. Bender S, Reuter A, Eberle F, Einhorn E, Binder M, Bartenschlager R. Activation of Type I and III Interferon Response by Mitochondrial and Peroxisomal MAVS and Inhibition by Hepatitis C Virus. PLoS Pathog. Public Library of Science; 2015 Nov;11(11):e1005264.
- 123. Horner SM, Park HS, Gale M. Control of innate immune signaling and membrane targeting by the Hepatitis C virus NS3/4A protease are governed by the NS3 helix α0. J Virol. American Society for Microbiology; 2012 Mar;86(6):3112–20.
- 124. Lin R, Lacoste J, Nakhaei P, Sun Q, Yang L, Paz S, et al. Dissociation of a MAVS/IPS-1/VISA/Cardif-IKKepsilon molecular complex from the mitochondrial outer membrane by hepatitis C virus NS3-4A proteolytic cleavage. J Virol. American Society for Microbiology; 2006 Jun;80(12):6072– 83.
- 125. Choi HJ, Park A, Kang S, Lee E, Lee TA, Ra EA, et al. Human cytomegalovirus-encoded US9 targets MAVS and STING signaling to evade type I interferon immune responses. Nat Commun. Nature Publishing Group; 2018 Jan 9;9(1):125.
- 126. Varga ZT, Grant A, Manicassamy B, Palese P. Influenza virus protein PB1-F2 inhibits the induction of type I interferon by binding to MAVS and decreasing mitochondrial membrane potential. J Virol. 2012 Aug;86(16):8359–66.
- 127. Yoshizumi T, Ichinohe T, Sasaki O, Otera H, Kawabata S-I, Mihara K, et al. Influenza A virus protein PB1-F2 translocates into mitochondria via Tom40 channels and impairs innate immunity. Nat Commun. 2014 Aug 20;5:4713.
- 128. Chatel-Chaix L, Cortese M, Romero-Brey I, Bender S, Neufeldt CJ, Fischl W, et al. Dengue Virus Perturbs Mitochondrial Morphodynamics to Dampen Innate Immune Responses. Cell Host Microbe. 2016 Sep 14;20(3):342–56.

- 129. Magalhães AC, Ferreira AR, Gomes S, Vieira M, Gouveia A, Valença I, et al. Peroxisomes are platforms for cytomegalovirus' evasion from the cellular immune response. Sci Rep. Nature Publishing Group; 2016 May 16;6:26028.
- 130. Zheng C, Su C. Herpes simplex virus 1 infection dampens the immediate early antiviral innate immunity signaling from peroxisomes by tegument protein VP16. Virol J. 2017 Feb 21;14(1):35.
- 131. Kamanova J, Sun H, Lara-Tejero M, Galán JE. The Salmonella Effector Protein SopA Modulates Innate Immune Responses by Targeting TRIM E3 Ligase Family Members. PLoS Pathog. 2016 Apr;12(4):e1005552.
- 132. Fiskin E, Bhogaraju S, Herhaus L, Kalayil S, Hahn M, Dikic I. Structural basis for the recognition and degradation of host TRIM proteins by Salmonella effector SopA. Nat Commun. 2017 Jan 13;8:14004.
- 133. Christensen MH, Jensen SB, Miettinen JJ, Luecke S, Prabakaran T, Reinert LS, et al. HSV-1 ICP27 targets the TBK1-activated STING signalsome to inhibit virus-induced type I IFN expression. EMBO J. 2016 Jul 1;35(13):1385–99.
- 134. Orzalli MH, Broekema NM, Knipe DM. Relative Contributions of Herpes Simplex Virus 1 ICP0 and vhs to Loss of Cellular IFI16 Vary in Different Human Cell Types. J Virol. 2016 Sep 15;90(18):8351–9.
- 135. Su C, Zheng C. Herpes Simplex Virus 1 Abrogates the cGAS/STING-Mediated Cytosolic DNA-Sensing Pathway via Its Virion Host Shutoff Protein, UL41. J Virol. 2017 Mar 15;91(6).
- 136. Huang J, You H, Su C, Li Y, Chen S, Zheng C. Herpes Simplex Virus 1 Tegument Protein VP22 Abrogates cGAS/STING-Mediated Antiviral Innate Immunity. Sandri-Goldin RM, editor. J Virol. 2018 Aug 1;92(15):783.
- 137. Maruzuru Y, Ichinohe T, Sato R, Miyake K, Okano T, Suzuki T, et al. Herpes Simplex Virus 1 VP22 Inhibits AIM2-Dependent Inflammasome Activation to Enable Efficient Viral Replication. Cell Host Microbe. 2018 Feb 14;23(2):254–7.
- 138. Zhang J, Zhao J, Xu S, Li J, He S, Zeng Y, et al. Species-Specific Deamidation of cGAS by Herpes Simplex Virus UL37 Protein Facilitates Viral Replication. Cell Host Microbe. 2018 Aug 8;24(2):234–5.
- 139. Diner BA, Lum KK, Toettcher JE, Cristea IM. Viral DNA Sensors IFI16 and Cyclic GMP-AMP Synthase Possess Distinct Functions in Regulating Viral Gene Expression, Immune Defenses, and Apoptotic Responses during Herpesvirus Infection. MBio. American Society for Microbiology; 2016 Nov 15;7(6):e01553–16.
- 140. Huang Z-F, Zou H-M, Liao B-W, Zhang H-Y, Yang Y, Fu Y-Z, et al. Human Cytomegalovirus Protein UL31 Inhibits DNA Sensing of cGAS to Mediate Immune Evasion. Cell Host Microbe. 2018 Jul 11;24(1):69–80.e4.
- 141. Biolatti M, Dell'Oste V, Pautasso S, Gugliesi F, Einem von J, Krapp C, et al. Human Cytomegalovirus Tegument Protein pp65 (pUL83) Dampens Type I Interferon Production by Inactivating the DNA Sensor cGAS without Affecting

- STING. Sandri-Goldin RM, editor. J Virol. 2018 Mar 15;92(6):288.
- 142. Biolatti M, Dell'Oste V, Pautasso S, Einem von J, Marschall M, Plachter B, et al. Regulatory Interaction between the Cellular Restriction Factor IFI16 and Viral pp65 (pUL83) Modulates Viral Gene Expression and IFI16 Protein Stability. J Virol. American Society for Microbiology; 2016 Sep 15;90(18):8238–50.
- 143. Li T, Chen J, Cristea IM. Human cytomegalovirus tegument protein pUL83 inhibits IFI16-mediated DNA sensing for immune evasion. Cell Host Microbe. 2013 Nov 13;14(5):591–9.
- 144. Fu Y-Z, Su S, Gao Y-Q, Wang P-P, Huang Z-F, Hu M-M, et al. Human Cytomegalovirus Tegument Protein UL82 Inhibits STING-Mediated Signaling to Evade Antiviral Immunity. Cell Host Microbe. 2017 Feb 8;21(2):231–43.
- 145. Kim J-E, Kim Y-E, Stinski MF, Ahn J-H, Song Y-J. Human Cytomegalovirus IE2 86 kDa Protein Induces STING Degradation and Inhibits cGAMP-Mediated IFN-β Induction. Front Microbiol. Frontiers; 2017;8:1854.
- 146. Huang Y, Ma D, Huang H, Lu Y, Liao Y, Liu L, et al. Interaction between HCMV pUL83 and human AIM2 disrupts the activation of the AIM2 inflammasome. Virol J. 2017 Feb 20;14(1):34.
- 147. Ma Z, Jacobs SR, West JA, Stopford C, Zhang Z, Davis Z, et al. Modulation of the cGAS-STING DNA sensing pathway by gammaherpesviruses. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2015 Aug 4;112(31):E4306–15.
- 148. Wu J-J, Li W, Shao Y, Avey D, Fu B, Gillen J, et al. Inhibition of cGAS DNA Sensing by a Herpesvirus Virion Protein. Cell Host Microbe. 2015 Sep 9;18(3):333–44.
- 149. Roy A, Dutta D, Iqbal J, Pisano G, Gjyshi O, Ansari MA, et al. Nuclear Innate Immune DNA Sensor IFI16 Is Degraded during Lytic Reactivation of Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus (KSHV): Role of IFI16 in Maintenance of KSHV Latency. J Virol. 2016 Oct 1;90(19):8822–41.
- Thang G, Chan B, Samarina N, Abere B, Weidner-Glunde M, Buch A, et al. Cytoplasmic isoforms of Kaposi sarcoma herpesvirus LANA recruit and antagonize the innate immune DNA sensor cGAS. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2016 Feb 23;113(8):E1034–43.
- 151. Lau L, Gray EE, Brunette RL, Stetson DB. DNA tumor virus oncogenes antagonize the cGAS-STING DNA-sensing pathway. Science. 2015 Oct 30;350(6260):568–71.
- 152. Georgana I, Sumner RP, Towers GJ, Maluquer de Motes C. Virulent poxviruses inhibit DNA sensing by preventing STING activation. J Virol. 2018 Feb 28.
- 153. Peters NE, Ferguson BJ, Mazzon M, Fahy AS, Krysztofinska E, Arribas-Bosacoma R, et al. A mechanism for the inhibition of DNA-PK-mediated DNA sensing by a virus. PLoS Pathog. 2013;9(10):e1003649.

- 154. Upton JW, Kaiser WJ, Mocarski ES. DAI/ZBP1/DLM-1 complexes with RIP3 to mediate virus-induced programmed necrosis that is targeted by murine cytomegalovirus vIRA. Cell Host Microbe. 2012 Mar 15;11(3):290–7.
- 155. Holm CK, Jensen SB, Jakobsen MR, Cheshenko N, Horan KA, Moeller HB, et al. Virus-cell fusion as a trigger of innate immunity dependent on the adaptor STING. Nat Immunol. 2012 Aug;13(8):737–43.
- 156. Holm CK, Rahbek SH, Gad HH, Bak RO, Jakobsen MR, Jiang Z, et al. Influenza A virus targets a cGAS-independent STING pathway that controls enveloped RNA viruses. Nat Commun. 2016;7:10680.
- 157. Ding Q, Gaska JM, Douam F, Wei L, Kim D, Balev M, et al. Species-specific disruption of STING-dependent antiviral cellular defenses by the Zika virus NS2B3 protease. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2018 Jul 3;115(27):E6310–8.
- 158. Aguirre S, Maestre AM, Pagni S, Patel JR, Savage T, Gutman D, et al. DENV inhibits type I IFN production in infected cells by cleaving human STING. PLoS Pathog. 2012;8(10):e1002934.
- 159. Sun B, Sundström KB, Chew JJ, Bist P, Gan ES, Tan HC, et al. Dengue virus activates cGAS through the release of mitochondrial DNA. Sci Rep. 2017 Jun 15;7(1):3594.
- 160. Cao Y, Guan K, He X, Wei C, Zheng Z, Zhang Y, et al. Yersinia YopJ negatively regulates IRF3-mediated antibacterial response through disruption of STING-mediated cytosolic DNA signaling. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2016 Dec;1863(12):3148–59.
- 161. Dey RJ, Dey B, Zheng Y, Cheung LS, Zhou J, Sayre D, et al. Inhibition of innate immune cytosolic surveillance by an M. tuberculosis phosphodiesterase. Nat Chem Biol. 2017 Feb;13(2):210–7.
- Movert E, Lienard J, Valfridsson C, Nordström T, Johansson-Lindbom B, Carlsson F. Streptococcal M protein promotes IL-10 production by cGAS-independent activation of the STING signaling pathway. PLoS Pathog. 2018 Mar;14(3):e1006969.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the pathogen-derived molecules employed to evade the intracellular RNA sensing pathway. The RNA sensors RIG-I and MDA5 detect various RNA species, primarily those containing 5' di- or tri-phosphates or long dsRNA, respectively. Some viruses modify or mask their viral RNA, for instance by cleaving 5' phosphates, attaching a viral protein or "cap snatching". Pathogens also inhibit RIG-I activation via degradation or blocking accessory proteins (TRIM25 and Riplet), by direct binding with the CARD or C-terminal domains of RIG-I and by preventing RIG-I translocation to the mitochondria to block its interaction with MAVS. MDA5 is targeted in a similar fashion, often by degradation or inhibition by binding of virulence factors. Various viral proteins also interact with or degrade MAVS, which provides a further layer of evasion of the RNA sensing pathway. DENV, Dengue virus; EBV, Epstein-Barr virus; EBOV, Ebolavirus; HBV, hepatits B virus; hCMV, human cytomegalovirus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; HHV8, human herpesvirus 8; hMPV, human metapneumovirus; HSV-1, herpes simplex virus 1; IAV, influenza A virus; MERS, Middle Eastern respiratory virus; RSV, respiratory synctical virus; RV, rotavirus; SARS, Severe acute respiratory syndrome; WNV, West Nile virus; TOSV, Toscana virus; ZIKV, Zika virus.

Figure 2. Schematic representation of the pathogen-derived molecules employed to evade the intracellular DNA sensing pathway. cGAS is a sensor of cytosolic DNA and the main activator of the adaptor protein, STING. Pathogens target cGAS for degradation, impede cGAS from binding DNA or inhibit its catalytic activity. Pathogens also degrade cGAMP, the second messenger produced by cGAS, as well as bacterial cyclic-dinucleotides (CDN). IFI16 contributes to the activation of the cGAS-STING pathway, and several viral proteins have been identified that inhibit its activation and promote its degradation. Other DNA sensors, such as DNA-PK, DAI and AIM2 are also targeted by viral factors that block DNA binding and activation of downstream pathways. Pathogens also hinder multiple aspects of STING function through proteolytic cleavage, degradation, blocking its translocation from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) to ER-Golgi intermediate compartment (ERGIC) and preventing interaction with downstream signalling proteins, such as TANK-binding kinase 1 (TBK1). DENV, Dengue virus; hAd, human adenovirus; hCMV, human cytomegalovirus; HSV-1, herpes simplex virus 1; HPV18, human papillomavirus 18; JEV. Japanese encephalitis virus; mCMV, mouse cytomegalovirus; WNV, West Nile virus; ZIKV, Zika virus.



