1 Late Miocene Unroofing of the Inner Lesser Himalaya Recorded in the

2 NW Himalaya Foreland Basin

3

4 Elise M. Exnicios¹, Andrew Carter², Yani Najman³, Peter D. Clift¹

5

6 1 - Department of Geology and Geophysics, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803,
7 USA

8 2 - Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Birkbeck College, University of London, London
9 WC1E 7HX, UK

10 3 - Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YQ, UK

11

12 ABSTRACT: Testing models that link climate and solid Earth tectonics in mountain belts requires 13 independent erosional, structural and climatic histories. Two well preserved stratigraphic sections 14 of the Himalayan foreland basin are exposed in NW India. The Jawalamukhi (13-5 Ma) and 15 Joginder Nagar sections (21–13 Ma) are dated by magnetostratigraphy and span a period of 16 significant climate change and tectonic evolution. We combine sediment geochemistry, detrital zircon U-Pb dating, and apatite fission track analyses to reconstruct changes in the patterns of 17 18 erosion and exhumation in this area from the Early Miocene to Pliocene. The provenance of the 19 foreland sediments reflects a mixture of Tethyan and Greater Himalayan sources from 21 to 11 20 Ma, with influx from the Inner Lesser Himalaya starting after 11 Ma, and a strong increase in 21 Crystalline Inner Lesser Himalayan erosion after 8 Ma. This distinct shift in provenance most 22 likely reflects exhumation of the Kullu-Rampur Window, as well as the northward motion of the 23 Jawalamukhi section towards the Himalayas, drainage reorganization in the foreland, and/or

24	tectonically driven drainage capture in the mountains. Prior to 10.5 Ma sediment came from a
25	large river whose sources were Greater Himalaya and Haimanta dominated, likely a paleo-Sutlej,
26	while after 8 Ma the source river was dominated by a more local drainage. Our work is consistent
27	with Nd isotope and mica Ar-Ar constraints from the same sections that demonstrate initial Inner
28	Lesser Himalayan unroofing in this region from 11 Ma, earlier than the 2 Ma implied from the
29	marine record and during a period of summer monsoon weakening when fission track data indicate
30	very rapid cooling and erosion of the Lesser Himalaya sources from no later than10 Ma.
31	Tectonically driven rock uplift coupled with southerly migration of the maximum rainfall belt
32	during a time of drying, may have focused erosion over the Lesser Himalayan Duplex and created
33	the Kullu-Rampur Window.
34	
35	Keywords: Provenance, exhumation, Himalayas, monsoon, zircon
36	
36 37	1. Introduction
	1. Introduction What processes control the structural and topographic development of mountain chains?
37	
37 38	What processes control the structural and topographic development of mountain chains?
37 38 39	What processes control the structural and topographic development of mountain chains? Tectonic forces cause thickening of continental crust by folding and thrusting, driving uplift of the
37 38 39 40	What processes control the structural and topographic development of mountain chains? Tectonic forces cause thickening of continental crust by folding and thrusting, driving uplift of the Earth's surface, while extensional tectonics and erosion allow deep buried rocks to be brought to
 37 38 39 40 41 	What processes control the structural and topographic development of mountain chains? Tectonic forces cause thickening of continental crust by folding and thrusting, driving uplift of the Earth's surface, while extensional tectonics and erosion allow deep buried rocks to be brought to the surface and topography to be flattened. It is increasingly recognized that the structure of
 37 38 39 40 41 42 	What processes control the structural and topographic development of mountain chains? Tectonic forces cause thickening of continental crust by folding and thrusting, driving uplift of the Earth's surface, while extensional tectonics and erosion allow deep buried rocks to be brought to the surface and topography to be flattened. It is increasingly recognized that the structure of mountain belts reflects the interplay between these two competing forces, although much of our
 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 	What processes control the structural and topographic development of mountain chains? Tectonic forces cause thickening of continental crust by folding and thrusting, driving uplift of the Earth's surface, while extensional tectonics and erosion allow deep buried rocks to be brought to the surface and topography to be flattened. It is increasingly recognized that the structure of mountain belts reflects the interplay between these two competing forces, although much of our understanding is derived from models rather than observations (Davis <i>et al.</i> , 1983; Beaumont <i>et</i>
 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 	What processes control the structural and topographic development of mountain chains? Tectonic forces cause thickening of continental crust by folding and thrusting, driving uplift of the Earth's surface, while extensional tectonics and erosion allow deep buried rocks to be brought to the surface and topography to be flattened. It is increasingly recognized that the structure of mountain belts reflects the interplay between these two competing forces, although much of our understanding is derived from models rather than observations (Davis <i>et al.</i> , 1983; Beaumont <i>et al.</i> , 2001; Willett <i>et al.</i> , 2003; Robinson <i>et al.</i> , 2006). These models suggest that focused erosion,

48 interact with the structure and metamorphic history of a mountain chain (Wobus *et al.*, 2003;
49 Thiede *et al.*, 2004; Clift *et al.*, 2008).

50 Due to overprinting by metamorphism, subduction to great depths, and erosion of bedrock 51 once it reaches the surface, much of the record of an orogen's early history is typically lost from 52 the modern outcrop of the high ranges. Rocks now at the surface can only be used to reconstruct 53 the uplift and cooling of those particular units, but the older history of the Himalayas can only be 54 reconstructed from the erosional record preserved in the foreland basin and/or the deep-sea 55 submarine fans of the Indian Ocean (France-Lanord et al., 1993; Clift et al., 2001; Curray et al., 56 2003; McNeill et al., 2017). 57 Here we use new detrital zircon U-Pb dating and apatite fission analysis to explore the 58 links between tectonics, erosion and regional climate using a uniquely well-preserved sediment 59 record from the foreland basin in the NW Himalayas spanning >20 m.y. to test whether changes in 60 erosion patterns and rates are linked to variations in summer monsoon rains, or whether they might 61 instead be tied more closely to tectonic forces. We evaluate reconstructions for provenance 62 evolution derived from earlier work on the same sedimentary section: petrography and detrital 63 mica Ar-Ar dating that proposed a switch in the location of maximum erosion from the Greater 64 Himalaya (GHS) to either Tethyan Himalaya (THS)/Haimanta rocks (Fig. 1) (White et al., 2002) 65 or Outer Lesser Himalayan (OLH) rocks (Colleps et al., 2019) starting at 17 Ma; similar data and 66 bulk mudstone Nd and Sr isotopes were also used to propose an initial unroofing of the unmetamorphosed Inner Lesser Himalaya (ILH) after 11 Ma and the Inner Lesser Himalayan 67 68 Crystalline Series (LHCS) after 6 Ma (Najman et al. (2009) and note correction in Najman et al. 69 (2010)). We note that the ILH structurally underlie the OLH so that the two units are sometimes 70 referred to as Lower and Upper LH by some workers, especially further east (Myrow et al., 2015; 71 DeCelles *et al.*, 2016). In doing so we further explore the use of proximal foreland records

72 compared to regional submarine fan records in reconstructing the growth and erosion of orogenic 73 belts. The foreland offers the opportunity for significant sediment sequestration and later 74 reworking and resedimentation to a more distal location, complicating the source-to-sink transport 75 history and thus interpretation of marine sediments deposited at any given time. The proximal 76 records are also more able to sample limited stretches of the mountain front rather than integrating 77 the whole catchment. In doing so, foreland sediment can record along strike changes in erosion 78 and highlight details that are diluted beyond recognition in the deep sea fan.

79

80 **2. Regional Setting**

The Himalayas have formed as a result of continent-continent collision between India and Eurasia, likely starting around ~55–50 Ma in the NW Himalayas (Green *et al.*, 2008; Najman *et al.*, 2017) but potentially as recently as 34 Ma (Aitchison *et al.*, 2007) or even 20–25 Ma for collision between the Indian craton and Eurasia (van Hinsbergen *et al.*, 2012)}. Collision in the NW Himalayas may have slightly postdated collision in the central and eastern parts of the Indus-Yarlung Suture Zone (DeCelles *et al.*, 2014; Wu *et al.*, 2014). The Himalayas consist of a number of east-west striking, thrust-bound tectonic units, described, from south to north, below.

88 In the Sub-Himalayas of NW India and Pakistan, a Cenozoic marine to continental foreland 89 basin sequence is exposed, which comprises sedimentary rocks shed from the orogen (Parkash et 90 al., 1980; Johnson et al., 1985; Badgley & Tauxe, 1990; Sorkhabi & Arita, 1997; Ravikant et al., 91 2011). These foreland sediments represent an invaluable archive of the early development of the 92 mountain belt (Meigs et al., 1995; Burbank et al., 1996; Najman, 2006) spanning important 93 climatic and environmental transitions, especially around 7-8 Ma when the climate dried, oceanic 94 upwelling increased and vegetation in the foreland shifted from being C3 to C4 dominated (Quade 95 et al., 1989; Kroon et al., 1991; Clift et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2021), as well as more recently

96 identified older changes in wind and oceanography in the Arabian Sea starting around 11–13 Ma
97 (Gupta *et al.*, 2015; Bialik *et al.*, 2020).

98	The Sub-Himalayas represent the most southerly range within the orogen (Figs. 1 and 2),
99	The Neogene Siwalik Group to the south are separated from the older Dharamsala Group to the
100	north by the Palampur Thrust (Thakur et al., 2010). In turn these are separated from the overriding
101	Lesser Himalayas (LH) by the Main Boundary Thrust (MBT), while they now overthrust
102	undeformed floodplains to the south along the Main Frontal Thrust (MFT). The LH can be divided
103	into two units, the Outer and Inner (Robinson et al., 2001; Myrow et al., 2015). The OLH
104	comprise Neoproterozoic to Cambrian sedimentary rocks believed to have been deposited on the
105	Indian passive margin synchronously with the sediments now forming the GHS (Célérier et al.,
106	2009; McKenzie et al., 2011; Hughes, 2016). In contrast, the ILH range from Meso- and
107	Paleoproterozoic sedimentary rocks (Tewari, 2003; McKenzie et al., 2011) to ~1.85 Ga schists and
108	gneisses of the LHCS (Miller et al., 2000; Richards et al., 2005).
109	The LHs are overthrust by the high-grade metamorphic rocks and leucogranites of the GHS
110	along the Main Central Thrust (MCT). The extensional South Tibet Detachment (STD) separates
111	the Tethyan Himalayan Series (THS), which and its higher-grade basal unit, the Haimanta Group,
112	from the underlying GHS (Frank et al., 1995; Thakur & Tripathi, 2008). All of these units
113	represent rocks that were originally part of the Indian northern passive margin prior to collision,
114	with the GHS representing the result of the Cenozoic metamorphism associated with the orogeny.
115	The THS is back-thrusted towards the north on the Great Counter Thrust that places THS meta-
116	sedimentary rocks on top of the sequences of the Indus Suture Zone (Murphy & Yin, 2003; Yin,
117	2006), as well as the forearc to Eurasia, represented by the Indus Group in the NW Himalaya
118	(Brookfield & Andrews-Speed, 1984; Garzanti et al., 1987; Henderson et al., 2010).

- 119 The sedimentary section we study encompasses the time of exhumation of the LH, and we 120 therefore provide more detail on the evolution of this unit:
- 121 The LHs comprise an accretionary duplex whose origin may date back to ~20 Ma 122 (Bollinger *et al.*, 2004). The Tons Thrust that separates the ILH from the OLH is believed to have 123 been active by 16 Ma, with OLH exhumation after this time (Myrow et al., 2015; Colleps et al., 124 2019). Formation of a mid-crustal structural ramp at 11-12 Ma drove the duplexing of the ILH 125 (DeCelles et al., 1998b), now exposed in the Kullu-Rampur Window (Colleps et al., 2019), from 126 ~11 Ma (Thiede et al., 2004; Vannay et al., 2004; Caddick et al., 2007) before the Pliocene LH 127 duplexing favored by Robinson et al. (2006) in western Nepal or that proposed by Webb (2013) 128 for the Kangra Embayment. Final emplacement of the LHs in the frontal ranges occurred as a 129 result of motion along the MBT. The timing of initiation of motion on the MBT has been assigned 130 to around 11 Ma along the entire Himalayan front (Meigs et al., 1995), although when the first LH 131 rocks were finally exposed at the surface is debated. Deeken et al. (2011) have argued that the 132 MBT was active no later than 15 Ma in the area north of our studied sections. Changes in bulk 133 sediment isotopic signature imply that erosion of the ILH had begun in the front ranges by 10–11 134 Ma in Nepal (Huyghe et al., 2010). Earlier work by Najman et al. (2009; 2010) in the same area as 135 our current study indicates that the distinctive ILH were first eroding from the Kullu-Rampur 136 Window by around 11 Ma. Colleps et al. (2019) preferred a date for this initial exposure at 3-7 Ma 137 in this NW Indian area, while favoring an older age of 9–11 Ma in Nepal (Fig. 2). Data from the 138 Indus submarine fan records the first significant input from the ILH at ~ 6 Ma, with a substantial 139 increase around 2-3 Ma (Clift et al., 2019). 140 In the hinterland to our region of study, the LH are exposed along the range front in the
- 141 hanging wall of the MBT. It is not always clear whether these units are OLH or ILH. Webb (2013)
- 142 for example shows undifferentiated LH sedimentary rocks in the hanging wall within the Kangra

143	Embayment and OLH further to the east. Further north ILH are exposed within the tectonic Kullu-
144	Rampur Window (KRW) (Frank et al., 1995) (Figs. 1 and 2) which breaches the GHS and
145	Haimanta. In the KRW, the ILH are composed of amphibolite facies early-mid Proterozoic
146	gneisses, schists and quartzites of the LHCS, which overthrust Mesoproterozoic un-
147	metamorphosed ILH phyllites, quartzites, carbonates and mafic volcanic rocks along the Munsiari
148	Thrust (Valdiya, 1980; Vannay & Grasemann, 1998; Thiede et al., 2004). West of the KRW
149	Haimanta outcrops between the GHS and the range front thrust sheet of LH. According to Vannay
150	et al. (2004), after peak metamorphism at ~23 Ma, rapid exhumation of the GHS slowed in this
151	region after around 16 Ma, when movement along the MCT ceased. Peak metamorphic conditions
152	were no older than 11 Ma for the LHCS (Caddick et al., 2007), after which time exhumation
153	occurred along the Munsiari Thrust, with the ILH of the KRW breaching surface in the late
154	Miocene-Early Pliocene (see also Colleps et al. (2019)).
155	
156	3. Summer Monsoon Variations
157	The NW Himalayas are particularly suitable for testing links between climate and tectonics
158	because the region has one of the best long-term records of climatic evolution in Asia. Moreover,
159	the area is located on the edge of influence of the South Asian summer monsoon (Bookhagen &
160	Burbank, 2006) and thus is particularly sensitive to changes in the intensity and seasonality of the
161	rainfall. Although this area is also supplied by moisture during the winter via the Westerly Jet
162	(Karim & Veizer, 2002), the bulk of the rainfall, and especially the most erosive, stormy
163	precipitation events occur during the summer season (Bookhagen & Burbank, 2006). Summer
164	monsoon precipitation varies across the Indus catchment, broadly decreasing to the west from
165	~507 mm (76% of the annual total) in Chandigarh (India), to 385 mm (64%) in Islamabad

166 (Pakistan) (www.weather-atlas.com). The Asian monsoon, spanning South and East Asia, is

believed to have strengthened due to building of high topography during the Himalayan orogeny
(Prell & Kutzbach, 1992; Molnar *et al.*, 1993; Boos & Kuang, 2010), although this may have
occurred in a number of phases of uplift and strengthening (Farnsworth *et al.*, 2019). Oceanic
upwelling driven by summer monsoon winds seems to have begun to intensify after 13 Ma (Gupta *et al.*, 2015; Betzler *et al.*, 2016), with a subsequent increase after 11 Ma (Bialik *et al.*, 2020) and
another at 7–8 Ma (Kroon *et al.*, 1991; Prell *et al.*, 1992).

173 However, there is a disconnect between oceanic proxies and those related to continental 174 environmental conditions (Clift, 2017). While the transition from C3 tree-dominated flora to a 175 more C4-dominated grassy vegetation around 8 Ma was initially linked to monsoon intensification 176 (Quade *et al.*, 1989), this interpretation has since been reversed to imply Late Miocene drying 177 based on weathering intensity, oxygen isotopes in soil carbonates and the understanding that C4 178 grasses favor settings with strong dry seasons (Dettman et al., 2001; Vögeli et al., 2017a; Feakins 179 et al., 2020). Hydrogen isotope data from leaf waxes extracted from marine sediments in the 180 Arabian Sea also show a progressive drying since 11 Ma (Huang et al., 2007). This is also 181 consistent with chemical weathering data that demonstrates progressively less intense alteration 182 through time since the Late Miocene in sediments from the Indus Fan (Clift et al., 2008; Clift & 183 Jonell, 2021b; Zhou et al., 2021), although no clear temporal trend was seen in weathering proxies 184 in the sections considered here (Vögeli et al., 2017b). Because chemical weathering rates are 185 generally considered to slow as moisture reduces and temperatures fall (Filippelli, 1997; West et 186 al., 2005), weakening of the monsoon might be expected to cause less chemical weathering and 187 slower erosion, although slower sediment transport would have the opposite effect. The same 188 submarine fan sediments also show increasing amounts of hematite after 10 Ma (Zhou et al., 189 2021), which is also suggestive of drying environments, or at least increasing seasonality 190 characterized by a prolonged dry season (Schwertmann, 1971).

192 **4. Previous Work**

193 *4.1 Foreland Basin Stratigraphy*

194 The Himalayan foreland sedimentary sequence spans much of the Cenozoic. Sections of 195 sedimentary rock from the foreland basin were progressively accreted into the mountains as the 196 Indian plate under thrust northward. Because of this, these sediments are preserved in the Sub-197 Himalayan Siwalik hills (Fig. 1). Although the oldest part of the basin dates back to the Eocene 198 (Sahni & Srivastava, 1976; Najman, 2006; Ravikant et al., 2011) there is a substantial 199 unconformity separating Paleogene rocks from the overlying Neogene, the latter forming the target 200 of this study (Najman et al., 2004; Najman, 2006). It should be noted that some workers argue for 201 the section being more continuous and without a major break (Bera et al., 2008), although new 202 geochronology data from Colleps et al. (2019) casts further doubt on this near continuous age 203 model. The stratigraphic sections exposed at Jawalamukhi and Joginder Nagar form the Sub-Himalayan Neogene succession in our study area within the Kangra Embayment (Fig. 1). The 204 205 stratigraphic thickness of the Jawalamukhi section is ~3400 m, while the Joginder Nagar section is 206 ~2000 m thick (Fig. 3) (Meigs et al., 1995; Brozovic & Burbank, 2000). Deposition of the 207 Dharamsala Formation in the Kangra Embayment during the Early to Middle Miocene was 208 followed by accumulation of the Middle Miocene to Lower Pleistocene Siwalik Group (Meigs et 209 al., 1995; White et al., 2002). The Joginder Nagar section is made up of the Dharamsala 210 Formation, which contains the Upper and Lower Dharamsala members. The Lower Dharamsala 211 Member comprises the older finer grained Chimnum (>20 Ma) and younger (17–20 Ma) coarser 212 grained Pabo formations (White et al., 2002). The Upper Dharamsala Members comprises an older 213 finer grained Al Formation (15–17 Ma) and a younger coarser Makreri Formation (13–15 Ma). 214 The Jawalamukhi section comprises the Siwalik Group, encompassing the Upper, Middle, and

215 Lower Siwalik sub-groups. The combined sections represent a progressively coarsening-upward 216 sequence represented by fluvial sandstones, mostly of braided river origins passing up into 217 conglomerates of alluvial fan facies (Brozovic & Burbank, 2000; Najman et al., 2009; 2010). The 218 depositional ages of these rocks have been constrained by magnetostratigraphy and radiometric 219 dating of detrital minerals that indicate maximum depositional age, allowing their correlation with 220 climate records (Meigs et al., 1995; White et al., 2001). The younger Jawalamukhi section spans 221 13-5 Ma and the Joginder Nagar section was deposited at 21-13 Ma (Fig. 3). Combined, these two 222 sections document the longest erosional and exhumation history available in the NW Himalayan 223 foreland (Burbank et al., 1996).

224

4.2 Earlier Provenance Work from the Joginder Nagar and Jawalamukhi sections

226 Earlier studies of detrital monazite from the Dharamsala and Lower Siwalik Formations in 227 the Kangra Embayment indicated erosion of both high-grade GHS and similar protoliths such as 228 those preserved in the THS or OLH. The monazite also indicated erosion from Cambro-229 Ordovician granites now found within the GHS and Haimanta Unit of the THS (White et al., 230 2001). Further work on the same sections dating to 21–12.5 Ma included petrography, Sr-Nd isotope bulk compositions and single-grain ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar ages of mica (White *et al.*, 2002). This work, 231 232 particularly the short lag times determined from the predominance of Cenozoic micas, indicated 233 erosion from rapidly cooled GHS sources until ~17 Ma. This was followed by more erosion from a 234 lower grade source following cessation of motion on the MCT, as indicated by influx of older 235 mica grains and change in petrography; this source was considered to be the Haimanta of the THS 236 by White et al. (2002), reinterpreted as influx from the OLH by Colleps et al. (2019). Colleps et 237 al. (2019) used a combination of U-Pb and (U-Th)/He dating of zircons from both the LH and the 238 Dharamsala Group to identify a pulse of rapid exhumation along the Tons Thrust that separates the

239	OLH from the ILH at ~16 Ma. After ~12 Ma duplexing of the ILH shifted the locus of maximum
240	exhumation northward, allowing the rocks in the MCT hanging wall to be eroded and exposing the
241	ILH in the KRW. The upper part of the foreland sedimentary succession postdating 13 Ma near
242	Jawalamukhi was analyzed using the same methods by Najman et al. (2009; 2010). This work
243	implied initial erosion of the non-metamorphosed ILH after 11 Ma, based on the ϵ_{Nd} values of
244	clasts in pebbly sandstones; then loss of GHS drainage with material predominantly derived from
245	the Haimanta starting at 7 Ma, based on loss of Cenozoic micas; and then erosion from the LHCS
246	after 6 Ma based on a change to dominance of Precambrian micas.
247	Further to the east a multi-proxy study involving U-Pb zircon dating, bulk sediment
248	geochemistry and Sr-Nd isotopes targeted the Siwalik Group in the Dehra Dun region (Mandal et
249	al., 2019). This work concluded that LHCS erosion started after 6 Ma, following ILH erosion
250	starting at least since 10 Ma, although erosion from the GHS and THS dominated. Erosion and
251	recycling of foreland sedimentary rocks intensified after 5.5 Ma probably because of southward
252	propagation of the thrust front from the MBT.
253	
254	4.3 Rivers
255	The Indus River and its many tributaries are the main drainage system in the NW
256	Himalayan foreland (Fig. 2). The Beas River is an important tributary for this study because it is
257	located close to the sampled outcrops. Because the Siwaliks are offscraped and accreted parts of
258	the foreland the preserved sections must represent older equivalents of the modern floodplain,
259	potentially related to the Beas River since ongoing convergence necessarily brings Beas River
260	deposits towards the location of the preserved sections, where they might be offscraped in the

- 261 future, although axial rivers flowing further south might also be expected to contribute to older
- 262 parts of the preserved section. Although it has been argued that the eastern tributaries of the Indus

River used to flow to the east prior to the Late Miocene (Clift & Blusztajn, 2005), this model has
been questioned because it does not account for changing compositions through time of the
individual streams (Chirouze *et al.*, 2015).

266

267 5. Methods

268 13 sandstones were sampled from the Jawalamukhi and Joginder Nagar sedimentary 269 sections as shown in Figures 2 and 3, spanning the time range from 21 to 5 Ma (Table 1). We also 270 sampled the Beas River for a modern river sand for apatite fission track (AFT) work only. We use 271 a selection of bulk rock and single grain methods in order to constrain the source of the sediments. 272 Using a combination of different proxies allows the sediment source to be more accurately defined 273 and overcomes limitations in the resolution of individual methods. We use both high and low 274 temperature geo- and thermochronology to resolve between erosion from different source ranges, 275 as well as integrating pre-existing thermochronology and isotopic data taken from the same section. 276

277

278 5.1 X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF)

279 Although erosion and sediment transport may result in changes in the bulk sediment 280 chemistry of deposited sediments compared with the pristine source rocks, major and trace 281 element chemistry of sedimentary rocks can be used to constrain their origin because some 282 elements are resistant to alteration and mobilization during diagenesis (McLennan et al., 1993; 283 Fedo et al., 1995; Singh, 2009). These data may also provide an image of the state of chemical 284 weathering through the section (Nesbitt et al., 1980), which in turn may be linked to the monsoon 285 climate. The major element chemistry can show us large scale changes in sediment character that 286 provide context for the geochronology described below.

287	All thirteen whole rock sandstone samples were cut and processed through a jaw crusher.
288	The crushed rock samples were milled into fine grained powders. The powders were analyzed for
289	a suite of major elements and select trace elements through XRF spectrometry by the Washington
290	State University (WSU) GeoAnalytical Laboratory. Full analytical details are provided by Johnson
291	et al. (1999). Analytical uncertainties for major elements are $\sim 1\%$ of the measured value, as
292	determined from repeat analysis of a suite of nine USGS standard samples. Results are provided in
293	Table 2.
204	

295 5.2 U-Pb Detrital Zircon Dating

296 Detrital zircon U-Pb dating was completed using laser ablation-multicollector-inductively 297 coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (LA-MC-ICP-MS). After separation of the zircon fraction by 298 standard heavy liquid methods by GeoSep Services of Moscow Idaho, the grains were mounted 299 and the U-Th-Pb isotopic compositions were determined at the London Geochronology Centre 300 facilities at University College London using a New Wave 193 nm aperture-imaged, frequency-301 quintupled laser ablation system, coupled to an Agilent 7900 quadrupole-based ICP-MS. Full 302 methodology can be found in the Supplementary Information, along with all the isotopic analytical 303 data in Table S1.

We used kernel density estimates (KDEs) with pie charts to graphically display the detrital age spectra and a multi-dimensional scalar (MDS) diagram to assess the degree of similarity between samples (Vermeesch, 2013). Further modelling of the source contributions was made using the DZMix Matlab routine of Sundell & Saylor (2017). This involves a Monte Carlo-based mixing model, which allows the defined sources to the basin to be combined in order to try and replicate the age spectra measured for each of the samples. 10,000 attempts are made to replicate each particular detrital age spectrum through varying the contributions from the various sources in

311	order to match the observed age spectrum, with the best 1% selected (Figs. S1 and S2; Table S2 in
312	Online Supplement). This type of mixing can only be as good as the definition of the source areas,
313	although a large amount of bedrock data exists for the Himalayas. The ILH is distinctly different
314	from the OLH, THS and GHS concerning its U-Pb age spectrum. Because the OLH is the protolith
315	to the GHS, these two sources are indistinguishable in terms of their zircons age spectra. The
316	younger THS has a slightly different signature than the GHS and OLH, for example having a more
317	prominent ~500 Ma population and slightly fewer 900-1250 Ma grains, but it is mostly sourced
318	from these older units and therefore the differences are subtle. Therefore, we combine the OLH,
319	GHS and THS sources into one end member to allow a robust mixing model to be generated.
320	Furthermore, there is the added complexity that material that was originally derived from
321	one basement source might have been eroded and deposited temporarily elsewhere from where it
322	was then reworked into the flood plains (e.g., from the older Dharamsala Formation). Recycling
323	material out of older sedimentary sequences complicates the sediment unmixing and is known to
324	affect the modern rivers (Clift & Jonell, 2021a); however, quantitative estimates from the
325	Nepalese central Himalaya indicate that the load of the rivers in that area contains no more than
326	$\sim 10\%$ material recycled from the Siwalik Group (Lavé & Avouac, 2000). We thus do not include
327	these sedimentary rocks in our mixing models, because Siwalik end members cannot be used to
328	model other Siwalik sedimentary rocks. There is no simple way to remove this recycling effect,
329	but it might be expected to influence all our samples. We look for systematic major changes in
330	zircon age populations to quantify changes in provenance with the understanding that even
331	apparently unique peaks might be recycled through older sedimentary deposits.
332	

333 5.3 Apatite Fission Track

334	We use AFT methodology to trace the exhumation history of the source region by looking
335	at how lag times (mineral cooling age minus depositional age) evolve through the section. The
336	approach has been effective at reconstructing erosion rates elsewhere in the Himalaya. Analysis of
337	AFT in Nepal suggested that parts of the section may be reset during burial, prior to later uplift
338	and exposure (van der Beek et al., 2006). Studies of fission track in the Siwaliks of the NW
339	Himalayas have largely been restricted to zircon FT (Bernet et al., 2006; Chirouze et al., 2015),
340	although AFT data spanning the last 16 Ma is available for comparison from the Indus Fan (Zhou
341	<i>et al.</i> , 2020).
342	AFT data were collected from eight samples ranging from 5–19 Ma plus as well a single
343	modern river sand from the Beas River. Following mineral separation AFT analysis was

performed at the London Geochronology Centre using the external detector approach Full methodscan be found in Supplementary Information.

346

6. RESULTS

348 6.1 Major Element Chemistry

349 The major element chemistry indicates that these sediments are typical high SiO₂ 350 sandstones ranging from 66.6% to 93.3%, average 80.8% SiO₂ after normalizing for volatile 351 content (Fig. 4). This compares with an average of 74.9% SiO₂ for modern Indus catchment 352 Himalayan tributaries. The sediments have low contents of water mobile alkali earth elements, 353 such as K₂O and Na₂O. Average contents are 1.84% and 0.75% respectively compared with 2.38% 354 and 1.06% for the modern tributaries (Alizai et al., 2011). On the ternary plot of Fedo et al. 355 (1995)(Fig. 4A), the samples overlap with the analyses of Vögeli et al. (2017b) for the same 356 sections but show a coherent displacement to higher Chemical Index of Alteration (CIA) values 357 compared to the Quaternary Indus delta, shelf and canyon (Clift et al., 2010; Li et al., 2018), as

well as post 11 Ma turbidites from the Indus Fan (Zhou *et al.*, 2021). The sediments yield very
high Zr contents, averaging 209 ppm compared to 38 ppm for the modern rivers, with the nearest
streams, the Sutlej and the Beas, having only ~14 ppm (Alizai *et al.*, 2011). The contrast with the
Quaternary and older Indus Fan sediments is also clear on the diagram of Herron (1988) in which
the samples first analyzed in this study plot as arkose to sublitharenite rather than as wackes.

363

364 6.2 Detrital U-Pb Zircon

365 The age spectra of the detrital grains show a number of repeated common age populations 366 that are comparable to ages measured from basement source rocks (Fig. 5). The most common 367 populations range 400-750 Ma, 900-1250 Ma, 1700-2000 Ma and >2400 Ma. Grains deposited at 368 and before 8–9 Ma are dominated by the 750–1250 Ma population. There is little variation in age 369 composition from 20 to 11 Ma, although the oldest two samples deposited at 18–19 Ma and 20–21 370 Ma show very few 400–750 Ma grains. The zircon age spectra over the interval before 11 Ma are 371 most similar to the OLH, THS and GHS, and the modern Ravi River (Figs. 5 and 6). After 12 Ma, 372 samples show an appreciable increase in zircons in the range 1700–2000 Ma (Fig 5), typical of the 373 ILH, and samples younger than 8 Ma are distinctive in having a strong 1800–1900 Ma peak and 374 very few grains younger than 1700 Ma, similar to the modern Sutlej River, but unlike the Beas 375 River. None of the samples contain grains <200 Ma, which are associated with the Indus River and 376 to a lesser extent the Jhelum River (where the grains span ~10-200 Ma and are derived from the 377 Karakoram, Kohistan and Nanga Parbat (Alizai et al., 2011)), or even grains dated ~30 Ma which 378 are common in the Siwalik Group at Dehra Dun, as well as the Ganges (Mandal et al., 2019). 379 Given the lack of 30–200 Ma grains in our section, these 30 Ma zircons must come from 380 Oligocene intrusive rocks in the GHS which are uncommon in NW India west of Dehra Dun 381 (Steck, 2003)

383 *6.3 Apatite Fission Track*

384	The central age defined by the radial plots (Fig. S1 in Online Supplement) represents the
385	time at which the dominant bedrock sources cooled through the AFT partial annealing zone
386	(PAZ), assuming that the sedimentary rock itself has not been subjected to temperatures sufficient
387	for the AFT ages to be reset, since deposition. The Beas River sample has a central age of 1.4 \pm
388	0.1 Ma, dominated by a single population (Table 3). The four youngest sedimentary rocks (5–9
389	Ma) yielded single AFT populations indicating very short lag times (central ages within error of
390	their depositional age; Fig. 7). AFT analysis showed that samples older than 9–10 Ma have been
391	partially to completely reset because (1) their central age is significantly younger than their
392	depositional age, and (2) the central age youngs down section, which is typical of a reset section.
393	

7 Discussion

395 7.1 Major Element Chemistry

396 The major element chemistry is consistent with erosion from typical upper continental 397 crustal sources, although the contrast with the modern rivers and with the older deposits in the 398 Arabian Sea indicates that these sedimentary rocks are generally more weathered than the 399 sediments that reached the final depocentre in the recent or older past. The greater degree of 400 alteration reflects both their long storage in the floodplains immediately after sedimentation, when 401 they would have been exposed to moisture and heat, as well as renewed alteration during 402 diagenesis and further weathering as the ranges were uplifted more recently and the sediments 403 were again exposed. The high proportion of Zr compared to the modern rivers is suggestive of the 404 sources being relatively enriched in zircon compared to other source regions within the Indus 405 catchment, especially those in the suture zone and Karakoram. Strong weathering and diagenesis

406	may also have broken down less robust phases and increased the proportion of zircon. The major
407	element chemistry is however not diagnostic in terms of limiting the bedrock sources.

409 7.2 Detrital U-Pb Zircon

410	As noted above (see Section 6.2), for samples deposited from 21–9 Ma the dominant
411	population (750–1250 Ma) is similar to those seen in the OLH, GHS and THS (Fig. 5), which
412	share a very similar zircon U-Pb signature (see Section 5.2). It is clear that most of the samples are
413	similar to one another and distinct from the Indus River and the ILH (Fig. 6 and S4). Because the
414	Indus derives its sediments largely from the Karakoram and other parts of the suture zone
415	(Garzanti et al., 2005; Garzanti et al., 2020), the contrast with a part of the Himalayan foreland
416	remote from the Indus mainstream is unsurprising.

417

418 7.2.1 Transition after 11 Ma

419 At 11–12 Ma there was an increase in the 1700–2000 Ma zircon population distinctive of 420 the ILH, with further increase up-section, especially after 8 Ma (Fig. 5). End member modelling 421 using DZMix also supports the relative increase in erosion from the ILH, starting after 11 Ma and 422 accelerating after 8 Ma (Fig. 8a). Although 1700–2000 Ma grains are also seen in the OLH, GHS 423 and THS they are relatively scarce in those rocks compared to 750-1250 Ma zircons. If these latter 424 units were sources of the increase in 1700-2000 Ma grains to the Jawalamukhi section, then we 425 would anticipate finding far greater proportions of zircons of this younger 750–1250 Ma 426 population; however, this is not the case. Input from the ILH starting from 11 Ma is consistent 427 with previous work in this area, which identified non-metamorphosed ILH input from the Nd 428 isotopic signature of pebbly sandstone clasts by that time (Najman et al., 2010) (Fig. 8b).

429	However, this previous work was unable to determine relative proportions of such input as it was
430	based on clast data, unrepresentative of the section overall.
431	Moreover, this age is consistent with the evidence for ILH erosion obtained slightly further
432	to the east in the Dehra Dun area where zircons indicate initial unroofing of these units at least
433	since 10 Ma (Mandal et al., 2019).
434	
435	7.2.2 Transition after 8 Ma
436	The distinct increase in 1700–2000 Ma zircons at 7–8 Ma (Fig. 5), tracking towards the
437	ILH on the MDS plot (Fig. 6A) is coincident with the loss of mica grains dated <50 Ma (Najman
438	et al., 2009), implying loss of erosion from the GHS. Dominance of Paleozoic and Mesozoic
439	micas at this time would suggest a continuing Haimanta contribution in addition to the ILH (Fig.
440	8e). A second switch in mica provenance by 6 Ma, when grains become entirely Precambrian, and
441	a major change in Sr-Nd values of bulk sediment to values typical of the ILH (Fig 8b), is
442	consistent with a major ILH contribution, as indicated by the zircon ages.
443	It is important to note that the OLH are not exposed in the Kangra Embayment or in the
444	KRW and are not drained by the Beas River. It is thus unlikely that the Siwalik sections would
445	have received sediment from OLH sources close to the range front. Sediment supply to the
446	sections must have been from a paleo-Sutlej, Beas or potentially a smaller local river.
447	In the Dehra Dun area there was no loss of erosion from the GHS as we see at
448	Jawalamukhi, implying that this section was deposited from a separate river. Figure 9 shows KDE
449	plots of synchronous of samples from the Mohand Rao section at Dehra Dun and the Jawalamukhi
450	section. The figure shows that the size of the populations between 400 and 1250 Ma remained high
451	at Dehra Dun after 8 Ma while these groupings contracted sharply at Jawalamukhi.
452	

453 *7.2.3 Causes of the Provenance Changes*

The changes in provenance could reflect autogenic drainage reorganization in the flood 454 455 plain, and/or the motion of the section across the basin between different river flood plains. 456 Alternatively, changes in provenance could relate to the progressive unroofing of the KRW and 457 the addition of ILH material to this area. 458 Tectonic evolution of the region, as determined from bedrock data, may well explain the 459 provenance changes we observe in the foreland. Prograde metamorphism in the LHCS of the 460 KRW terminated at 11 Ma by tectonic exhumation along the Munsiari Thrust (Vannay et al., 461 2004; Caddick et al., 2007; Thiede et al., 2009). Najman et al. (2009; 2010) interpreted the first 462 appearance of ILH material at 11 Ma in the Jawalamukhi section as the result of input of non-463 metamorphosed ILH material associated with this exhumation event, followed by exhumation of 464 the LHCS by 6 Ma, as unroofing of the window progressed. This is in agreement with the 465 interpretation of Mandal et al. (2019), in which a provenance change in the Siwalik Group at 466 Dehra Dun at 6 Ma is interpreted as due to unroofing of the LHCS. 467 Alternatively, provenance evolution may reflect changes in the location of the sites relative 468 to the foreland rivers (Fig. 10). India has been moving towards the NNE throughout the Neogene 469 (Molnar & Stock, 2009; Copley et al., 2010; Clark, 2012) and as a result each of the sections that 470 have been accreted into the thrust stack within the Kangra Embayment must have approached this 471 part of the thrust front from the SSW prior to their offscraping. The rate of convergence (Clark, 472 2012) and the estimated distance of each section at the time of sedimentation of the individual 473 samples are provided in Table 1 and can be used as a rough guide to where each sample was 474 deposited relative to the mountain front. Stevens & Avouac (2015), estimate that presently around

475 half of the total convergence between India and Eurasia is absorbed within the Himalaya, so we

476 make an approximation that the other half represents convergence between the foreland basin and 477 the mountain front, in order to reposition each of the samples at the time of their sedimentation. 478 We estimate the geology of the source areas at various critical times based on the 479 geological map of DiPietro & Pogue (2004). Although we use the structural reconstructions of 480 Colleps *et al.* (2019) as a guide to the progressive unroofing of the different basement units, we 481 adjust these models for unroofing based on the results of our provenance analysis, as set out above. 482 The location of the sampled sections moved towards the Himalayas with ongoing 483 convergence, so that each section would have been under the influence of different rivers with 484 contrasting provenance at different times (Fig. 10). The major rivers flow towards the SW and 485 when the sites were in the distal foredeep, far from the mountain front, they may have been 486 affected by sedimentation from these tributaries. As they got closer to the mountains, in the 487 proximal foredeep, each section would have the opportunity to be affected by more local rivers 488 (e.g., the Beas), which themselves would have been in a state of constant reorganization and migration. 489 Sediment older than 11 Ma was supplied by a river eroding the GHS and THS, similar to 490

the modern Ravi River. However, the Ravi drainage has evolved since this time and the direction of flow from its NW location precludes this as being the source of the older sediments at Jawalamukhi and Joginder Nagar. The location of the Sutlej makes it the most likely source of sediment, although the provenance signature must have been quite different than the LH dominance seen in the modern Sutlej (Alizai *et al.*, 2011). This is to be expected since the KRW that dominates the modern river was not yet exposed at that time.

We infer that the younger part of the section is being supplied by a river which was
dominantly deriving its material from the ILH, probably related to the KRW. The MDS plot (Fig.
6) shows the younger samples are most like the modern Sutlej. It is noteworthy that the Sutlej

500 River basin contain significant exposures of the GHS and THS but has a zircon population 501 dominated by ILH sources because of climatically driven focused erosion (Alizai et al., 2011). 502 Nevertheless, the ε_{Nd} value of the modern Sutlej (Clift *et al.*, 2002) indicates that there is a 503 proportion of material derived from the GHS or THS; therefore, because the detrital mica data 504 shows that GHS material was cut from the younger part of Jawalamukhi section (Najman et al., 505 2009; 2010), it is more likely that the younger sediments of the Jawalamukhi section would have been supplied by a small local river draining only as far as the KRW or the neighboring Uttarkashi 506 507 semi-window. The provenance transition after 8 Ma may reflect motion of the sampled sections 508 from the paleo-Sutlej flood plains to the paleo-Beas flood plains. If it was the Beas River then this 509 must have changed its provenance significantly since 5–6 Ma.

510

511 7.2.4 Comparison with the Indus Fan

512 From this set of detrital zircon data, combined with previously published techniques, it can be deduced that the unroofing of the ILH in the source regions to these sediments began by 11 Ma 513 514 and increased after 8 Ma. Prior to that time the foreland deposits at Jawalamukhi are mostly 515 derived from the GHS and/or THS (Haimanta), since at least ~21 Ma. Relative lack of 1700–2000 516 Ma zircons seen in the Indus submarine fan until ~2 Ma (Clift et al., 2019), implies that most of 517 the Indus catchment had not exposed significant ILH bedrock until much later than inferred at 518 Jawalamukhi. Thus, the river that supplied the sediments we study from 11 to 2 Ma was deriving 519 its material from a catchment that was atypical of the wider area to the NW (i.e., a small 520 catchment), or that its discharge was greatly diluted by supply from contrasting rivers that were 521 not so greatly eroding ILH sources. The foreland sediments dated here between 11-2 Ma were 522 however more similar to foreland sediments found in the Dehra Dun area further east in showing 523 major erosion from the ILH after 11 Ma (Mandal et al., 2019) compared to those in the Indus Fan.

Sediments at Dehra Dun differ from Jawalamukhi in retaining a significant GHS and THS input
since at least 11 Ma.

526

527 7.3 Apatite Fission Track

Figure 7 shows how the AFT ages of the samples measured in this study compare both with their depositional ages, and with other data both further east in the Nepalese part of the foreland basin, as well as in the Indian Ocean submarine fans. There is no suggestion of more than one AFT age population in those samples (8–9 Ma, 6–7 Ma, and 5–6 Ma), whose central ages are within error of the depositional age, and therefore not reset. Their younging up-section is typical of erosion from a progressively exhuming hinterland. These samples have short lag times indicating rapid exhumation of the source region.

535 By contrast, samples older than 9 Ma are considered to be reset because the AFT ages form 536 a single population with a central age resolvably younger than the depositional age derived from 537 magnetic stratigraphy. Most of the reset rocks in the oldest part of the Joginder Nagar section lie in 538 the hanging wall of the Palampur Thrust that initiated prior to the oldest reset age of ~7 Ma. This 539 is consistent with the idea that the Dharamsala Formation and Lower Siwalik section (Joginder 540 Nagar section) was accreted to the toe of the orogenic wedge after 11.5 Ma, which is the age of the 541 youngest sediment known from this section. We conclude that the Palampur Thrust must have 542 started motion between 7.0 and 11.5 Ma. The single reset 2.2 Ma AFT age south of the Palampur 543 Thrust reflects an episode of uplift and erosion of that section by 2.2 Ma, presumably on the MFT 544 or an associated splay.

545 The AFT ages themselves do not provide any provenance information, because bedrock 546 AFT data from the potential sources in the modern mountains do not allow us to infer what the 547 AFT ages were in the same ranges in the past. Although we cannot determine the timing of the

548	start of rapid exhumation because the older samples are reset, it is noteworthy that the occurrence
549	of very rapidly cooled sediments starting no later than 9-10 Ma encompasses the time of
550	increasing flux of ILH materials into the basin we study. Earlier studies suggest that erosion of the
551	THS and GHS was slower after ~16–17 Ma in this region (White et al., 2001; Vannay et al., 2004;
552	Thiede et al., 2009), albeit getting faster again in the Dhauladar Range of Chamba after the start of
553	motion on the MBT after ~10 Ma (Deeken et al., 2011; Thiede et al., 2017). Our data support the
554	idea of rapid unroofing of the duplexed ILH and LHCS in the KRW starting at least by the Late
555	Miocene (~11 Ma), and particularly after 9 Ma, consistent with bedrock data from the ILH in the
556	KRW (Caddick et al., 2007; Thiede et al., 2009; Schlup et al., 2011). Modelling of
557	thermochronology data from the Sutlej-Beas region suggests accelerating erosion from the KRW
558	after 7 Ma (Stübner et al., 2018). We further note that this was a time of rapid regional
559	exhumation, as inferred from AFT studies of the Indus submarine fan (Zhou et al., 2020), the
560	Bengal Fan (Huyghe et al., 2020), as well as in the Nepalese part of the foreland (Bernet et al.,
561	2006; van der Beek et al., 2006). Lag times are longer in the modern Beas River than in the
562	foreland sediment deposited between 9 and 6 Ma but because the sources are quite different from
563	the youngest Siwalik sedimentary rocks in this study (Fig. 6) we cannot infer a widespread
564	slowing of exhumation in this region since 5–6 Ma based on these new data.

566 8. Climate-Tectonic Synthesis

The relative importance of tectonics versus climate in the evolution of the Himalayas is long-debated, with the relative influence of variations in thrust belt geometry and its implications for topographic development and landsliding, versus wetter climates and associated increase in erosion both cited as important controls (Robert *et al.*, 2011; Thiede & Ehlers, 2013; Godard *et al.*, 2014).

572	Rapid exhumation of the ILH duplex since 11 Ma, may be explained solely by tectonics which
573	could have driven surface uplift and so facilitated mass wasting on steep slopes (Mandal et al.,
574	2019). River incision of the ILH in the KRW may be linked to solid Earth tectonic processes, for
575	example the ramp geometry of the Main Himalayan Thrust (Eugster et al., 2018; Colleps et al.,
576	2019). However, the substantial increase of ILH input after 8 Ma is also coincident with a time of
577	climatic transition (Quade et al., 1989; Singh et al., 2011). In the foreland basin there is a
578	transition from C3 tree-dominated to a C4 grass-dominated vegetation at this time interpreted to
579	reflect a general drying of the climate, or at least the development of a strong dry season (Dettman
580	et al., 2001; Feakins et al., 2020). This trend was confirmed in the sections studied here by Vögeli
581	et al. (2017a) indicating a climatic transition at 7–8 Ma involving drying and more seasonality in
582	the NW Himalayas after that time, which is a hallmark of the South Asian monsoon.
583	Various proxies suggest that the region was drying in the Late Miocene and that both
584	regional weathering and erosion were slowing in the Indus Basin as a result (Clift et al., 2008;
585	Clift, 2017). However, that is not to say that more limited parts of the mountain front were
586	experiencing rapid erosion, especially the LH (Caddick et al., 2007; Thiede et al., 2009). It is
587	possible that the climate change would have caused the maximum rainfall band to migrate
588	southwards compared to its location when the summer monsoon was stronger and rain penetrated
589	deeper into the Himalayas during the Middle and Early Miocene. If climate was an important
590	driver of exhumation, we suggest a feedback whereby uplift caused by thrusting in the LH wedge
591	focused the rainfall by generating topography that focused orographic rainfall and allowed the LH
592	duplex to further build and then exhume (Thiede & Ehlers, 2013). This contrasts with the area
593	further NW in Chamba where the high ranges of the GHS form a rain shadow, reduce erosion and
594	prevent duplexing of the underlying ILH (Deeken et al., 2011).

596 9. Conclusions

597 Our study highlights the importance of localized foreland sections to accompany regional 598 erosional reconstructions, which are based on submarine fan sequences, when trying to understand 599 the erosion of large mountain belts over tectonically significant periods of geological time (>10 600 m.y.). Our work is consistent with the idea of ongoing climate-tectonic coupling. While stronger 601 monsoon may have driven exhumation of the GHS, the weakening and migration of rainfall in the 602 Late Miocene could be associated with duplexing.

603 Evidence for appreciable erosion from the ILH starts around 11 Ma and increased 604 progressively after 8 Ma. ILH input after 11 Ma is consistent with timing of movement on the 605 MBT, as well as with onset of ILH duplexing. Najman et al. (2009; 2010) attributed the change 606 from 8 Ma to progressive uplift and unroofing of the rocks in the KRW, consistent with the timing 607 of the window's exhumation as determined from bedrock analyses (Colleps et al., 2019). The loss 608 of GHS and Haimanta erosion could indicate drainage evolution in the foreland and supply from a 609 smaller river late in the accumulation of the section. Progressive motion of the Jawalamukhi 610 section towards the range front, and/or drainage reorganization in the foreland during the Late 611 Miocene may play a role in controlling which river was supplying the section prior to its accretion 612 into the toe of the orogenic wedge.

The Jawalamukhi section must have initially been in the floodplains of a major, likely basin axial river which was eroding both LH rocks and GHS-THS sources, probably a paleo-Sutlej. Both modern rivers are dominated by grains of LH origin (Alizai *et al.*, 2011) despite the fact that GHS and THS rocks are widely exposed in their catchments. However, the almost complete lack of Cenozoic micas in the youngest sediments suggests that a smaller transverse river with no GHS/THS source is more appropriate.

619

620 Acknowledgements

621 PC is grateful for support from the Charles T. McCord Chair in Petroleum Geology at Louisiana

- 622 State University. GeoSep Services are thanked for their mineral separating. Data for this paper are
- available from Mendeley Data (https://data.mendeley.com), doi: 10.17632/9gjmpbc443.1. Andrew
- 624 Carter performed the zircon dating and fission track analysis. Yani Najman collected the sample.
- 625 Elise Exnicios processed the samples. Peter Clift and Elise Exnicios made the figures and wrote
- 626 the initial draft to which Yani Najman contributed. Peter Clift and Yani Najman wrote the revised
- 627 draft. All authors contributed to the interpretation and final manuscript. The paper benefited from
- 628 review comments from Rasmus Thiede and an anonymous reviewer.

629

631 **REFERENCES**

- AITCHISON, J.C., ALI, J.R. & DAVIS, A.S. (2007) When and Where Did India and Asia Collide?
 Journal of Geophysical Research, **112**. doi:10.1029/2006JB004706.
- ALIZAI, A., CARTER, A., CLIFT, P.D., VANLANINGHAM, S., WILLIAMS, J.C. & KUMAR, R. (2011)
 Sediment Provenance, Reworking and Transport Processes in the Indus River by U–Pb
 Dating of Detrital Zircon Grains. *Global and Planetary Change*, **76**, 33-55.
- 637 doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2010.11.008.
- BADGLEY, C. & TAUXE, L. (1990) Paleomagnetic Stratigraphy and Time in Sediments: Studies in
 Alluvial Siwalik Rocks of Pakistan. *The Journal of Geology*, 98, 457-477.
 doi:10.1086/629419.
- BEAUMONT, C., JAMIESON, R.A., NGUYEN, M.H. & LEE, B. (2001) Himalayan Tectonics Explained
 by Extrusion of a Low-Viscosity Crustal Channel Coupled to Focused Surface Denudation.
 Nature, 414, 738-742. doi:10.1038/414738a.
- BERA, M.K., SARKAR, A., CHAKRABORTY, P.P., LOYAL, R.S. & SANYAL, P. (2008) Marine to
 Continental Transition in Himalayan Foreland. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*.
 doi:10.1130/B26265.1.
- BERNET, M., VAN DER BEEK, P., PIK, R., HUYGHE, P., MUGNIER, J.-L., LABRIN, E. & SZULC, A.G.
 (2006) Miocene to Recent Exhumation of the Central Himalaya Determined from
 Combined Detrital Zircon Fission-Track and U/Pb Analysis of Siwalik Sediments, Western
 Nepal. *Basin Research*, 18, 393–412. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2117.2006.00303.
- BETZLER, C., EBERLI, G.P., KROON, D., WRIGHT, J.D., SWART, P.K., NATH, B.N., ALVAREZZARIKIAN, C.A., ALONSO-GARCÍA, M., BIALIK, O.M., BLÄTTLER, C.L., GUO, J.A., HAFFEN,
 S., HOROZAI, S., INOUE, M., JOVANE, L., LANCI, L., LAYA, J.C., MEE, A.L.H., LÜDMANN, T.,
 NAKAKUNI, M., NIINO, K., PETRUNY, L.M., PRATIWI, S.D., REIJMER, J.J.G., REOLID, J.,
- 655SLAGLE, A.L., SLOSS, C.R., SU, X., YAO, Z. & YOUNG, J.R. (2016) The Abrupt Onset of the656Modern South Asian Monsoon Winds. Scientific Reports, 29838. doi:10.1038/srep29838.
- BIALIK, O.M., AUER, G., OGAWA, N.O., KROON, D., WALDMANN, N.D. & OHKOUCHI, N. (2020)
 Monsoons, Upwelling, and the Deoxygenation of the Northwestern Indian Ocean in
 Response to Middle to Late Miocene Global Climatic Shifts. *Paleoceanography and Paleoclimatology*, 35, e2019PA003762. doi:10.1029/2019PA003762.
- BOLLINGER, L., AVOUAC, J.P., BEYSSAC, O., CATLOS, E.J., HARRISON, T.M., GROVE, M., GOFFE, B.
 & SAPKOTA, S. (2004) Thermal Structure and Exhumation History of the Lesser Himalaya
 in Central Nepal. *Tectonics*, 23, 19. doi:10.1029/2003TC001564.
- BOOKHAGEN, B. & BURBANK, D.W. (2006) Topography, Relief, and Trmm-Derived Rainfall
 Variations Along the Himalaya. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 33.
 doi:10.1029/2006GL026037.
- BOOS, W.R. & KUANG, Z. (2010) Dominant Control of the South Asian Monsoon by Orographic
 Insulation Versus Plateau Heating. *Nature*, 463, 218-222. doi:10.1038/nature08707.
- BROOKFIELD, M.E. & ANDREWS-SPEED, C.P. (1984) Sedimentology, Petrography and Tectonic
 Significance of the Shelf, Flysch and Molasse Clastic Deposits across the Indus Suture
 Zone, Ladakh, Nw India. *Sedimentary Geology*, 40, 249-286. doi:10.1016/00370738(84)90011-3.
- BROZOVIC, N. & BURBANK, D.W. (2000) Dynamic Fluvial Systems and Gravel Progradation in the
 Himalayan Foreland. *GSA Bulletin*, **112**, 394-412. doi:10.1130/00167606(2000)112<394:Dfsagp>2.0.Co;2.
 - 28

- BURBANK, D.W., BECK, R.A. & MULDER, T. (1996) The Himalayan Foreland Basin. In: *The Tectonics of Asia* (Ed. by A. Yin & T. M. Harrison), 149–188. Cambridge University
 Press, New York.
- CADDICK, M.J., BICKLE, M.J., HARRIS, N.B.W., HOLLAND, T.J.B., HORSTWOOD, M.S.A., PARRISH,
 R.R. & AHMAD, T. (2007) Burial and Exhumation History of a Lesser Himalayan Schist:
 Recording the Formation of an Inverted Metamorphic Sequence in Nw India. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 264, 375-390. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2007.09.011.
- CAWOOD, P.A., JOHNSON, M.R.W. & NEMCHIN, A.A. (2007) Early Palaeozoic Orogenesis Along
 the Indian Margin of Gondwana: Tectonic Response to Gondwana Assembly. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 255, 70-84. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2006.12.006.
- CÉLÉRIER, J., HARRISON, T.M., WEBB, A.A.G. & YIN, A. (2009) The Kumaun and Garwhal Lesser
 Himalaya, India: Part 1. Structure and Stratigraphy. *GSA Bulletin*, **121**, 1262-1280.
 doi:10.1130/b26344.1.
- 689 CHIROUZE, F., HUYGHE, P., CHAUVEL, C., VAN DER BEEK, P., BERNET, M. & MUGNIER, J.-L. (2015)
 690 Stable Drainage Pattern and Variable Exhumation in the Western Himalaya since the
 691 Middle Miocene. *Journal of Geology*, **123**, 1–20. doi:10.1086/679305.
- 692 CLARK, M.K. (2012) Continental Collision Slowing Due to Viscous Mantle Lithosphere Rather
 693 Than Topography. *Nature*, 483, 74-77. doi:10.1038/nature10848.
- CLIFT, P.D., SHIMIZU, N., LAYNE, G., GAEDICKE, C., SCHLÜTER, H.U., CLARK, M.K. & AMJAD, S.
 (2001) Development of the Indus Fan and Its Significance for the Erosional History of the
 Western Himalaya and Karakoram. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, **113**, 1039–
 1051. doi:10.1130/0016-7606(2001)113<1039:DOTIFA>2.0.CO;2.
- CLIFT, P.D., LEE, J.I., HILDEBRAND, P., SHIMIZU, N., LAYNE, G.D., BLUSZTAJN, J., BLUM, J.D.,
 GARZANTI, E. & KHAN, A.A. (2002) Nd and Pb Isotope Variability in the Indus River
 System; Implications for Sediment Provenance and Crustal Heterogeneity in the Western
 Himalaya. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 200, 91-106. doi:10.1016/S0012821X(02)00620-9.
- CLIFT, P.D. & BLUSZTAJN, J.S. (2005) Reorganization of the Western Himalayan River System
 after Five Million Years Ago. *Nature*, 438, 1001-1003. doi:10.1038/nature04379.
- CLIFT, P.D., HODGES, K., HESLOP, D., HANNIGAN, R., HOANG, L.V. & CALVES, G. (2008) Greater
 Himalayan Exhumation Triggered by Early Miocene Monsoon Intensification. *Nature Geoscience*, 1, 875-880. doi:10.1038/ngeo351.
- CLIFT, P.D., GIOSAN, L., CARTER, A., GARZANTI, E., GALY, V., TABREZ, A.R., PRINGLE, M.,
 CAMPBELL, I.H., FRANCE-LANORD, C., BLUSZTAJN, J., ALLEN, C., ALIZAI, A., LÜCKGE, A.,
 DANISH, M. & RABBANI, M.M. (2010) Monsoon Control over Erosion Patterns in the
 Western Himalaya: Possible Feed-Backs into the Tectonic Evolution. In: *Monsoon Evolution and Tectonic-Climate Linkage in Asia* (Ed. by P. D. Clift, R. Tada & H. Zheng),
- 713 *Special Publication*, **342**, 181–213. Geological Society, London.
- CLIFT, P.D. (2017) Cenozoic Sedimentary Records of Climate-Tectonic Coupling in the Western
 Himalaya. *Progress in Earth and Planetary Science*, 4. doi:10.1186/s40645-017-0151-8.
- CLIFT, P.D., ZHOU, P., STOCKLI, D.F. & BLUSZTAJN, J. (2019) Regional Pliocene Exhumation of
 the Lesser Himalaya in the Indus Drainage. *Solid Earth*, 10, 647-661. doi:10.5194/se-10 647-2019.
- CLIFT, P.D., KULHANEK, D.K., ZHOU, P., BOWEN, M.G., VINCENT, S.M., LYLE, M. & HAHN, A.
 (2020) Chemical Weathering and Erosion Responses to Changing Monsoon Climate in the
 Late Miocene of Southwest Asia. *Geological Magazine*, 157, 939-955.
 doi:10.1017/S0016756819000608.

- CLIFT, P.D. & JONELL, T.N. (2021a) Monsoon Controls on Sediment Generation and Transport:
 Mass Budget and Provenance Constraints from the Indus River Catchment, Delta and
 Submarine Fan over Tectonic and Multi-Millennial Timescales. *Earth-Science Reviews*,
 103682. doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2021.103682.
- CLIFT, P.D. & JONELL, T.N. (2021b) Himalayan-Tibetan Erosion Is Not the Cause of Neogene
 Global Cooling. *Geophysical Research Letters*, n/a, e2020GL087742.
 doi:10.1029/2020GL087742.
- COLLEPS, C.L., STOCKLI, D.F., MCKENZIE, N.R., WEBB, A.A.G. & HORTON, B.K. (2019) Neogene
 Kinematic Evolution and Exhumation of the Nw India Himalaya: Zircon Geo- and
 Thermochronometric Insights from the Fold-Thrust Belt and Foreland Basin. *Tectonics*, 38, 2059-2086. doi:10.1029/2018tc005304.
- COPLEY, A., AVOUAC, J.-P. & ROYER, J.-Y. (2010) The India-Asia Collision and the Cenozoic
 Slowdown of the Indian Plate; Implications for the Forces Driving Plate Motions. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 115. doi:10.1029/2009JB006634.
- CORRIGAN, J.D. & CROWLEY, J.L. (1990) Fission Track Analysis of Detrital Apatites from Sites
 738 717 and 718, Leg 116, Central Indian Ocean. *Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program*,
 739 *Scientific Results*, **116**, 75–92. doi:10.2973/odp.proc.sr.116.118.1990.
- CURRAY, J.R., EMMEL, F.J. & MOORE, D.G. (2003) The Bengal Fan: Morphology, Geometry,
 Stratigraphy, History and Processes. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, 19, 1191–1223.
 doi:10.1016/S0264-8172(03)00035-7.
- 743 DAVIS, D., SUPPE, J. & DAHLEN, F.A. (1983) Mechanics of Fold-and-Thrust Belts and
 744 Accretionary Wedges. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 88, 1153-1172.
 745 doi:10.1029/JB088iB02p01153.
- DECELLES, P.G., GEHRELS, G.E., QUADE, J. & OJHA, T.P. (1998a) Eocene-Early Miocene Foreland
 Basin Development and the History of Himalayan Thrusting, Western and Central Nepal.
 Tectonics, 17, 741-765. 10.1029/98tc02598.
- DECELLES, P.G., GEHRELS, G.E., QUADE, J., OJHA, T.P., KAPP, P.A. & UPRETI, B.N. (1998b)
 Neogene Foreland Basin Deposits, Erosional Unroofing, and the Kinematic History of the
 Himalayan Fold-Thrust Belt, Western Nepal. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 110,
 2-21. doi:10.1130/0016-7606(1998)110<0002:NFBDEU>2.3.CO;2.
- DECELLES, P.G., GEHRELS, G.E., QUADE, J., LAREAU, B. & SPURLIN, M. (2000) Tectonic
 Implications of U-Pb Zircon Ages of the Himalayan Orogenic Belt in Nepal. *Science*, 288, 497-499. doi:10.1126/science.288.5465.497.
- DECELLES, P.G., GEHRELS, G.E., NAJMAN, Y., MARTIN, A.J., CARTER, A. & GARZANTI, E. (2004)
 Detrital Geochronology and Geochemistry of Cretaceous–Early Miocene Strata of Nepal:
 Implications for Timing and Diachroneity of Initial Himalayan Orogenesis. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 227, 313-330. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2004.08.019.
- DECELLES, P.G., KAPP, P., GEHRELS, G.E. & DING, L. (2014) Paleocene-Eocene Foreland Basin
 Evolution in the Himalaya of Southern Tibet and Nepal: Implications for the Age of Initial
 India-Asia Collision. *Tectonics*, 33, 824–849. doi:10.1002/2014TC003522.
- DECELLES, P.G., CARRAPA, B., GEHRELS, G.E., CHAKRABORTY, T. & GHOSH, P. (2016) AlongStrike Continuity of Structure, Stratigraphy, and Kinematic History in the Himalayan
 Thrust Belt: The View from Northeastern India. *Tectonics*, 35, 2995–3027.
 doi:10.1002/2016TC004298.
- DEEKEN, A., THIEDE, R.C., SOBEL, E.R., HOURIGAN, J.K. & STRECKER, M.R. (2011) Exhumational
 Variability within the Himalaya of Northwest India. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*,
 305, 103-114. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2011.02.045.

770	DETTMAN, D.L., KOHN, M.J., QUADE, J., RYERSON, F.J., OJHA, T.P. & HAMIDULLAH, S. (2001)
771	Seasonal Stable Isotope Evidence for a Strong Asian Monsoon Throughout the Past 10.7
772	M.Y. Geology, 29 , 31-34. doi:10.1130/0091-7613(2001)029<0031:SSIEFA>2.0.CO;2.
773	DIPIETRO, J.A. & POGUE, K.R. (2004) Tectonostratigraphic Subdivisions of the Himalaya: A View
774	from the West. Tectonics, 23. doi:10.1029/2003TC001554.
775	EUGSTER, P., THIEDE, R.C., SCHERLER, D., STÜBNER, K., SOBEL, E.R. & STRECKER, M.R. (2018)
776	Segmentation of the Main Himalayan Thrust Revealed by Low-Temperature
777	Thermochronometry in the Western Indian Himalaya. Tectonics, 37, 2710-2726.
778	doi:10.1029/2017TC004752.
779	FARNSWORTH, A., LUNT, D.J., ROBINSON, S.A., VALDES, P.J., ROBERTS, W.H.G., CLIFT, P.D.,
780	Markwick, P., Su, T., Wrobel, N., Bragg, F., Kelland, SJ. & Pancost, R.D. (2019)
781	Past East Asian Monsoon Evolution Controlled by Paleogeography, Not
782	Co≪Sub≫2≪/Sub&Gt. Science Advances, 5, eaax1697.
783	doi:10.1126/sciadv.aax1697.
784	FEAKINS, S.J., LIDDY, H.M., TAUXE, L., GALY, V., FENG, X., TIERNEY, J.E., MIAO, Y. & WARNY, S.
785	(2020) Miocene C4 Grassland Expansion as Recorded by the Indus Fan.
786	Paleoceanography and Paleoclimatology, 35 , e2020PA003856.
787	doi:10.1029/2020PA003856.
788	FEDO, C.M., NESBITT, H.W. & YOUNG, G.M. (1995) Unraveling the Effects of Potassium
789	Metasomatism in Sedimentary Rocks and Paleosols, with Implications for Paleoweathering
790	Conditions and Provenance. Geology, 23, 921–924. doi:10.1130/0091-
791	7613(1995)023<0921:UTEOPM>2.3.CO;2.
792	FILIPPELLI, G.M. (1997) Intensification of the Asian Monsoon and a Chemical Weathering Event
793	in the Late Miocene-Early Pliocene: Implications for Late Neogene Climate Change.
794	<i>Geology</i> , 25 , 27-30. doi:10.1130/0091-7613(1997)025<0027:IOTAMA>2.3.CO;2.
795	FRANCE-LANORD, C., DERRY, L. & MICHARD, A. (1993) Evolution of the Himalaya since Miocene
796	Time: Isotopic and Sedimentologic Evidence from the Bengal Fan In: Himalayan
797	Tectonics (Ed. by P. J. Treloar & M. P. Searle), Special Publications, 74, 603-621.
798	Geological Society, London.
799	FRANK, W., GRASEMANN, B., GUNTLI, P. & MILLER, C. (1995) Geological Map of the Kishtwar-
800	Chamba-Kulu Region (Nw Himalayas, India). Jahrbuch der Geologischen Bundesanstalt,
801	138 , 299-308.
802	GALBRAITH, R.F. (1990) The Radial Plot: Graphical Assessment of Spread in Ages Nuclear
803	<i>Tracks and Radiation Measurement</i> , 17 , 207–214. doi:10.1016/1359-0189(90)90036-W.
804	GARZANTI, E., BAUD, A. & MASCLE, G. (1987) Sedimentary Record of the Northward Flight of
805	India and Its Collision with Eurasia (Ladakh Himalaya, India). <i>Geodinamica Acta</i> , 1 , 297–
806	312. doi:10.1080/09853111.1987.11105147.
807	GARZANTI, E., VEZZOLI, G., ANDO, S., PAPARELLA, P. & CLIFT, P.D. (2005) Petrology of Indus
808	River Sands; a Key to Interpret Erosion History of the Western Himalayan Syntaxis. <i>Earth</i>
809	and Planetary Science Letters, 229 , 287-302. doi: 10.1016/j.epsl.2004.11.008.
810	GARZANTI, E., LIANG, W., ANDÒ, S., CLIFT, P.D., RESENTINI, A., VERMEESCH, P. & VEZZOLI, G.
811	(2020) Provenance of Thal Desert Sand: Focused Erosion in the Western Himalayan
812 813	Syntaxis and Foreland-Basin Deposition Driven by Latest Quaternary Climate Change.
813 814	Earth-Science Reviews, 207, 103220. doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2020.103220.
814	GEHRELS, G., KAPP, P., DECELLES, P., PULLEN, A., BLAKEY, R., WEISLOGEL, A., DING, L., GUYNN,
013	J., MARTIN, A., MCQUARRIE, N. & YIN, A. (2011) Detrital Zircon Geochronology of Pre-

816 Tertiary Strata in the Tibetan-Himalayan Orogen. *Tectonics*, **30**, TC5016. 817 doi:10.1029/2011tc002868. 818 GEHRELS, G.E., DECELLES, P.G., OJHA, T.P. & UPRETI, B.N. (2006) Geologic and U-Th-Pb Geochronologic Evidence for Early Paleozoic Tectonism in the Kathmandu Thrust Sheet, 819 Central Nepal Himalaya. Geological Society of America Bulletin, 118, 185-198. 820 821 doi:10.1130/b25753.1. GODARD, V., BOURLÈS, D.L., SPINABELLA, F., BURBANK, D.W., BOOKHAGEN, B., FISHER, G.B., 822 823 MOULIN, A. & LÉANNI, L. (2014) Dominance of Tectonics over Climate in Himalayan 824 Denudation. Geology, 42, 243-246. doi:10.1130/g35342.1. 825 GREEN, O.R., SEARLE, M.P., CORFIELD, R.I. & CORFIELD, R.M. (2008) Cretaceous-Tertiary 826 Carbonate Platform Evolution and the Age of the India-Asia Collision Along the Ladakh 827 Himalaya (Northwest India). Journal of Geology, 116, 331–353. doi: 10.1086/588831. 828 GUPTA, A.K., YUVARAJA, A., PRAKASAM, M., CLEMENS, S.C. & VELU, A. (2015) Evolution of the 829 South Asian Monsoon Wind System since the Late Middle Miocene. Palaeogeography, 830 Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 438, 160–167. doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2015.08.006. 831 HENDERSON, A.L., NAJMAN, Y., PARRISH, R., BOUDAGHER-FADEL, M., BARFORD, D., GARZANTI, E. & ANDÒ, S. (2010) Geology of the Cenozoic Indus Basin Sedimentary Rocks: 832 833 Paleoenvironmental Interpretation of Sedimentation from the Western Himalaya During 834 the Early Phases of India-Eurasia Collision Tectonics, 29. doi:10.1029/2009TC002651. 835 HERRON, M.M. (1988) Geochemical Classification of Terrigenous Sands and Shales from Core or Log Data. Journal of Sedimentary Petrology, 58, 820-829. doi;10.1306/212F8E77-2B24-836 837 11D7-8648000102C1865D. 838 HORTON, F. & LEECH, M.L. (2013) Age and Origin of Granites in the Karakoram Shear Zone and 839 Greater Himalaya Sequence, Nw India. Lithosphere, 5, 300-320. 10.1130/1213.1. 840 HUANG, Y., CLEMENS, S.C., LIU, W., WANG, Y. & PRELL, W.L. (2007) Large-Scale Hydrological 841 Change Drove the Late Miocene C4 Plant Expansion in the Himalayan Foreland and 842 Arabian Peninsula. Geology, 35, 531-534. doi:10.1130/G23666A.1. 843 HUGHES, N.C. (2016) The Cambrian Palaeontological Record of the Indian Subcontinent. Earth-844 Science Reviews, 159, 428-461. doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2016.06.004. HURFORD, A. (1990) Standardization of Fission Track Dating Calibration: Recommendation by the 845 846 Fission Track Working Group of the Iugs Subcommission on Geochronology. Chemical 847 Geology, 80, 177-178. doi:10.1016/0168-9622(90)90025-8. 848 HUYGHE, P., GUILBAUD, R., BERNET, M., GALY, A. & GAJUREL, A.P. (2010) Significance of the Clay Mineral Distribution in Fluvial Sediments of the Neogene to Recent Himalayan 849 850 Foreland Basin (West-Central Nepal). Basin Research, 22. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-851 2117.2010.00485.x. 852 HUYGHE, P., BERNET, M., GALY, A., NAYLOR, M., CRUZ, J., GYAWALI, B.R., GEMIGNANI, L. & 853 MUGNIER, J.L. (2020) Rapid Exhumation since at Least 13 Ma in the Himalaya Recorded 854 by Detrital Apatite Fission-Track Dating of Bengal Fan (Iodp Expedition 354) and Modern Himalayan River Sediments. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 534, 116078. 855 856 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2020.116078. 857 JOHNSON, D., HOOPER, P. & CONREY, R. (1999) Xrf Method Xrf Analysis of Rocks and Minerals 858 for Major and Trace Elements on a Single Low Dilution Li-Tetraborate Fused Bead. Adv. 859 *X-ray anal*, **41**, 843-867. 860 JOHNSON, N.M., STIX, J., TAUXE, L., CERVENY, P.F. & TAHIRKHELI, R.A.K. (1985) Palaeomagnetic Chronology, Fluvial Processes and Tectonic Implications of the Siwalik Deposits near 861 862 Chinji Village, Pakistan. Journal of Geology, 93, 27-40. doi:10.1086/628917.

- JONELL, T.N., CARTER, A., BÖNING, P., PAHNKE, K. & CLIFT, P.D. (2017) Climatic and Glacial
 Impact on Erosion Patterns and Sediment Provenance in the Himalayan Rain Shadow,
 Zanskar River, Nw India. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, **129**, 820-836.
 doi:10.1130/b31573.1.
- KARIM, A. & VEIZER, J. (2002) Water Balance of the Indus River Basin and Moisture Source in the
 Karakoram and Western Himalayas: Implications from Hydrogen and Oxygen Isotopes
 River Water. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **107**. doi:10.1029/2000JD000253.
- KOHN, M.J., PAUL, S.K. & CORRIE, S.L. (2009) The Lower Lesser Himalayan Sequence: A
 Paleoproterozoic Arc on the Northern Margin of the Indian Plate. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, **122**, 323-335. doi:10.1130/b26587.1.
- KROON, D., STEENS, T. & TROELSTRA, S.R. (1991) Onset of Monsoonal Related Upwelling in the
 Western Arabian Sea as Revealed by Planktonic Foraminifers. In: *Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program, Scientific Results* (Ed. by W. Prell & N. Niitsuma), 117, 257–
 263. Ocean Drilling Program, College Station, TX.
- LAVÉ, J. & AVOUAC, J.P. (2000) Active Folding of Fluvial Terraces across the Siwaliks Hills
 (Himalayas of Central Nepal). *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **105**, 5735–5770. doi:
 10.1029/1999JB900292.
- LI, Y., CLIFT, P.D., BÖNING, P., BLUSZTAJN, J., MURRAY, R.W., IRELAND, T., PAHNKE, K., HELM,
 N.C. & GIOSAN, L. (2018) Continuous Holocene Input of River Sediment to the Indus
 Submarine Canyon. *Marine Geology*, **406**, 159-176. doi:10.1016/j.margeo.2018.09.011.
- MANDAL, S.K., SCHERLER, D., ROMER, R.L., BURG, J.-P., GUILLONG, M. & SCHLEICHER, A.M.
 (2019) Multiproxy Isotopic and Geochemical Analysis of the Siwalik Sediments in Nw
 India: Implication for the Late Cenozoic Tectonic Evolution of the Himalaya. *Tectonics*,
 38, 120-143. doi:10.1029/2018TC005200.
- MARTIN, A.J., DECELLES, P.G., GEHRELS, G.E., PATCHETT, P.J. & ISACHSEN, C. (2005) Isotopic
 and Structural Constraints on the Location of the Main Central Thrust in the Annapurna
 Range, Central Nepal Himalaya. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 117.
 doi:10.1130/b25646.1.
- MARTIN, A.J., GANGULY, J. & DECELLES, P.G. (2009) Metamorphism of Greater and Lesser
 Himalayan Rocks Exposed in the Modi Khola Valley, Central Nepal. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology*, **159**, 203-223. doi:10.1007/s00410-009-0424-3.
- MCKENZIE, N.R., HUGHES, N.C., MYROW, P.M., XIAO, S. & SHARMA, M. (2011) Correlation of
 Precambrian–Cambrian Sedimentary Successions across Northern India and the Utility of
 Isotopic Signatures of Himalayan Lithotectonic Zones. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, **312**, 471-483. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2011.10.027.
- MCLENNAN, S., HEMMING, S., MCDANIEL, D. & HANSON, G. (1993) Geochemical Approaches to
 Sedimentation, Provenance, and Tectonics (Ed. by M. J. Johnson & A. Basu), Special
 Papers, 284, 21-21. Geological Society of America, Boulder. doi:10.1130/SPE284-p21.
- McNeill, L.C., Dugan, B., Backman, J., Pickering, K.T., Pouderoux, H.F.A., Henstock, T.J.,
 Petronotis, K.E., Carter, A., Chemale, F., Milliken, K.L., Kutterolf, S.,
- 903 MUKOYOSHI, H., CHEN, W., KACHOVICH, S., MITCHISON, F.L., BOURLANGE, S., COLSON,
- 904 T.A., FREDERIK, M.C.G., GUÈRIN, G., HAMAHASHI, M., HOUSE, B.M., HÜPERS, A., JEPPSON,
- 905 T.N., KENIGSBERG, A.R., KURANAGA, M., NAIR, N., OWARI, S., SHAN, Y., SONG, I.,
- 906 TORRES, M.E., VANNUCCHI, P., VROLIJK, P.J., YANG, T., ZHAO, X. & THOMAS, E. (2017)
- 907 Understanding Himalayan Erosion and the Significance of the Nicobar Fan. *Earth and* 908 Planatary Science Latters **475**, 134, 142, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2017.07.019
- 908 Planetary Science Letters, **475**, 134-142. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2017.07.019.

- MCQUARRIE, N., ROBINSON, D., LONG, S., TOBGAY, T., GRUJIC, D., GEHRELS, G. & DUCEA, M.
 (2008) Preliminary Stratigraphic and Structural Architecture of Bhutan: Implications for
 the Along Strike Architecture of the Himalayan System. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 272, 105-117. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2008.04.030.
- MEIGS, A.J., BURBANK, D.W. & BECK, R.A. (1995) Middle-Late Miocene (>10 Ma) Formation of
 the Main Boundary Thrust in the Western Himalaya. *Geology*, 23, 423-426.
 doi:10.1130/0091-7613(1995)023<0423:MLMMFO>2.3.CO;2.
- MILLER, C., KLÖTZLI, U., FRANK, W., THÖNI, M. & GRASEMANN, B. (2000) Proterozoic Crustal
 Evolution in the Nw Himalaya (India) as Recorded by Circa 1.80 Ga Mafic and 1.84 Ga
 Granitic Magmatism. *Precambrian Research*, 103, 191-206. doi:10.1016/S03019268(00)00091-7.
- MILLER, C., THÖNI, M., FRANK, W., GRASEMANN, B., KLÖTZLI, U., GUNTLI, P. & DRAGANITS, E.
 (2001) The Early Palaeozoic Magmatic Event in the Northwest Himalaya, India: Source,
 Tectonic Setting and Age of Emplacement. *Geological Magazine*, 138, 237-251.
 doi:10.1017/s0016756801005283.
- MOLNAR, P., ENGLAND, P. & MARTINOD, J. (1993) Mantle Dynamics, Uplift of the Tibetan
 Plateau, and the Indian Monsoon. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 31, 357-396.
 doi:10.1029/93RG02030.
- MOLNAR, P. & STOCK, J.M. (2009) Slowing of India's Convergence with Eurasia since 20 Ma and
 Its Implications for Tibetan Mantle Dynamics. *Tectonics*, 28. doi:10.1029/2008TC002271.
- MURPHY, M.A. & YIN, A. (2003) Structural Evolution and Sequence of Thrusting in the Tethyan
 Fold-Thrust Belt and Indus-Yalu Suture Zone, Southwest Tibet. *GSA Bulletin*, 115, 21-34.
 doi:10.1130/0016-7606(2003)115<0021:Seasot>2.0.Co;2.
- MYROW, P.M., HUGHES, N.C., PAULSEN, T.S., WILLIAMS, I.S., PARCHA, S.K., THOMPSON, K.R.,
 BOWRING, S.A., PENG, S.C. & AHLUWALIA, A.D. (2003) Integrated Tectonostratigraphic
 Analysis of the Himalaya and Implications for Its Tectonic Reconstruction. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 212, 433-441. doi:10.1016/s0012-821x(03)00280-2.
- MYROW, P.M., HUGHES, N.C., GOODGE, J.W., FANNING, C.M., WILLIAMS, I.S., PENG, S.,
 BHARGAVA, O.N., PARCHA, S.K. & POGUE, K.R. (2010) Extraordinary Transport and
 Mixing of Sediment across Himalayan Central Gondwana During the CambrianOrdovician. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, **122**, 1660-1670.
 doi:10.1130/b30123.1.
- MYROW, P.M., HUGHES, N.C., DERRY, L.A., MCKENZIE, R.N., JIANG, G., WEBB, A.A.G.,
 BANERJEE, D.M., PAULSEN, T.S. & SINGH, B.P. (2015) Neogene Marine Isotopic Evolution
 and the Erosion of Lesser Himalayan Strata: Implications for Cenozoic Tectonic History. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 417, 142-150. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2015.02.016.
- NAJMAN, Y., JOHNSON, K., WHITE, N. & OLIVER, G. (2004) Evolution of the Himalayan Foreland
 Basin, Nw India. *Basin Research*, 16, 1-24. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2117.2004.00223.x.
- NAJMAN, Y. (2006) The Detrital Record of Orogenesis: A Review of Approaches and Techniques
 Used in the Himalayan Sedimentary Basins. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 74, 1–72.
 10.1016/j.earscirev.2005.04.004.
- NAJMAN, Y., BICKLE, M., GARZANTI, E., PRINGLE, M., BARFOD, D., BROZOVIC, N., BURBANK, D. &
 ANDO, S. (2009) Reconstructing the Exhumation History of the Lesser Himalaya, Nw
 India, from a Multitechnique Provenance Study of the Foreland Basin Siwalik Group. *Tectonics*, 28, n/a-n/a. doi:10.1029/2009tc002506.
- NAJMAN, Y., BICKLE, M., GARZANTI, E., PRINGLE, M., BARFOD, D., BROZOVIC, N., BURBANK, D. &
 ANDO, S. (2010) Correction to "Reconstructing the Exhumation History of the Lesser

956 Himalaya, Nw India, from a Multitechnique Provenance Study of the Foreland Basin 957 Siwalik Group". Tectonics, 29. doi:10.1029/2010TC002778. 958 NAJMAN, Y., JENKS, D., GODIN, L., BOUDAGHER-FADEL, M., MILLAR, I., GARZANTI, E., 959 HORSTWOOD, M. & BRACCIALI, L. (2017) The Tethyan Himalayan Detrital Record Shows 960 That India-Asia Terminal Collision Occurred by 54 Ma in the Western Himalaya. Earth 961 and Planetary Science Letters, 459, 301-310. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2016.11.036. NESBITT, H.W., MARKOVICS, G. & PRICE, R.C. (1980) Chemical Processes Affecting Alkalis and 962 963 Alkaline Earths During Continental Weathering. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 44, 964 1659-1666. doi:10.1016/0016-7037(80)90218-5. 965 PARKASH, B., SHARMA, R.P. & ROY, A.K. (1980) The Siwalik Group (Molasses)-Sediments Shed by Collision of Continental Plates. Sedimentary Geology, 25, 127-159. doi:10.1016/0037-966 967 0738(80)90058-5. 968 PARRISH, R.R. & HODGES, V. (1996) Isotopic Constraints on the Age and Provenance of the Lesser and Greater Himalayan Sequences, Nepalese Himalaya. Geological Society of America 969 970 Bulletin, 108, 904-911. doi:10.1130/0016-7606(1996)108<0904:Icotaa>2.3.Co;2. 971 PRELL, W.L. & KUTZBACH, J.E. (1992) Sensitivity of the Indian Monsoon to Forcing Parameters and Implications for Its Evolution. Nature, 360, 647-652. doi:10.1038/360647a0. 972 973 PRELL, W.L., MURRAY, D.W., CLEMENS, S.C. & ANDERSON, D.M. (1992) Evolution and 974 Variability of the Indian Ocean Summer Monsoon: Evidence from the Western Arabian 975 Sea Drilling Program. In: Synthesis of Results from Scientific Drilling in the Indian Ocean 976 (Ed. by R. A. Duncan, D. K. Rea, R. B. Kidd, U. von Rad & J. K. Weissel), Geophysical 977 Monograph, 70, 447–469. American Geophysical Union, Washington, DC. 978 QUADE, J., CERLING, T.E. & BOWMAN, J.R. (1989) Development of Asian Monsoon Revealed by 979 Marked Ecological Shift During the Latest Miocene in Northern Pakistan. Nature, 342, 163-166. doi:10.1038/342163a0. 980 981 RAVIKANT, V., WU, F.-Y. & JI, W.-Q. (2011) U-Pb Age and Hf Isotopic Constraints of Detrital 982 Zircons from the Himalayan Foreland Subathu Sub-Basin on the Tertiary Palaeogeography 983 of the Himalava. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 304, 356-368. 984 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2011.02.009. 985 RICHARDS, A., ARGELS, T., HARRIS, N., PARRISH, R., AHMAD, T., DARBYSHIRE, F. & DRAGANTIS, 986 E. (2005) Himalayan Architecture Constrained by Isotopic Tracers from Clastic Sediments. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 236, 773-796. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2005.05.034. 987 ROBERT, X., VAN DER BEEK, P., BRAUN, J., PERRY, C. & MUGNIER, J.-L. (2011) Control of 988 989 Detachment Geometry on Lateral Variations in Exhumation Rates in the Himalaya: 990 Insights from Low-Temperature Thermochronology and Numerical Modeling. Journal of 991 Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 116. doi:10.1029/2010JB007893. 992 ROBINSON, D.M., DECELLES, P.G., PATCHETT, P.J. & GARZIONE, C.N. (2001) The Kinematic 993 Evolution of the Nepalese Himalaya Interpreted from Nd Isotopes. *Earth and Planetary* 994 Science Letters, 192, 507-521. doi:10.1016/S0012-821X(01)00451-4. 995 ROBINSON, D.M., DECELLES, P.G. & COPELAND, P. (2006) Tectonic Evolution of the Himalayan 996 Thrust Belt in Western Nepal; Implications for Channel Flow Models. *Geological Society* 997 of America Bulletin, 118, 865-885. doI;10.1130/B25911.1. 998 SAHNI, A. & SRIVASTAVA, V.C. (1976) Eocene Rodents and Associated Reptiles from the Subathu 999 Formation of Northwestern India. Journal of Paleontology, 50, 922-928. 1000 SCHLUP, M., STECK, A., CARTER, A., COSCA, M., EPARD, J.-L. & HUNZIKER, J. (2011) Exhumation 1001 History of the Nw Indian Himalaya Revealed by Fission Track and 40ar/39ar Ages. 1002 Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 40, 334-350. doi:10.1016/j.jseaes.2010.06.008.

- 1003 SCHWERTMANN, U. (1971) Transformation of Hematite to Goethite in Soils. *Nature*, 232, 624–625.
- SINGH, P. (2009) Major, Trace and Ree Geochemistry of the Ganga River Sediments: Influence of
 Provenance and Sedimentary Processes. *Chemical Geology*, 266, 242-255.
 doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2009.06.013.
- SINGH, S., PARKASH, B., AWASTHI, A.K. & KUMAR, S. (2011) Late Miocene Record of
 Palaeovegetation from Siwalik Palaeosols of the Ramnagar Sub-Basin, India. *Current Science*, 100, 213-222.
- SINGH, T., AWASTHI, A.K. & CAPUTO, R. (2012) The Sub-Himalayan Fold-Thrust Belt in the 1905
 Kangra Earthquake Zone: A Critical Taper Model Perspective for Seismic Hazard
 Analysis. *Tectonics*, **31**. doi:10.1029/2012TC003120.
- SORKHABI, R.B. & ARITA, K. (1997) Toward a Solution for the Himalayan Puzzle: Mechanism of
 Inverted Metamorphism Constrained by the Siwalik Sedimentary Record. *Current Science*,
 72, 862-873.
- 1016 STECK, A. (2003) Geology of the Nw Indian Himalaya. *Eclogae Geol Helv*, **96**, 147-196.
- STEVENS, V.L. & AVOUAC, J.P. (2015) Interseismic Coupling on the Main Himalayan Thrust.
 Geophysical Research Letters, 42, 5828-5837. doi:10.1002/2015GL064845.
- STÜBNER, K., GRUJIC, D., DUNKL, I., THIEDE, R. & EUGSTER, P. (2018) Pliocene Episodic
 Exhumation and the Significance of the Munsiari Thrust in the Northwestern Himalaya.
 Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 481, 273-283. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2017.10.036.
- SUNDELL, K. & SAYLOR, J.E. (2017) Unmixing Detrital Geochronology Age Distributions.
 Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems, 18, 2872–2886. doi:10.1002/2016GC006774.
- TEWARI, V. (2003) Sedimentology, Palaeobiology and Stable Isotope Chemostratigraphy of the
 Terminal Neoproterozoic Buxa Dolomite, Arunachal Pradesh, Ne Lesser Himalaya.
 Journal of Himalayan Geology, 18, 1–18.
- THAKUR, S.S. & TRIPATHI, K. (2008) Regional Metamorphism in the Haimanta Group of Rocks,
 Sutlej River Valley, Nw Himalaya, India. *Current Science*, 95, 104-109.
- THAKUR, V.C., JAYANGONDAPERUMAL, R. & MALIK, M.A. (2010) Redefining Medlicott–Wadia's
 Main Boundary Fault from Jhelum to Yamuna: An Active Fault Strand of the Main
 Boundary Thrust in Northwest Himalaya. *Tectonophysics*, 489, 29-42.
 doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2010.03.014.
- THIEDE, R., ROBERT, X., STÜBNER, K., DEY, S. & FARUHN, J. (2017) Sustained out-of-Sequence
 Shortening Along a Tectonically Active Segment of the Main Boundary Thrust: The
 Dhauladhar Range in the Northwestern Himalaya. *Lithosphere*, 9, 715-725.
 doi:10.1130/l630.1.
- THIEDE, R.C., BOOKHAGEN, B., ARROWSMITH, J.R., SOBEL, E.R. & STRECKER, M.R. (2004)
 Climatic Control on Rapid Exhumation Along the Southern Himalayan Front. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 222, 791-806. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2004.03.015.
- THIEDE, R.C., EHLERS, T.A., BOOKHAGEN, B. & STRECKER, M.R. (2009) Erosional Variability
 Along the Northwest Himalaya. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 114.
 doi:10.1029/2008JF001010.
- THIEDE, R.C. & EHLERS, T.A. (2013) Large Spatial and Temporal Variations in Himalayan
 Denudation. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, **374**, 256-257.
 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2013.03.004.
- 1046 VALDIYA, K. (1980) Geology of Kumaun Lesser Himalaya, Wadia Institute of Himalayan
 1047 Geology. Dehradun, India, 1-291.
- 1048 VAN DER BEEK, P., ROBERT, X., MUGNIER, J.-L., BERNET, M., HUYGHE, P. & LABRIN, E. (2006)
 1049 Late Miocene-Recent Exhumation of the Central Himalaya and Recycling in the Foreland

1050 Basin Assessed by Apatite Fission-Track Thermochronology of Siwalik Sediments, Nepal. 1051 Basin Research, 18, 413-434. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2117.2006.00305.x. 1052 VAN HINSBERGEN, D.J.J., LIPPERT, P.C., DUPONT-NIVET, G., MCQUARRIE, N., DOUBROVINE, P.V., SPAKMAN, W. & TORSVIK, T.H. (2012) Greater India Basin Hypothesis and a Two-Stage 1053 1054 Cenozoic Collision between India and Asia. Proceedings Of The National Academy of 1055 Sciences, 109, 7659-7664. doi:10.1073/pnas.1117262109. 1056 VANNAY, J. & GRASEMANN, B. (1998) Inverted Metamorphism in the High Himalaya of Himachal Pradesh (Nw India): Phase Equilibria Versus Thermobarometry. Schweizerische 1057 1058 *Mineralogische und Petrographische Mitteilungen*, **78**, 107-132. 1059 VANNAY, J.-C., GRASEMANN, B., RAHN, M., FRANK, W., CARTER, A., BAUDRAZ, V. & COSCA, M. 1060 (2004) Miocene to Holocene Exhumation of Metamorphic Crustal Wedges in the Nw 1061 Himalaya: Evidence for Tectonic Extrusion Coupled to Fluvial Erosion. Tectonics, 23. 1062 doi:10.1029/2002TC001429. 1063 VERMEESCH, P. (2013) Multi-Sample Comparison of Detrital Age Distributions. Chemical 1064 Geology, 341, 140–146. doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2013.01.010. VÖGELI, N., NAJMAN, Y., VAN DER BEEK, P., HUYGHE, P., WYNN, P.M., GOVIN, G., VEEN, I.V.D. & 1065 SACHSE, D. (2017a) Lateral Variations in Vegetation in the Himalaya since the Miocene 1066 1067 and Implications for Climate Evolution. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, **471**, 1–9. 1068 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2017.04.037. 1069 VÖGELI, N., VAN DER BEEK, P., HUYGHE, P. & NAJMAN, Y. (2017b) Weathering in the Himalaya, 1070 an East-West Comparison: Indications from Major Elements and Clay Mineralogy. The Journal of Geology, 125, 515–529. doi: 10.1086/692652. 1071 1072 WEBB, A.A.G. (2013) Preliminary Palinspastic Reconstruction of Cenozoic Deformation across 1073 the Himachal Himalaya (Northwestern India). Geosphere, 9, 572-587. 1074 doi:10.1130/GES00787.1. 1075 WEST, A.J., GALY, A. & BICKLE, M.J. (2005) Tectonic and Climatic Controls on Silicate 1076 Weathering. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 235, 211-228. doi: 1077 10.1016/j.epsl.2005.03.020. 1078 WHITE, N.M., PARRISH, R.R., BICKLE, M.J., NAJMAN, Y.M.R., BURBANK, D.W. & MAITHANI, A. 1079 (2001) Metamorphism and Exhumation of the Nw Himalaya Constrained by U-Th-Pb 1080 Analysis of Detrital Monazite Grains from Early Foreland Basin. Journal of the Geological 1081 Society, 158, 625-635. doi:10.1144/jgs.158.4.625. WHITE, N.M., PRINGLE, M., GARZANTI, E., BICKLE, M., NAJMAN, Y., CHAPMAN, H. & FRIEND, P. 1082 1083 (2002) Constraints on the Exhumation and Erosion of the High Himalayan Slab, Nw India, 1084 from Foreland Basin Deposits. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 195, 29-44. doi:10.1016/s0012-821x(01)00565-9. 1085 1086 WILLETT, S.D., FISHER, D., FULLER, C., YEH, E.-C. & LU, C.-Y. (2003) Erosion Rates and 1087 Orogenic-Wedge Kinematics in Taiwan Inferred from Fission-Track Thermochronometry. 1088 Geology, 31, 945-948. doi:10.1130/g19702.1. 1089 WOBUS, C.W., HODGES, K.V. & WHIPPLE, K.X. (2003) Has Focused Denudation Sustained Active 1090 Thrusting at the Himalayan Topographic Front? Geology, **31**, 861-864. 1091 WU, F.Y., JI, W.Q., WANG, J.G., LIU, C.Z., CHUNG, S.L. & CLIFT, P.D. (2014) Zircon U-Pb and Hf 1092 Isotopic Constraints on the Onset Time of India-Asia Collision. American Journal of 1093 Science, **314**, 548 – 579. doi:10.2475/02.2014.04]. YIN, A. (2006) Cenozoic Tectonic Evolution of the Himalayan Orogen as Constrained by Along-1094 1095 Strike Variation of Structural Geometry, Exhumation History, and Foreland Sedimentation. 1096 Earth-Science Reviews, 76, 1-131. doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2005.05.004.

- ZHOU, P., CARTER, A., LI, Y. & CLIFT, P.D. (2020) Slowing Rates of Regional Exhumation in the
 Western Himalaya: Fission Track Evidence from the Indus Fan. *Geological Magazine*,
 157, 848-863. doi:10.1017/S0016756819000608.
- ZHOU, P., IRELAND, T., MURRAY, R.W. & CLIFT, P.D. (2021) Marine Sedimentary Records of
 Chemical Weathering Evolution in the Western Himalaya since 17 Ma. *Geosphere*, 17.
 doi:10.1130/GES02211.1.
- 1103

1105 Figure and Table Captions

Figure 1. Geological map of the Western Himalayas showing the major tectonic units that are eroded by the Indus River and its tributaries. Map is modified after Alizai *et al.* (2011). Rivers are shown in thick black lines. ISZ – Indus Suture Zone, MCT – Main Central Thrust, MBT – Main Boundary Thrust and MFT – Main Frontal Thrust. Sample locations are shown as filled red dots. JW – Jawalamukhi and JN – Joginder Nagar.

1111 Figure 2. (A) Topographic map of the Northwestern Himalayas made with ArcGIS Software from 1112 NASA's Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM). Red boxes show the location of the detailed 1113 study areas. Map also shows the primary source ranges, major fault systems, and reentrant zones 1114 after Singh et al. (2012). Palampur Thrust is from Thakur et al. (2010). ILH - Inner Lesser 1115 Himalayas, OLH - Outer Lesser Himalayas, GHS - Greater Himalayas, THS - Tethyan Himalayas, 1116 SH – Sub-Himalayas, MCT – Main Central Thrust, MBT – Main Boundary Thrust and MFT – Main 1117 Frontal Thrust, and TZ – Transition Zone. The TZ marks the transition between the Kangra and 1118 Nahan Salient Reentrants. (B) Sample locations for the Jawalamukhi section and (C) from the Joginder Nagar section on shaded SRTM topography plotted with GeoMapApp within the Kangra 1119 1120 Reentrant.

1121

Figure 3. Stratigraphic columns of the two foreland basin sections discussed in the text. The stratigraphic columns show thickness, lithology, and depositional ages for the Joginder Nagar (left) and the Jawalamukhi (right) sections. The depositional ages are derived from magnetostratigraphy (Meigs *et al.*, 1995). The Joginder Nagar section is modified from White *et al.* (2002) and the Jawalamukhi section is modified from Najman *et al.* (2009). Note the significant coarsening up in the Jawalamukhi section after 9 Ma.

1129 Figure 4. (A) Geochemical signature of the analyzed samples (green symbols) illustrated by a 1130 CaO+Na₂O-Al₂O₃-K₂O (CN-A-K) ternary diagram (Fedo et al., 1995), together with data from 1131 Vögeli et al. (2017b)(orange symbols) from the same section. CaO* represents the CaO associated 1132 with silicate, excluding all the carbonate. Samples closer to Al₂O₃ are rich in kaolinite, chlorite 1133 and/or gibbsite (representing by kao, chl and gib). CIA values are also calculated and shown on the 1134 left side, with its values are correlated with the CN-A-K. Abbreviations: sm (smectite), pl 1135 (plagioclase), ksp (K-feldspar), il (illite), m (muscovite). Quaternary Indus delta are from Clift et 1136 al. (2010), Holocene Indus Canyon data are from Li et al. (2018). Neogene Indus shelf and fan 1137 data are from Zhou et al. (2021). B) Geochemical classification of sediments from this study 1138 following the scheme of Herron (1988).

1139

1140 **Figure 5.** Kernel density estimate (KDE) plots for the zircon U–Pb ages from the foreland sections 1141 compared with some of the major source terrains and modern Indus River tributaries, as well the 1142 Yamuna in the Western Himalayas. Bedrock compilation is from Alizai et al. (2011), Cawood et al. 1143 (2007), DeCelles et al. (2004), Gehrels et al. (2011), Horton et al. (2013), Jonell et al. (2017), Kohn 1144 et al. (2009), McKenzie et al. (2011), Myrow et al. (2010), Martin et al. (2005; 2009), McQuarrie 1145 et al. (2008), Miller et al. (2001), Parrish et al. (1996). Major Indus River tributaries compilation is 1146 from Alizai et al. (2011). Colored strips highlight provenance diagnostic age populations: Purple -1147 400-750 Ma, Blue - 750-900 Ma, Green - 900-1250 Ma, Brown - 1700-2000 Ma.

1148

1149 **Figure 6.** A) Multi-dimensional scalar (MDS) plot comparing the detrital samples from the

1150 Jawalamukhi and Joginder Nagar sections (yellow dots) with potential bedrock sources (red dots)

1151	and major Indus River tributaries (blue dots). ILH – Inner Lesser Himalayas, OLH – Outer Lesser
1152	Himalayas, GHS – Greater Himalayas, and THS – Tethyan Himalayas. Data sources are as for
1153	Figure 4. Note the progressive migration away from the OLH, THS, and GHS sources and towards
1154	the ILH source starting at the 7-8 Ma sample. B) Shows the same dataset without the extreme
1155	Indus and ILH outliers.

1157 Figure 7. Lag time plot of detrital apatite fission track central ages showing the lag time between 1158 the cooling and depositional ages. Siwalik data from Karnali, Surai Khola and Tinau Khola 1159 (Nepal) are from van der Beek et al. (2006), Bengal Fan data is from Corrigan & Crowley (1990). 1160 Gray shaded area shows the range of time in this study area for which the samples are clearly reset 1161 for AFT. Samples from this study are all within error of the zero lag time line.

1162

1163 Figure 8. Calculated contributions from bedrock source terrains to sediments considered in this 1164 study through time based on the Kuiper unmixing calculations, compared with Nd isotope data 1165 from the same section from Najman et al. (2009) showing sediment matrix and conglomerate 1166 pebbles. Carbon isotopes from paleosols constrain vegetation and are from NW India (Vögeli et al., 2017a) and the Potwar Plateau, Pakistan (Quade et al., 1989). Hematite data from the Arabian 1167 1168 Sea are from Zhou et al. (2021).

1169

1170 Figure 9. Kernel density estimate (KDE) plots for the zircon U–Pb ages from the foreland sections 1171 at Dehra Dun (Mandal et al., 2019) and Jawalamukhi (this study) showing the relative loss of 1172 grains 400–1250 Ma after 8 Ma at the latter site while they continue to be an important component 1173 at the former.

1175	Figure 10. Summary figure showing the evolving drainage exposure and migration of the two
1176	foreland sections towards the range front since 20 Ma with estimated outcrop patterns based on
1177	this and earlier studies showing the passage of the sections through different river flood plains
1178	through time. Modern map is based on DiPietro & Pogue (2004). UKW = Uttarkashi Window.
1179	Location of rivers is schematic and based on the provenance of the sediment constrained in this
1180	study.
1181	
1182	Table 1. Locations of samples and estimated depositional ages based on magnetostratigraphy.
1183	Convergence rates are from Clark (2012).
1184	
1185	Table 2. Major and select trace element geochemical analysis of the samples considered in this
1186	study. Major element concentrations are in weight percent. Trace elements are shown as parts per
1187	million (ppm).
1188	
1189	Table 3. Fission track analytical data
1190	(i). Track densities are $(x10^6 \text{ tr cm}^{-2})$ numbers of tracks counted (N) shown in brackets;
1191	(ii). analyses by external detector method using 0.5 for the $4\pi/2\pi$ geometry correction factor;
1192	(iii). ages calculated using dosimeter glass CN-5; (apatite) ζ CN5 =339±5;
1193	calibrated by multiple analyses of IUGS apatite and zircon age standards (Hurford, 1990);
1194	(iv). P χ 2 is probability for obtaining χ 2 value for v degrees of freedom, where v = no. crystals - 1;
1195	(v). Central age is a modal age, weighted for different precisions of individual crystals (Galbraith,
1196	1990).
1197	