## LINEAR SYSTEMS, HANKEL PRODUCTS AND THE SINH-GORDON EQUATION

#### GORDON BLOWER AND IAN DOUST

ABSTRACT. Let (-A, B, C) be a linear system in continuous time t > 0 with input and output space  $\mathbb{C}^2$  and state space H. The scattering (or impulse response) functions  $\phi_{(x)}(t) = Ce^{-(t+2x)A}B$  determines a Hankel integral operator  $\Gamma_{\phi_{(x)}}$ ; if  $\Gamma_{\phi_{(x)}}$ is trace class, then the Fredholm determinant  $\tau(x) = \det(I + \Gamma_{\phi_{(x)}})$  determines the tau function of (-A, B, C). The paper establishes properties of algebras containing  $R_x = \int_x^{\infty} e^{-tA}BCe^{-tA} dt$  on H, and obtains solutions of the sinh-Gordon PDE. The tau function for sinh-Gordon satisfies a particular Painléve III' nonlinear ODE and describes a random matrix model, with asymptotic distribution found by the Coulomb fluid method to be the solution of an electrostatic variational problem on an interval.

Key words: Sinh-Gordon equation, tau function, linear systems, Howland operators AMS Classification: 47B35, 47A48, 34M55

### 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is concerned with the Fredholm determinants of operators that are introduced via linear systems and with their applications to the sinh-Gordon equations. The study has application in Tracy and Widom's approach [36] to random matrix theory.

We begin by fixing some notation concerning linear systems. Let H be a separable complex Hilbert space with orthonormal basis  $(e_j)_{j=0}^{\infty}$ , and let  $\mathcal{L}(H)$  denote the algebra of bounded linear operators on H. We shall denote the adjoint of  $B \in \mathcal{L}(H)$  by  $B^{\dagger}$ . Let  $H_0$  be a separable complex Hilbert space which serves as the input and output space; let  $B : H_0 \to H$  and  $C : H \to H_0$  be bounded linear operators. On the state space H, let  $(T_t)_{t>0}$  be a strongly continuous and bounded semigroup with infinitesimal generator -A, which is densely defined on domain  $\mathcal{D}(A)$ , where  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  is itself a Hilbert space for the graph norm  $||f||_{\mathcal{D}(A)}^2 = ||f||^2 + ||Af||^2$ .

The continuous time linear system (-A, B, C) is

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = -AX + BU$$
$$Y = CX,$$
$$X(0) = 0.$$
(1.1)

Date: 19 January 2023.

The impulse response function of (-A, B, C) is  $\phi(t) = Ce^{-tA}B$ , which is a bounded and weakly continuous function  $\phi : (0, \infty) \to \mathcal{L}(H_0)$ . The alternative term scattering function is used in the Russian literature. The connection between systems and scattering theory is fully discussed in [2] and in greater generality in [1].

Suppose that  $\phi \in L^2((0,\infty); \mathcal{L}(H_0))$ . Then the Hankel integral operator with impulse response function  $\phi$  is the operator

$$\Gamma_{\phi}f(x) = \int_0^{\infty} \phi(x+y)f(y) \, dy \qquad (f \in L^2((0,\infty); H_0)).$$
(1.2)

We wish  $\phi$  to be a function rather than a distribution. To ensure this, one can invoke Theorem II.1 of [30]. Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a bounded and self-adjoint linear operator on a separable complex Hilbert space, such that

- (i)  $\Gamma$  is not invertible;
- (ii) the dimension of the null space of  $\Gamma$  is either zero or infinite;
- (iii) the spectral multiplicity function  $\nu$  satisfies  $|\nu(t) \nu(-t)| \le 1$  for almost all real t with respect to the scalar spectral measure.

Then there exists a single-input single-output linear system (-A, B, C) with bounded A, B, C such that  $\phi(t) = Ce^{-tA}B$  determines a Hankel operator  $\Gamma_{\phi}$  that is unitarily equivalent to  $\Gamma$ . Peller [32, page 470] considers other criteria involving discrete-time linear systems that ensure A, B, C are bounded

Such Hankel operators do not themselves form an algebra, although they have an algebraic structure which has been exploited in [33] and section 3.5 of [26]. An important fact is that every bounded self-adjoint Hankel integral operator on  $L^2(0,\infty)$  can be realised as the Hankel operator associated with a linear system (-A, B, C) in continuous time with state space H, and such that  $\phi(t) = Ce^{-tA}B$  where  $(e^{-tA})$  is a strongly continuous semigroup on H (see [32] and [30]).

Recall that  $K \in \mathcal{L}(H)$  is Hilbert–Schmidt if  $||K||_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} ||Ke_j||^2$  is finite. We shall denote the set of all Hilbert–Schmidt operators on H by  $\mathcal{L}^2 = \mathcal{L}^2(H)$ . This space contains the ideal of trace class operators  $\mathcal{L}^1 = \{K_1K_2 : K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{L}^2\}$ . There are now several criteria for ensuring the boundedness of a Hankel operator; see, for example [32] and [34]. We shall repeatedly use the basic result that if  $t ||\phi(t)||_{\mathcal{L}^2(H_0,H_0)}^2$  is integrable, then  $\Gamma_{\phi}$  determines a Hilbert–Schmidt operator  $L^2((0,\infty); H_0) \to L^2((0,\infty); H_0)$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Let (-A, B, C) be a linear system as in (1.1) with impulse response function  $\phi$ , and suppose that  $\Gamma_{\phi}$  is trace class. Let  $\phi_{(x)}(t) = \phi(t+2x)$ . Then the tau function of (-A, B, C) is defined to be  $\tau(x) = \det(I + \Gamma_{\phi_{(x)}})$ , for x > 0.

This tau function is analogous to the tau function introduced by Jimbo, Miwa and Ueno [24] to describe the isomonodromy of rational differential equations, and generalizes the classical theta function. Mumford [31] constructed solutions of the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger, KdV, mKdV and sine-Gordon equations in terms of classical theta functions on abelian varieties. Ercolani and McKean [15] extended the analysis of KdV to infinitedimensional abelian varieties in which case the impulse response function  $\phi$  is of rapid decay.

In [6], we reinterpreted the results of [33] and [26] in terms of an algebra of operators on H with a special associative product, and showed how nonlinear differential equations such as KdV emerge from algebraic identities in this product. In the present paper, we continue this analysis by addressing the sinh-Gordon equation in section 5. In section 5, we introduce a 2 × 2 block matrix system which enables us to introduce a tau function for the sinh-Gordon equation in the form  $\det(I + \Gamma_{\phi_{(x)}})(I - \Gamma_{\phi_{(x)}})^{-1}$ .

Our tau functions are closely related to tau functions from integrable operators. In [13], the authors consider the algebra of integrable operators of the form  $\lambda I + K$  where K is an integral operator on  $L^2(L)$  for a curve L in  $\mathbb{C}$  with kernel

$$k_K(z,w) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^N f_j(z)g_j(w)}{z-w}$$

where  $\sum_{j=1}^{N} f_j(z)g_j(z) = 0$ . They achieve several results using Riemann-Hilbert theory and make applications to some integrable operators in random matrix theory. Integrable operators of this form include the Christoffel-Darboux kernel from orthogonal polynomials when L is a real subinterval. In [36], the authors systematically consider these kernels and their scaling limits for classical orthogonal polynomials and obtain integrable operators that are fundamental to random matrix theory.

In that situation, as in this paper, the Fredholm determinant  $\det(I + \lambda K)$  is of primary interest. In particular, they show in [36] that the Airy and Bessel kernels can be expressed as products of Hankel integral operators on  $L^2(0, \infty)$ . In section 3 we give sufficient conditions for operators in the algebras we consider to be expressed as a product of Hankel integral operators.

### 2. DIFFERENTIAL RINGS AND DARBOUX ADDITION

Let  $u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{C})$  be the potential in Schrödinger's equation. Gelfand and Dikii [19] considered the algebra  $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[u, u', ...]$  of differential polynomials generated by the potential and its derivatives. In this section we consider a generalization of this relating to linear systems. In [30] there is an existence theory covering the self-adjoint case.

We suppose that  $\phi$  is a scalar impulse response function that can be realized from a linear system (-A, B, C) from (1.1) as  $\phi(t) = Ce^{-tA}B$ , where  $A : H \to H$ ,  $B : \mathbb{C} \to H$  and  $C : H \to \mathbb{C}$  are bounded. Suppose further that the shifted reachability operator  $\Xi_x : L^2((0, \infty); \mathbb{C}^2) \to L^2((0, \infty); \mathbb{C})$  and the shifted controllability operator  $\Theta_x: L^2((0,\infty); \mathbb{C}^2) \to L^2((0,\infty); \mathbb{C})$  are Hilbert–Schmidt operators, where

$$\Xi_x f = \int_x^\infty e^{-tA} Bf(t) \, dt \tag{2.1}$$

$$\Theta_x f = \int_x^\infty e^{-tA^{\dagger}} C^{\dagger} f(t) \, dt.$$
(2.2)

Here x is the variable that parametrizes the time shift. Then

$$R_x = \Xi_x \Theta_x^{\dagger} = \int_x^\infty e^{-tA} B C e^{-tA} dt \qquad (2.3)$$

is trace class, and satisfies the Lyapunov equation

$$\frac{d}{dx}R_x = -AR_x - R_xA. \tag{2.4}$$

**Definition 2.1.** The tau function of (-A, B, C) is  $\tau(x) = \det(I + R_x)$ .

The operators  $\Xi_x$  and  $\Theta_x$  are standard in linear systems. Recall that since  $\Xi_x$  and  $\Theta_x^{\dagger}$  are Hilbert–Schmidt,  $\det(I + \Xi_x \Theta_x^{\dagger}) = \det(I + \Theta_x^{\dagger} \Xi_x)$  so since  $\Gamma_{\phi(x)} = \Theta_x^{\dagger} \Xi_x$  we have the equivalent form  $\tau(x) = \det(I + \Gamma_{\phi(x)})$  given in Definition 1.1. In random matrix theory, this tau function is often associated with Dyson [14], who referred to previous Russian sources.

The novelty of our approach is our systematic use of the Lyapunov equation (2.4) to obtain a differential ring to study the tau function and its derivatives. This is most conveniently expressed in terms of  $F_x = (I + R_x)^{-1}$ . Then (2.4) gives

$$(d/dx)F_x = AF_x + F_xA - 2F_xAF_x = e^{-xA}BCe^{-xA}.$$

We introduce the vector space of linear operators

$$\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{ A^{n_1}, A^{n_2} F_x A^{n_2} \dots F_x A^{n_r}; n_j \in \mathbb{N} \},$$
(2.5)

and introduce the associative product

$$P * Q = P(AF_x + F_x A - 2F_x AF_x)Q \qquad (P, Q \in \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma})$$
(2.6)

and the derivation

$$\partial P = A(I - 2F_x)P + \frac{dP}{dx} + P(I - 2F_x)A \qquad (P \in \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}).$$
(2.7)

and the bracket operation

$$\lfloor P \rfloor = C e^{-xA} F_x P F_x e^{-xA} B, \qquad (P \in \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma})$$
(2.8)

as in [6] Definition 4.3.

**Lemma 2.2.** (i) 
$$(\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}, *, \partial)$$
 is a differential ring, and the bracket operation  $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ :  
 $(\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}, *, \partial) \rightarrow (C^{\infty}((0, \infty); \mathbb{C}), \cdot, d/dx)$  gives a homomorphism of differential rings.

(ii) Let the potential of the linear system (-A, B, C) be

$$u = -2\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\log\det(I + R_x)$$

Then  $u = -4\lfloor A \rfloor$ .

*Proof.* See [6] Lemma 4.2, and a related approach is developed in [12].

### **Definition 2.3.** [20]

(i) For a potential  $u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$  the stationary KdV hierarchy for a sequence  $(f_{\ell})$  is the recurrence relation  $f_0 = 1$ ,

$$\frac{\partial f_{\ell}}{\partial x} = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial^3 f_{\ell-1}}{\partial x^3} + u \frac{\partial f_{\ell-1}}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} f_{\ell-1} \qquad (\ell = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$
(2.9)

(ii) The stationary hierarchy for the sine-Gordon and modified KdV equation is similar, with u replaced by

$$w_{+} = -\frac{1}{4} \left( \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^{2} + 2i \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}} \right).$$
(2.10)

The solutions sequence for these hierarchies are differential polynomials in u by [20] Remark 2.2, and by Lemma 2.2 there exists  $X_j \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma}$  such that  $f_{\ell} = \lfloor X_{\ell} \rfloor$ . For the stationary KdV hierarchy, we showed in [6] that when  $f_0 = (1/2)u$ , the terms  $f_{\ell} = (-1)^{\ell} 2 \lfloor A^{2\ell-1} \rfloor$  give a solution. In the physical models discussed in [22], KdV has a partition function which is the square of a tau function; whereas mKdV has a partition function that is the product of tau functions; for sinh-Gordon, one considers quotients of tau functions.

Suppose that  $u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R})$  is bounded and let  $\lambda_0$  be the bottom of the spectrum of  $L = -d^2/dx^2 + u$ . For  $\lambda < \min\{\lambda_0, 0\}$  and signs  $\{\pm\}$ , we consider solutions:

(+)  $h_+(x;\lambda)$  of  $Lh_+ = \lambda h_+$  such that  $h_+ \ge 0$ , with

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} h_{+}(x)^{2} dx < \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{-\infty}^{0} h_{+}(x)^{2} dx = \infty;$$
(2.11)

(-)  $h_{-}(x;\lambda)$  of  $Lh_{-} = \lambda h_{-}$  such that  $h_{-} \ge 0$ , with

$$\int_{-\infty}^{0} h_{-}(x)^{2} dx < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{0}^{\infty} h_{-}(x)^{2} dx = \infty.$$
(2.12)

Let Wr (f,g) = fg' - f'g be the Wronskian determinant of f and g. Let  $G(x, y; \lambda)$  be the Greens integral kernel that implements  $(\lambda I - L)^{-1}$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$ , so the diagonal of Gsatisfies

$$G(x, x; \lambda) = \frac{h_+(x, \lambda)h_-(x, \lambda)}{\operatorname{Wr}\left(h_+(x, \lambda), h_-(x, \lambda)\right)}.$$
(2.13)

In the case where u is a real continuous and  $2\pi$ -periodic potential, the differential equation  $-h'' + uh = \lambda h$  is known as Hill's equation, and is associated with a hyperelliptic spectral curve  $\Sigma$  with points  $\{(\lambda, \pm) : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$  giving a two-sheeted cover of  $\mathbb{C}$  as in [29]. The

notion of Darboux addition refers to the operation on potentials that corresponds to the addition rule for pole divisors of Baker-Akhiezer functions on  $\Sigma$ , as discussed in [28]. In our case, we have a scattering potential u, and we consider the corresponding addition rule on the potentials, without seeking to interpret directly the notion of the spectral curve. Nevertheless, there is a simple operation on the linear system (-A, B, C) that gives rise to Darboux addition on the associated potential from Lemma 2.2 (ii).

**Definition 2.4.** (Darboux addition) For  $\sigma \in \{+, -\}$ , we define  $e(x, \lambda, \sigma) = h_{\sigma}(x, \lambda)$ . Associated with  $(\lambda, \sigma)$  there is a change in the potential

$$T^{(\lambda,\sigma)}: u \mapsto u - 2\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\log e(x,\lambda,\sigma)$$

corresponding to the change of linear systems

$$T^{(\zeta,\sigma)}(-A,B,C) = (-A,(\zeta I + \sigma A)(\zeta I - \sigma A)^{-1}B,C).$$

Then for  $(\lambda_1, \sigma_1)$  and  $(\lambda_2, \sigma_2)$ , the effect of successive transformations is

$$T^{(\lambda_2,\sigma_2)}T^{(\lambda_1,\sigma_1)}: u \mapsto u - 2\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \log \operatorname{Wr}\left(e(x,\lambda_2,\sigma_2), e(x,\lambda_1,\sigma_1)\right).$$
(2.14)

See [6] Corollary 3.6 and [27]. In particular, with  $p_1 = (\lambda, -)$  followed by  $p_2 = (\lambda + \delta \lambda, +)$ , we have an infinitesimal addition  $u \mapsto u - \delta \lambda \mathbf{X}(u) + o(\delta \lambda)$ . We proceed to compute the generating function for infinitesimal addition.

**Proposition 2.5.** Suppose that the linear system (-A, B, C) has potential  $u = -4\lfloor A \rfloor$ . Then the infinitesimal addition satisfies

$$\mathbf{X}(u) = -2\frac{d}{dx}G(x, x; \lambda) \tag{2.15}$$

or equivalently

$$\mathbf{X}(u) = \frac{-2}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left[ A(I - 2F)A(\lambda I + A^2)^{-1} + A(\lambda I + A^2)^{-1}(I - 2F)A \right] \qquad (\lambda < \lambda_0). \quad (2.16)$$

*Proof.* We begin by recalling McKean's calculation. By the composition formula (2.14), the infinitesimal addition satisfies

$$u - \delta \lambda \mathbf{X}(u) + o(1) = u - 2\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \log \operatorname{Wr}\left(h_+(x, \lambda + \delta \lambda), h_-(x, \lambda)\right)$$
(2.17)

where from the differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dx}\operatorname{Wr}\left(h_{+}(x,\lambda+\delta\lambda),h_{-}(x,\lambda)\right) = \delta\lambda h_{+}(x,\lambda+\delta\lambda)h_{-}(x,\lambda).$$
(2.18)

Combining (2.17), (2.18) and (2.13), and taking  $\delta \lambda \to 0$ , one can express the infinitesimal transformation in terms of the diagonal of the Greens function as in (2.15). In [6] Theorem 5.4, we computed this diagonal Greens function, and we deduce

$$\mathbf{X}(u) = \frac{-2}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} \frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\lfloor A \rfloor}{\lambda} + \frac{\lfloor A^3 \rfloor}{\lambda^2} - \frac{\lfloor A^5 \rfloor}{\lambda^3} + \dots \right) \qquad (\lambda \to -\infty)$$

where the summands of this series are

$$\frac{d}{dx} [A^{2m+1}] = [A(I-2F)A^{2m+1} + A^{2m+1}(I-2F)A]$$

as in (5.4) of [6]. Hence by summing the resulting geometric series, we have

$$\mathbf{X}(u) = \frac{-2}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} \left[ A(I - 2F)A(\lambda I + A^2)^{-1} + A(\lambda I + A^2)^{-1}(I - 2F)A \right].$$

3. PRODUCTS OF HANKEL OPERATORS

In what follows we shall require some of the concepts of abstract differential calculus as developed in [11]. Let S be an associative and unital complex algebra. There is a natural multiplication map  $\mu: S \otimes S \to S$ ,  $\mu(\sum a_j \otimes b_j) = \sum a_j b_j$ . We shall denote the nullspace of this map as  $\Omega^1 S$  and so we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^1 S \longrightarrow S \otimes S \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow 0; \tag{3.1}$$

In this section, we shall mainly be interested in the case where  $S = \mathbb{C}(x)$ , the space of rational functions. In these cases, the tensor product  $S \otimes S$  may be regarded as consisting of functions of the form  $\sum f_j(x)g_j(y)$  acting on a product space. There are several natural derivation maps that act on these spaces.

**Definition 3.1.** For  $S = \mathbb{C}(x)$  define

- (1)  $d: S \to \Omega^1 S: f \mapsto df$  or  $f(x) \mapsto f(x) f(y)$ , the noncommutative differential;
- (2)  $\delta: S \otimes S \to S \otimes S: f(x, y) \mapsto (x y)f(x, y)$ , the inner derivation on  $S \otimes S$  as an S-bimodule given by  $x \in S$ ;
- (3)  $D: \Omega^1 S \to S \otimes S: fdg \mapsto f(x) \frac{g(x) g(y)}{x y}$ , dividing by x y; in particular,  $D \circ d: S \to S \otimes S: g(x) \mapsto \frac{g(x) g(y)}{x y}$  is the divided difference;
- (4)  $\partial: S \to S: f(x) \mapsto f'(x)$ , the usual derivative.

See (6.13) for a significant example.

Products of Hankel operators arise from rational differential equations, involving poles. As is common in control theory, we let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the unital complex algebra of stable rational functions, namely the space of f(s)/g(s) where  $f(s), g(s) \in \mathbb{C}[s]$  satisfy degree  $f(s) \leq$ degree g(s), and all the zeros of g(s) satisfy  $\operatorname{Re} s < 0$ . We allow poles at  $\infty$  by adjoining s to form  $\mathcal{S}[s]$ . Now let  $p_1, \ldots, p_r \in \mathbb{C}$  be distinct points satisfying  $\operatorname{Re} p_j > 0$ , and for  $P = \{p_1, \ldots, p_r, \infty\}$ , introduce the algebra

$$\mathcal{S}_P = \mathcal{S}\left[s, \frac{s+1}{s-p_1}, \dots, \frac{s+1}{s-p_r}\right]$$
(3.2)

by formally adjoining the inverses of  $(s-p_j)/(s+1) \in S$ . The possible poles of elements of  $S_p$  are in P or the open left half plane. For even m = 2n, let  $\Omega_{\infty}, \Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_r \in M_{m \times m}(S)$ and ine  $\Omega(s) \in M_{n \times n}(S_p)$  by

$$\Omega(s) = \Omega_{\infty}(s)s + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{\Omega_j(s)}{s - p_j}.$$
(3.3)

The residues are  $\Omega_{\infty}(\infty), \Omega_1(p_1), \ldots, \Omega_r(p_r)$ . We assume further that  $\Omega(s)^{\top} = \Omega(s)$ , where  $\Omega^{\top}$  denotes the transpose. Let

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (3.4)

We also let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C} \langle (z_j)_{j=1}^m, (w_j)_{j=1}^m \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^m z_j w_j$  be the standard bilinear pairing, and  $\|\cdot\|$  the operator norm on  $M_{m \times m}(\mathbb{C})$ . Given a solution of the differential equation

$$J\frac{d}{dx}\Psi = \Omega\Psi,\tag{3.5}$$

for  $\Psi \in L^2((0,\infty);\mathbb{C})$  we can introduce a kernel  $k(x,y) = \langle J\Psi(x), \Psi(y) \rangle / (x-y)$  which operates on  $L^2((0,\infty);\mathbb{C})$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $\Omega$  be as in (3.3) and suppose that  $\int_0^\infty t \|\Psi(t)\|^2 dt$  is finite. Then there exist Hankel integral operators  $\Gamma_{\psi_j}, \Gamma_{\phi_j} \in \mathcal{L}^2(L^2((0,\infty); M_{m \times m}(\mathbb{C})))$  such that  $K = \sum_{j=1}^N \Gamma_{\psi_j} \Gamma_{\phi_j}$  determines a trace class operator K on  $L^2((0,\infty); \mathbb{C}^m)$  with kernel

$$k(z,w) = \int_0^\infty \sum_{j=1}^N \psi_j(z+u)\phi_j(w+u)\,du \qquad (z,w>0).$$
(3.6)

*Proof.* Consider  $\partial_{\Delta} : R \otimes R \to R \otimes R : \partial_{\Delta}f(x,y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}f(x,y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}f(x,y)$ , so  $\partial_{\Delta} = \partial \otimes Id + Id \otimes \partial$ . We have

$$\delta_{\Delta} K(z,w) = \delta_{\Delta} D \left( \Psi(z)^{\top} J \Psi(w) - \Psi(w)^{\top} J \Psi(z) \right)$$
$$= \Psi(z)^{\top} \frac{-\Omega(z) + \Omega(w)}{z - w} \Psi(w) - \Psi(w)^{\top} \frac{\Omega(z) - \Omega(w)}{z - w} \Psi(z), \qquad (3.7)$$

which is a finite-rank  $M_{m \times m}(\mathbb{C})$ -valued kernel. Here the entries of the difference quotient  $(\Omega(z) - \Omega(w))/(z - w)$  may be expressed as a sum of products of rational functions in z and rational functions in w, which are bounded for z, w > 0 by the partial fraction decomposition of  $\Omega(s)$  in (3.3). Note that

$$\frac{(z-\alpha)^{-j}-(w-\alpha)^{-j}}{z-w} = -\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{z-\alpha} & \dots & \frac{1}{(z-\alpha)^j}\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 1\\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ 1 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{w-\alpha}\\ \vdots\\ \frac{1}{(w-\alpha)^j}\end{bmatrix}$$

in which the matrix R is constant on cross diagonals, and is real symmetric and satisfies  $R^2 = I_{r \times r}$ , with trace R = 0 for even r and 1 for odd r, hence R is similar via a real

orthogonal matrix to a real diagonal matrix with signature 0 or 1, respectively. From the right-hand side of (3.6) we extract coefficients depending on w or z such that

$$\delta_{\Delta}K(z,w) = -\sum_{j=1}^{N} \psi_j(z)\phi_j(w)$$
$$= \delta_{\Delta} \int_0^\infty \sum_{j=1}^{N} \psi_j(z+u)\phi_j(w+u) \, du$$
(3.8)

Also,  $k(z, w) \to 0$  as  $z \to \infty$  or  $w \to \infty$ . Likewise the right-hand side of (1.2) converges to 0 as  $z \to \infty$  or  $w \to \infty$ , so we have the identity (3.6). Recall that if  $\int_0^\infty t \|\phi(t)\|^2 dt$  is finite then  $\Gamma_{\phi}$  is Hilbert–Schmidt. The representation  $K = \sum_{j=1}^N \Gamma_{\psi_j} \Gamma_{\phi_j}$  then shows that K is a trace-class operator.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let k be a trace-class kernel as in Proposition 3.2, and let  $k_x(u, v) = k(x + u, x + v)$ . Then for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , there exists a matrix function  $T(x, y) \in M_{N \times N}(\mathbb{C})$  for  $0 \le x \le y$  that satisfies

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2}(x,y) - \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2}(x,y) = -2\left(\frac{d}{dx}T(x,x)\right)T(x,y) \qquad (0 < x < y) \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dx}\log\det(I+\lambda K_x) = \operatorname{trace} T(x,x) \qquad (x>0).$$
(3.10)

*Proof.* Using Proposition 3.2, write K in the form  $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \Gamma_{\psi_j} \Gamma_{\phi_j}$  with  $\psi_j, \phi_j \in L^2((0,\infty); \mathbb{R})$ , or in matrix form

$$\begin{bmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_{\psi_1} & \dots & \Gamma_{\psi_N} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_{\phi_1} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \Gamma_{\phi_N} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (3.11)

Consider  $N \times N$  matrix functions

$$\Phi_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_1 & \dots & \psi_N \\ & 0 & \end{bmatrix}, \Phi_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \vdots & 0 \\ \phi_N \end{bmatrix}.$$

First we factorize K as a product of matrix Hankel operators. By Proposition 3.2 K is a complex-linear combination of Hankel products  $\Gamma_{\psi}\Gamma_{\phi}$ , where  $\psi, \phi \in L^2((0,\infty); \mathbb{R})$ . Given measurable  $\phi : (0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\int_0^\infty t |\phi(t)|^2 dt$  is finite, the Hankel operator with impulse response function  $\phi$  is self-adjoint and Hilbert–Schmidt, hence can be realised by a linear system (-A, B, C) in continuous time with input and output space  $\mathbb{C}$ ; this follows from [30]. We can apply this to the real and imaginary parts of the entries of  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$ , and introduce for j = 1, 2 the matrices

$$(-A_j, B_j, C_j) = \left( \begin{bmatrix} -A_{j1} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & -A_{jN} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} B_{j1} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & B_{jN} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} C_{j1} & \dots & C_{jN} \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

We can suppose that there are linear systems  $(-A_1, B_1, C_1)$  and  $(-A_2, B_2, C_2)$  with state space H and input and output spaces  $\mathbb{C}^N$  such that  $\Phi_1(t) = C_1 e^{-tA_1} B_1$  and  $\Phi_2(t) = C_2 e^{-tA_2} B_2$ . Then

$$\det(I + \lambda K) = \det(I + \lambda \Gamma_{\Phi_1} \Gamma_{\Phi_2}) = \det \begin{bmatrix} I & \lambda \Gamma_{\Phi_1} \\ -\Gamma_{\Phi_2} & I \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (3.12)

Let  $\left(-\hat{A}, \hat{B}, \hat{C}\right)$  be the linear system

$$\left(-\hat{A},\hat{B},\hat{C}\right) = \left( \begin{bmatrix} -A_1 & 0\\ 0 & -A_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B_1\\ B_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \lambda C_1 & 0\\ 0 & -C_2 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

which has impulse response function

$$\hat{\Phi}(t) = \hat{C}e^{-t\hat{A}}\hat{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \lambda C_1 e^{-tA_1} B_1 \\ -C_2 e^{-tA_2} B_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \lambda \Phi_1(t) \\ -\Phi_2(t) & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (3.13)

The operator function

$$\hat{R}_x = \int_x^\infty e^{-t\hat{A}} \hat{B} \hat{C} e^{-t\hat{A}} dt = \int_x^\infty \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -e^{-tA_1} B_1 C_2 e^{-tA_2} \\ \lambda e^{-tA_2} B_2 C_1 e^{-tA_1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} dt \quad (3.14)$$

satisfies the Lyapunov equation  $\frac{d\hat{R}_x}{dx} = -\hat{R}_x\hat{A} - \hat{A}\hat{R}_x$  and we clearly have

$$-\frac{d\hat{R}_x}{dx} = e^{-x\hat{A}}\hat{B}\hat{C}e^{-x\hat{A}} \qquad (x > 0).$$
(3.15)

Its determinant satisfies

$$\det(I + R_0) = \det(I + \Gamma_{\hat{\Phi}}) = \det(I + \lambda K).$$
(3.16)

Let

$$\hat{T}(x,y) = -\hat{C}e^{-x\hat{A}}(I+\hat{R}_x)^{-1}e^{-y\hat{A}}\hat{B}$$

To find this determinant, we consider the Gelfand–Levitan equation

$$\hat{\Phi}(x+y) + \hat{T}(x,y) + \int_{x}^{\infty} \hat{T}(x,z)\hat{\Phi}(z+y)\,dz = 0 \qquad (0 < x < y) \tag{3.17}$$

which has solution

$$\hat{T}(x,y) = -\hat{C}e^{-x\hat{A}}(I+\hat{R}_x)^{-1}e^{-y\hat{A}}\hat{B}$$

as one verifies by substituting the given formula into the equation. Also, the Lyapunov equation gives

trace
$$(\hat{T}(x,x))$$
 = trace $\left((I + \hat{R}_x)^{-1} \frac{d\hat{R}_x}{dx}\right)$ 

LINEAR SYSTEMS, HANKEL PRODUCTS AND THE SINH-GORDON EQUATION

$$= \frac{d}{dx} \log \det(I + \hat{R}_x).$$

Also,  $\hat{T}$  is a solution of

$$\frac{\partial^2 \hat{T}}{\partial x^2}(x,y) - \frac{\partial^2 \hat{T}}{\partial y^2}(x,y) = -2\left(\frac{d}{dx}\hat{T}(x,x)\right)\hat{T}(x,y) \qquad (0 < x < y).$$

Theorem 3.3 applies in particular to the sinh-Gordon equation, as in section 5.

*Example* 3.4. For the weight  $x^{\alpha}e^{-x}$  on  $(0,\infty)$ , Laguerre's polynomials

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) = x^{-\alpha} e^x \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \left( x^{n+\alpha} e^{-x} \right) \qquad (\alpha \ge 0, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

are orthononal. Let  $u_{\alpha}(x) = e^{-x/2} x^{(\alpha+1)/2} L_n^{(\alpha)}(x)$  and  $w_{\alpha}(x) = u'_{\alpha}(x)$ ; then these give a solution of Laguerre's differential equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \frac{d}{dx} \begin{bmatrix} u_{\alpha} \\ w_{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2n+\alpha+1}{2x} + \frac{1-\alpha^2}{4x^2} - \frac{1}{4} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{\alpha} \\ w_{\alpha} \end{bmatrix},$$

so one can apply Proposition 3.2. In particular, for  $\alpha = 1$ , we obtain a Hankel product

$$\frac{u_1(x)u_1'(y) - u_1'(x)u_1(y)}{x - y} = \int_0^\infty \frac{u_1(x + t)u_1(y + t)}{(x + t)(y + t)} dt.$$

The Laguerre system is associated with orthogonal polynomials for the weight  $x^{\alpha}e^{-x}$  on  $(0, \infty)$ , which is the limit of the semi-classical weights  $x^{\alpha}(1-x/n)^n$  from [25]. In section 6, we consider the singular weight  $w_{\alpha,s}(s) = x^{\alpha}e^{-x-s/x}$ , which has  $\log w_{\alpha,s}(x)$  not integrable over (0, 1), hence lies beyond the scope of Szegö's theory on asymptotic formulas for orthogonal polynomials.

### 4. A LINEAR SYSTEM FOR DARBOUX ADDITION

The pair of linear systems (-A, B, C) giving  $I + R_x$  and (-A, B, -C) giving  $I - R_x$ are related by a Darboux transformation, as discussed in Theorem 3.4 of [6]. As there, we introduce the matricial system

$$\left(-\hat{A},\hat{B},\hat{C}\right) = \left( \begin{bmatrix} -A & 0\\ 0 & -A \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B\\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} C & 0\\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix} \right)$$
(4.1)

which has impulse response function

$$\hat{\Phi}(t) = \hat{C}e^{-t\hat{A}}\hat{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & Ce^{-tA} \\ Ce^{-tA}B & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \phi(t) \\ \phi(t) & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let

$$\hat{R}_x = \int_x^\infty e^{-t\hat{A}} \hat{B}\hat{C}e^{-t\hat{A}} dt$$

11

$$= \int_{x}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & e^{-tA}BCe^{-tA} \\ e^{-tA}BCe^{-tA} & 0 \end{bmatrix} dt = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & R_{x} \\ R_{x} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (4.2)

so that when  $I \pm R_x$  are invertible, we have

$$\hat{F}_x = (I + \hat{R}_x)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (I - R_x^2)^{-1} & -R(I - R_x^2)^{-1} \\ -R(I - R_x^2)^{-1} & (I - R_x^2)^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can then define

$$\hat{T}(x,y) = -\hat{C}e^{-t\hat{A}}(I+\hat{R}_x)^{-1}e^{-y\hat{A}}\hat{B} = \begin{bmatrix} W(x,y) & V(x,y) \\ V(x,y) & W(x,y) \end{bmatrix}$$
(4.3)

where

$$W(x,y) = Ce^{-xA}(I - R_x^2)^{-1}R_x e^{-yA}B$$
(4.4)

$$V(x,y) = -Ce^{-xA}(I - R_x^2)^{-1}e^{-yA}B.$$
(4.5)

# **Proposition 4.1.** (i) The functions V(x, x) and

$$S(x) = \log \det(I + R_x) - \log \det(I - R_x)$$

satisfy

$$\frac{d}{dx}S(x) = 2V(x,x). \tag{4.6}$$

(ii) The Fredholm determinant satisfies

$$\det(I - \Gamma_{\phi_x}^2) = \exp\left(-4\int_x^\infty (t - x)V(t, t)^2 \, dt\right),\tag{4.7}$$

(iii) and with  $q(x) = -4\lfloor A \rfloor$ , the function

$$U(x) = \exp\left(2\int_x^\infty V(t,t)\,dt\right) = \exp(-S(x))\tag{4.8}$$

satisfies

$$\frac{d^2U}{dx^2} = q(x)U(x).$$
(4.9)

*Proof.* (i) From Lyapunov's equation, we have

$$\frac{d}{dx}S(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \left( \log \det(I + R_x) - \log \det(I - R_x) \right) 
= \operatorname{trace} \left( (I + R_x)^{-1} R'_x + (I - R_x)^{-1} R'_x \right) 
= -2\operatorname{trace} \left( (I - R_x^2)^{-1} e^{-xA} B C e^{-xA} \right) 
= -2C e^{-xA} (I - R_x^2)^{-1} e^{-xA} B 
= 2V(x, x).$$
(4.10)

(ii) Then

$$-V(x,x) = Ce^{-xA}(I - R_x^2)^{-1}e^{-xA}B = \lfloor (I - R_x)^{-1}(I + R_x) \rfloor$$
(4.11)

12

so by Lemma 2.5

$$-\frac{d}{dx}V(x,x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \lfloor (I - R_x)^{-1}(I + R_x) \rfloor$$
  
=  $\lfloor -2A - 2(I - R_x)^{-1}(AR_x + R_xA)(I - R_x)^{-1} \rfloor$   
=  $-2\lfloor A \rfloor - 2Ce^{-xA}(I - R_x^2)^{-1}e^{-xA}BCe^{-xA}e^{-xA}(I - R_x^2)^{-1}e^{-xA}B$   
=  $-2\lfloor A \rfloor - 2V(x,x)^2.$  (4.12)

and likewise

$$2W(x,x) = Ce^{-xA}2R_x(I - R_x^2)^{-1}e^{-xA}B = \lfloor 2R_x(I - R_x)^{-1}(I + R_x) \rfloor$$
(4.13)

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$2\frac{d}{dx}W(x,x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ 2R_x(I-R_x)^{-1}(I+R_x) \right]$$
  
=  $\left[ -4(I-R_x)^{-1}(AR_x+R_xA)(I-R_x)^{-1} \right]$   
=  $-4Ce^{-xA}(I-R_x^2)^{-1}e^{-xA}BCe^{-xA}(I-R_x^2)^{-1}e^{-xA}B$   
=  $-4V(x,x)^2$  (4.14)

We have

$$-4V(x,x)^{2} = 2\frac{d}{dx}W(x,x)$$

$$= \operatorname{trace} \hat{T}(x,z)$$

$$= -\operatorname{trace} \hat{C}e^{-x\hat{A}}(I + \hat{R}_{x})^{-1}e^{-x\hat{A}}\hat{B}$$

$$= -\frac{d}{dx}\operatorname{trace}(I + \hat{R}_{x})^{-1}e^{-x\hat{A}}\hat{B}\hat{C}e^{-x\hat{A}}$$

$$= \frac{d^{2}}{dx^{2}}\operatorname{trace}\log(I + \hat{R}_{x})$$

$$= \frac{d^{2}}{dx^{2}}\log\det(I + \hat{R}_{x})$$

$$= \frac{d^{2}}{dx^{2}}\log\det(I - R_{x}^{2}). \qquad (4.15)$$

(iii) Also

$$U(x) = \exp 2 \int_{x}^{\infty} V(t,t)dt$$

satisfies U'(x)/U(x) = -2V(x, x), so as in Riccati's equation

$$\frac{U''(x)}{U(x)} - \left(\frac{U'(x)}{U(x)}\right)^2 = -2\frac{d}{dx}V(x,x)$$
$$= -4\lfloor A \rfloor - 4V(x,x)^2$$
$$= -4\lfloor A \rfloor - \left(\frac{U'(x)}{U(x)}\right)^2$$
(4.16)

so  $U''(x) = -4\lfloor A \rfloor U$ .

*Example* 4.2. With  $\phi(x) = \operatorname{Ai}(x/2)$ , we have

$$\frac{d}{dx}\operatorname{trace}\Gamma_{\phi_x} = \frac{d}{dx}\int_0^\infty \operatorname{Ai}(x+t)dt = -\operatorname{Ai}(x),$$

so as  $x \to \infty$  we have

$$2V(x,x) = \frac{d}{dx} \operatorname{trace} \left( \log(I + \Gamma_{\phi_x}) - \log(I - \Gamma_{\phi_x}) \right)$$
$$\approx \frac{d}{dx} 2\operatorname{trace} \Gamma_{\phi_x}$$
$$= -2\operatorname{Ai}(x). \tag{4.17}$$

This example is considered in detail by Hastings and McLeod [21].

### 5. Solutions of the sinh-Gordon equation

Howland [23] observed that Hankel matrices are analogous to one-dimensional Schrödinger operators with the role of the Laplacian played by Carleman's operator

$$\Gamma f(x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{f(y)dy}{x+y} \qquad (f \in L^2(0,\infty)).$$
(5.1)

Power [34] p. 18 gives several unitarily equivalent forms of this operator. Examples of Howland's operators have been considered in quantum field theory [7].

To obtain such operators in terms of linear systems, we recall Proposition 2.1 from [5]. Let  $H = L^2((0,\infty);\mathbb{C})$  which has dense linear subspace  $\mathcal{D}(A) = \{f \in L^2((0,\infty);\mathbb{C}) : yf(y) \in L^2((0,\infty);\mathbb{C}).$  Suppose that  $h \in L^{\infty}((0,\infty);\mathbb{R})$  and  $h(y)/\sqrt{y} \in L^2(0,\infty)$ . Then we let

$$A: \mathcal{D}(A) \to H: \quad f(y) \mapsto yf(y);$$
  

$$B_t: \mathbb{C} \to H: \quad \beta \mapsto h(y) \exp\left(-\frac{t}{y}\right)\beta$$
  

$$C_t: H \to \mathbb{C}: \quad f \mapsto \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{t}{y}\right)h(y)f(y) \, dy$$
(5.2)

where the input and output operators depend upon the real parameter t > 0. Then we introduce the impulse response function

$$\phi(x;t) = C_t e^{-xA} B_t = \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-xy - \frac{2t}{y}\right) h(y)^2 \, dy \qquad (t>0) \tag{5.3}$$

which satisfies the linear PDE

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x \partial t} = 2\phi, \tag{5.4}$$

which may be interpreted as a linear counterpart of the sinh-Gordon equation. We also introduce

$$R_{(x;t)} = \int_x^\infty e^{-uA} B_t C_t e^{-uA} \, du.$$

In the following result, the pair (x, t) may be regarded as light-cone co-ordinates, rather than space and time.

**Theorem 5.1.** For the linear system (5.2), let

$$S(x;t) = \log \det(I + R_{(x;t)}) - \log \det(I - R_{(x;t)}).$$
(5.5)

Then S gives a solution of the sinh-Gordon equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x \,\partial t} = 2 \sinh 2S. \tag{5.6}$$

*Proof.* As an integral operator on  $L^2(0,\infty)$ ,  $R_{(x;t)}$  has a kernel

$$\frac{h(y)h(z)}{y+z}\exp\left(-x(y+z)-t\left(\frac{1}{y}+\frac{1}{z}\right)\right) \qquad (y,z>0)$$
(5.7)

which has the form of a Howland operator. This  $R_{(x;t)}$  evidently defines a Hilbert–Schmidt linear operator on  $L^2(0,\infty)$ . Suppose for simplicity that h is real-valued. Then  $R_{(x;t)}$  is the Schur product of the Carleman operator  $\Gamma$  from (5.1) with kernel 1/(y+z) and the tensor product of  $h(y)e^{-xy-t/y}$  with itself. Power [34] showed that  $\Gamma$  has spectrum  $[0,\pi]$ , hence  $R_{(x;t)}$  is self-adjoint and positive with trace

trace 
$$R_{(x;t)} = \int_0^\infty \frac{h(y)^2}{2y} e^{-2xy - 2t/y} \, dy \le \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{h(y)^2}{y} \, dy$$

So  $R_{(x;t)}$  is trace class and there exists  $x_0 > 0$  such that for all  $x > x_0$ , we have  $||R_{(x;t)}|| < 1$ ; hence  $I \pm R_{(x;t)}$  are invertible. (Similar results hold for complex h by polarization.)

Note that due to the special form of the linear system

$$-\frac{\partial R_{(x;t)}}{\partial t} = A^{-1}R_{(x;t)} + R_{(x;t)}A^{-1}$$
  
=  $A^{-1}(AR_{(x;t)} + R_{(x;t)}A)A^{-1}$   
=  $-A^{-1}\frac{\partial R_{(x;t)}}{\partial x}A^{-1}$   
=  $A^{-1}e^{-xA}B_tC_te^{-xA}A^{-1}$  (5.8)

gives an operator of rank one so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} &= \operatorname{trace} \left( (I + R_{(x;t)})^{-1} \frac{\partial R_{(x;t)}}{\partial t} + (I - R_{(x;t)})^{-1} \frac{\partial R_{(x;t)}}{\partial t} \right) \\ &= C_t e^{-xA} A^{-1} (I - R_{(x;t)}^2)^{-1} A^{-1} e^{-xA} B_t. \end{aligned}$$

We write  $2S(x;t) = \int_x^\infty \psi(s;t)ds$ , where  $\psi(x;t) = -4V(x,x)$  as in (4.10). By (4.12), we have

$$2\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x} = -16\lfloor A\rfloor - \psi^2 \tag{5.9}$$

so by integrating with respect to x we deduce

$$-2\psi(x;t) = 8\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\log\det(I+R_{(x;t)}) - \int_x^\infty \psi(y;t)^2 \, dy,$$

which implies when we differentiate with respect to t and multiply by  $\psi$ , that

$$-2\psi\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = 8\psi\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x\partial t}\log\det(I+R_{(x;t)}) - \psi(x;t)\int_x^\infty\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(y;t)^2\,dy.$$
(5.10)

We also have

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = 2 \lfloor A^{-1} (I + R_{(x;t)}) \rfloor \lfloor (I + R_{(x;t)}) A^{-1} \rfloor 
+ 2 \lfloor (I - R_{(x;t)})^{-1} A^{-1} (I + R_{(x;t)}) \rfloor \lfloor (I + R_{(x;t)}) A^{-1} (I - R_{(x;t)})^{-1} \rfloor 
- 4 \lfloor (I - R_{(x;t)})^{-1} A^{-1} (I + R_{(x;t)}) \rfloor - 4 \lfloor (I + R_{(x;t)}) A^{-1} (I - R_{(x;t)})^{-1} \rfloor, \quad (5.11)$$

and from (5.8),

$$\frac{\partial \lfloor A \rfloor}{\partial t} = \lfloor A^{-1} (I + R_{(x;t)}) \rfloor \lfloor (I + R_{(x;t)}) A^{-1} (I + R_{(x;t)})^{-1} A \rfloor + \lfloor A (I + R_{(x;t)})^{-1} A^{-1} (I + R_{(x;t)}) \rfloor \lfloor (I + R_{(x;t)}) A^{-1} \rfloor - \lfloor (I + R_{(x;t)}) A^{-1} (I + R_{(x;t)})^{-1} A \rfloor - \lfloor A (I + R_{(x;t)})^{-1} A^{-1} (I + R_{(x;t)}) \rfloor.$$
(5.12)

Also, from (5.9) we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t \partial x} = -8 \frac{\partial \lfloor A \rfloor}{\partial t} - \psi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}.$$
(5.13)

We need to combine the equations (5.10) and (5.13) and thereby obtain the sinh-Gordon equation. The expression (5.11) involves factors I-R; whereas (5.12) involves only I+R; we reconcile these by multiplying by  $\psi = 4\lfloor (I+R)/(I-R) \rfloor$ , which cancels out the factors of I-R. From (5.8), we have

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial t} \log \det(I + R_{(x;t)}) = -\lfloor A^{-1}(I + R_{(x;t)}) \rfloor \lfloor (I + R_{(x;t)}) A^{-1} \rfloor + \lfloor A^{-1}(I + R_{(x;t)}) \rfloor + \lfloor (I + R_{(x;t)}) A^{-1} \rfloor;$$
(5.14)

hence from the \* product (2.6) we obtain

$$\begin{split} \psi \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial x} \log \det(I + R_{(x;t)}) \\ &= -4 \lfloor (I + R_{(x;t)}) A^{-1} \rfloor \lfloor (I + R_{(x;t)}) (I - R_{(x;t)})^{-1} \rfloor \lfloor A^{-1} (I + R_{(x;t)}) \rfloor \\ &+ 4 \lfloor (I + R_{(x;t)}) A^{-1} \rfloor \lfloor (I + R_{(x;t)}) (I - R_{(x;t)})^{-1} \rfloor \\ &+ 4 \lfloor (I + R_{(x;t)}) (I - R_{(x;t)})^{-1} \rfloor \lfloor A^{-1} (I + R_{(x;t)}) A^{-1} \rfloor \\ &= -4 \lfloor (I + R_{(x;t)}) (I - R_{(x;t)})^{-1} - (I + R_{(x;t)}) A^{-1} (I + R_{(x;t)})^{-1} A \rfloor \lfloor A^{-1} (I + R_{(x;t)}) \rfloor \end{split}$$

LINEAR SYSTEMS, HANKEL PRODUCTS AND THE SINH-GORDON EQUATION

$$+\psi - 4\left\lfloor (I + R_{(x;t)})A^{-1}(I + R_{(x;t)})^{-1}A\right\rfloor + \psi - 4\left\lfloor A(I + R_{(x;t)})^{-1}A^{-1}(I + R_{(x;t)})\right\rfloor$$
(5.15)

hence we obtain

$$\begin{split} \psi \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial x} \log \det(I + R_{(x;t)}) \\ &= 4 \lfloor (I + R_{(x;t)}) A^{-1} (I + R_{(x;t)})^{-1} A \rfloor \lfloor A^{-1} (I + R_{(x;t)}) \rfloor \\ &- 4 \lfloor (I + R_{(x;t)}) (I - R_{(x;t)})^{-1} \rfloor \lfloor A^{-1} (I + R_{(x;t)}) \rfloor \\ &+ \psi - 4 \lfloor (I + R_{(x;t)}) A^{-1} (I + R_{(x;t)})^{-1} A \rfloor + \psi - 4 \lfloor A (I + R_{(x;t)})^{-1} A^{-1} (I + R_{(x;t)}) \rfloor \\ &= 4 \lfloor (I + R_{(x;t)}) A^{-1} (I + R_{(x;t)})^{-1} A \rfloor \lfloor A^{-1} (I + R_{(x;t)}) \rfloor \\ &+ 4 \lfloor A (I + R_{(x;t)}) A^{-1} (I + R_{(x;t)}) \rfloor - \psi \\ &+ \psi - 4 \lfloor (I + R_{(x;t)}) A^{-1} (I + R_{(x;t)})^{-1} A \rfloor + \psi - 4 \lfloor A (I + R_{(x;t)})^{-1} A^{-1} (I + R_{(x;t)}) \rfloor \\ &= \psi + 4 \lfloor (I + R_{(x;t)}) A^{-1} (I + R_{(x;t)})^{-1} A \rfloor \lfloor A^{-1} (I + R_{(x;t)}) \rfloor \\ &- 4 \lfloor (I + R_{(x;t)}) A^{-1} (I + R_{(x;t)})^{-1} A \rfloor \rfloor A^{-1} (I + R_{(x;t)}) \rfloor \\ &= \psi + 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \lfloor A \rfloor. \end{split}$$
(5.16)

Hence (5.10) gives

$$-2\psi\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = 16\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\lfloor A\rfloor + 8\psi - \psi(x;t)\int_{x}^{\infty}\frac{\partial\psi^{2}}{\partial t}\,dy$$
(5.17)

so (5.13) becomes

$$2\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x \partial t} = 8\psi - 2\psi(x;t) \int_x^\infty \psi(y;t) \frac{\partial \psi(y;t)}{\partial t} \, dy,$$

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$-\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} + \int_x^\infty \psi(y;t) \int_y^\infty \psi(v;t) \frac{\partial\psi(v;t)}{\partial t} \, dv \, dy = 4 \int_x^\infty \psi(y;t) \, dy, \tag{5.18}$$

so we need to compare the integral in (5.18) with the sinh 2S term in (5.6).

We introduce the integration operator  $F_{\psi}: L^2(0,\infty) \to L^2(0,\infty)$ , which depends upon t > 0 by

$$F_{\psi}f(x;t) = \int_{x}^{\infty} \psi(s;t)f(s) \, ds.$$

Choosing f = 1, we obtain by induction the formula

$$F_{\psi}^{n} \circ 1(x;t) = \frac{1}{n!} \left( \int_{x}^{\infty} \psi(s;t) ds \right)^{n} = \frac{1}{n!} (F_{\psi} \circ 1)^{n} (x;t).$$

Now we express the right-hand side of (5.6) as a composition of operators

$$\sinh 2S = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2n+1}S^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(F_{\psi} \circ 1)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!}$$

$$=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{\psi}^{2n+1} \circ 1(x;t) = (I - F_{\psi}^2)^{-1} F_{\psi} \circ 1(x;t).$$

Hence the equation (5.18) gives

$$-\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = 4(I - F_{\psi}^2)^{-1}F_{\psi} \circ 1(x;t)$$

which gives

$$2\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial t \partial x} = 4\sinh 2S.$$

which is the sinh-Gordon equation.

**Corollary 5.2.** Let  $\phi_{(x;t)}(y) = \phi(y+2x;t)$ , with  $\phi$  as in Theorem 5.1 for (i) and (ii).

(i) Then

$$S(x;t) = \log \det(I + \Gamma_{\phi_{(x;t)}}) - \log \det(I - \Gamma_{\phi_{(x;t)}})$$
(5.19)

satisfies the sinh-Gordon equation (5.6).

- (ii) The function  $\varphi(z;t) = \log \det(I \Gamma_{\phi_{(z;t)}}^2)$  is holomorphic on  $\{z : \operatorname{Re} z > x_0\}$  for some  $x_0 > 0$  and  $\varphi(z;t) \to 0$  as  $\operatorname{Re} z \to \infty$ .
- (iii) Now let

$$\phi(x; s/2) = \int_0^\infty e^{-xy - s/y} dy \qquad (\text{Re}\, x > 0, s > 0).$$

Then the conclusion of (ii) holds for the associated Hankel operator  $\Gamma_{\phi_{(z;t)}}$ .

### *Proof.* (i) We have

$$\det(I + \lambda \Gamma_{\phi_{(x;t)}}) = \det(I + \lambda \Theta_x^{\dagger} \Xi_x) = \det(I + \lambda \Xi_x \Theta_x^{\dagger}) = \det(I + \lambda R_{(x;t)}) \qquad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}),$$

so the formulas (5.5) and (5.19) for S(x; t) are equivalent, and the result holds by Theorem 5.1. See also [37].

(ii) By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$|\phi_{(z;t)}(x)|^2 \le ||h||_{\infty}^2 \int_0^\infty e^{-xy-4t/y} |h(y)|^2 dy \int_0^\infty e^{-(x+4\operatorname{Re} z)y} dy;$$

hence the Hilbert–Schmidt norm satisfies

$$\|\Gamma_{\phi_{(z;t)}}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2}}^{2} = \int_{0}^{\infty} x |\phi_{(z;t)}(x)|^{2} dx$$
  
$$\leq \|h\|_{\infty}^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{xy}{x+4\operatorname{Re} z} e^{-xy} dx \right\} \frac{e^{-4t/y}}{y} |h(y)|^{2} dy \qquad (5.20)$$

where the inner integral is bounded and converges to zero as  $\operatorname{Re} z \to \infty$ , so  $\|\Gamma_{\phi_{(z;t)}}\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 \to 0$ by the dominated convergence theorem, hence the result.

(iii) The conclusion of Corollary 5.2(ii) holds, but the hypotheses are not quite satisfied, so we provide a special argument. Let

$$K_1(z) = \int_0^\infty \exp(-z \cosh u) \cosh u \, du \qquad (\operatorname{Re} z > 0) \tag{5.21}$$

be the modified Bessel function of the third kind of order one, also known as MacDonald's function of the second kind, which is holomorphic on  $\{z \text{ Re } z > 0\}$  with  $|K_1(z)| \leq K_1(\text{Re } z)$ . This also satisfies

$$\frac{e^{-t}}{t} \le K_1(t) \le \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2t}}e^{-t} + \frac{e^{-t}}{t} \qquad (t > 0)$$

by simple estimates following from  $\cosh u = 1 + 2 \sinh^2(u/2)$ . Then for  $\alpha = 0$ , we have

$$\phi(x; s/2) = \sqrt{\frac{4s}{x}} K_1(2\sqrt{sx})$$
(5.22)

so  $\int_0^\infty x |\phi(x+2x_0;s/2)|^2 dx$  is finite for all  $x_0, s > 0$  and we can deduce  $\|\Gamma_{\phi(x_0)}\|_{\mathcal{L}^2} \to 0$ as  $x_0 \to \infty$ , as in Corollary 5.2(ii).

### 6. HANKEL DETERMINANTS

The Wishart ensemble is a standard model in random matrix theory [17, page 91] which produces the Laguerre ensemble of random eigenvalues on  $(0, \infty)$ . The Laguerre ensemble is in turn used as a model in the theory of wireless transmission, and in an integral model of quantum field theory at finite temperature [8]. The KP, KdV and sinh-Gordon differential equation can be interpreted as aspects of a single hierarchy.

One can produce particular solutions to such differential equations in terms of the Painlevé transcendental functions. Chen and Its [8] considered the singularly perturbed Laguerre weight  $y^{\alpha}e^{-y-s/y}$  for y > 0 and  $\alpha > 0$ . Let  $h(y) = y^{\alpha/2}$ , and observe that

$$\phi(x; s/2) = \int_0^\infty y^\alpha e^{-xy - s/y} \, dy \tag{6.1}$$

is a moment generating function for moments of this weight, so we have

$$(-1)^{j+k} \left(\frac{\partial^{j+k}}{\partial x^{j+k}}\right)_{x=1} \phi(x;s/2) = \int_0^\infty y^{\alpha+j+k} e^{-y-s/y} \, dy$$

Then the corresponding Hankel determinants are given by

$$D_n(s) = \det\left[\int_0^\infty y^{\alpha+j+k} e^{-y-s/y} \, dy\right]_{j,k=0}^{n-1} \qquad (s>0; n=1,2,\dots), \tag{6.2}$$

which turns out to be the isomonodromic tau function for a particular sequence of solutions of Painlevé III' differential equation. This terminology refers to the work of Okamoto.

Example 6.1. Let s = 0 and  $\alpha > 0$ , let G(z) be Barnes G-function such that G(0) = 1and  $G(z+1) = \Gamma(z)G(z)$ , where  $\Gamma(z)$  is Euler's gamma function. Then by (1.19) of [8]

$$D_n(0) = \frac{G(n+1)G(n+\alpha+1)}{G(\alpha+1)}.$$

Let  $\alpha = 0$ . By Andréief's identity [16], we have

$$\det \left[ (-1)^{j+k-2} K_1^{(j+k-2)}(t) \right]_{j,k=1}^n = \frac{1}{n!} \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty \prod_{1 \le j < k \le n} (\cosh u_j - \cosh u_k)^2 \prod_{j=1}^n e^{-t \cosh u_j} \cosh u_j \, du_j \quad (6.3)$$

with  $K_1$  as in (5.21). With the change of variable  $x_j = 2/(1 + \cosh u_j)$ , this may be written as

$$\frac{1}{n!} \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-t\left(\frac{2}{x_j} - 1\right)\right) \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j^{-2n}(2-x_j)}{\sqrt{1-x_j}} \prod_{1 \le j < k \le n} (x_j - x_k)^2 \prod_{j=1}^n dx_j.$$

This is associated with the generalized unitary ensemble for the scalar potential

$$u_n(x) = t\left(\frac{2}{x} - 1\right) + 2n\log x + (1/2)\log(1 - x) - \log(2 - x), \tag{6.4}$$

with

$$u'_{n}(x) = \frac{-2t}{x^{2}} + \frac{2n}{x} - \frac{1/2}{1-x} + \frac{1}{2-x},$$
(6.5)

and

$$u_n''(x) = \frac{4t}{x^3} + \frac{-2n}{x^2} - \frac{1/2}{(1-x)^2} + \frac{1}{(2-x)^2},$$
(6.6)

Dyson introduced a technique for funding the asymptotics of such determinants, which has been refined by Chen [10, page 4603] and others into the Coulomb fluid method. In this, the point distribution  $n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{x_j}$  of the  $x_j$  is approximated in the weak topology on probability measures as  $n \to \infty$  by a continuous distribution  $\rho$  which is found by potential theory. We write

$$\mathcal{H} \rho(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(y)}{x - y} \frac{dy}{\pi}$$

for the Hilbert transform of  $\rho$ .

Fix  $\xi \in (0,1)$  and write t for  $2n\xi$ . The zeros of  $u'_n(x)$  may be approximated by the zeros of h(x) = (2n - 1/2)(x - 1)(x - 2)(x - t/n) by Rouché's theorem, so we locate a real zero of  $u'_n(x)$  near to  $2\xi$ . Note that

$$\frac{u_n''(2\xi)}{n} = \frac{1}{2\xi^2} - \frac{1}{2n(1-2\xi)^2} + \frac{1}{n(2-\xi)^2}$$

is positive for all  $0 < \xi < 1/2$  and all sufficiently large n, so  $u_n$  is convex near to this minimizer. For a continuous  $V : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ , we introduce the energy functional

$$E_V(\rho) = \left\{ \int_0^1 V(x)\rho(x) \, dx + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} \rho(x)\rho(y) \, dxdy \right\},\tag{6.7}$$

where V indicates an electric field and the  $\log |x - y|$  term involves electrostatic interaction between points  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ , where the charge distribution is  $\rho$ . The equilibrium distribution is ined to be the minimizer of this energy functional; see [35].

We consider the approximate scaled potential that is given by the first two summands in (6.4), namely

$$u_0(x) = 2\xi \left(\frac{2}{x} - 1\right) + 2\log x, \tag{6.8}$$

with

$$u_0'(x) = \frac{-2\xi}{x^2} + \frac{2}{x}; \quad u_0''(x) = \frac{8\xi}{x^3} - \frac{2}{x^2} \qquad (0 < x < 1)$$

which has a local minimum at  $x = 2\xi$ .

**Proposition 6.2.** (i) The equilibrium distribution for  $u_0$  is  $\sigma_0$ , where

$$\sigma_0(x) = \frac{\sqrt{(b-x)(x-a)}}{\pi\sqrt{ab}} \left(\frac{\xi(a+b)}{abx} + \frac{2\xi}{x^2} - \frac{1}{x}\right) \qquad (a < x < b),$$

is supported on (a, b), where

$$a, b = \frac{4\pi\xi}{(2\pi - 1)^2} \left(2\pi \pm \sqrt{4\pi - 1}\right).$$

(ii) For  $1/4 < \xi < 1/2$ , the free logarithmic Sobolev inequality

$$E_{u_0}(p) - E_{u_0}(\sigma_0) \le \frac{2}{8\xi - 2} \int_a^b (2\pi \mathcal{H} p(x) - u_0'(x))^2 p(x) \, dx.$$
(6.9)

holds for all probability density functions  $p \in L^3(a, b)$ .

(iii) Let  $\rho_n$  be the minimizer of  $E_{u_n/n}(\rho)$  over all continuous  $\rho$  such that  $\rho \ge 0$  and  $\int_0^1 \rho(x) dx = 1$ . Suppose that  $\rho_n$  has a continuous density which is supported in a single interval. Then  $\rho_n$  converges weakly as  $n \to \infty$  to  $\sigma_0$ .

*Proof.* (i) By standard results [35] Theorem 1.3 and p. 215, there exists a unique continuous probability density function on [0, 1] that attains the minimum of  $E_V(\rho)$  for continuous V. We aim to solve the singular integral problem

$$u_0'(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_a^b \frac{2\sigma_0(y)}{x-y} \, dy = 2\pi \,\mathcal{H}\,\sigma_0(x)$$

for the equilibrium density  $\sigma_0$  subject to the constraint  $\int_a^b \sigma_0(y) dy = 1$ . Since  $u_0$  is convex, the solution is continuous and positive on a single interval (a, b), where 0 < a < b < 1, and we have

$$\sigma_0(x) = \frac{\sqrt{(b-x)(x-a)}}{2\pi^2} \int_a^b \frac{u_0'(x) - u_0'(y)}{x-y} \frac{dy}{\sqrt{(b-y)(y-a)}}$$
$$= \frac{\sqrt{(b-x)(x-a)}}{2\pi^2} \int_a^b \left(\frac{4\xi(x+y)}{x^2y^2} - \frac{2}{xy}\right) \frac{dy}{\sqrt{(b-y)(y-a)}}$$
$$= \frac{\sqrt{(b-x)(x-a)}}{\pi\sqrt{ab}} \left(\frac{\xi(a+b)}{abx} + \frac{2\xi}{x^2} - \frac{1}{x}\right) \qquad (a < x < b), \tag{6.10}$$

where the final step follows from the substitution  $y = a + (b-a) \sin^2 \phi$  and some elementary integrals. The initial factor has the form of a semicircular distribution, which is modulated by a rational function with poles at x = 0 outside of the support interval (a, b). The endpoints of this interval are subject to the constraints

$$0 = \int_{a}^{b} \frac{u_{0}'(x) \, dx}{\sqrt{(b-x)(x-a)}} = -\frac{2\pi\xi(a+b)}{ab\sqrt{ab}} + \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{ab}}$$

and

$$1 = \int_{a}^{b} \frac{xu_{0}'(x) \, dx}{\sqrt{(b-x)(x-a)}} = 2\pi - \frac{4\pi\xi}{\sqrt{ab}}$$

so that

$$a, b = \frac{2\pi^2 \xi}{(\pi - 1/2)^2} \mp \frac{2\pi \xi}{\pi - 1/2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi^2}{(\pi - 1/2)^2} - 1}.$$
(6.11)

(ii) We have  $u_0''(x) = (8x\xi - 2x)/x^3 > 8\xi - 2 > 0$ . The result follows from Theorem 3.1 of [3].

(iii) Returning to the original potential, we have  $u_n = nu_0 + f$  where the correction term  $f(x) = (1/2)\log(1-x) - \log(2-x)$ . There is a corresponding correction to the equilibrium density,  $\rho_n = \sigma_0 + \tilde{\rho}/n$  where

$$\tilde{\rho}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2 \sqrt{(b-x)(x-a)}} \text{ p.v.} \int_a^b \frac{\sqrt{(b-y)(y-a)}}{y-x} f'(y) \, dy$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi^2 \sqrt{(b-x)(x-a)}} \text{ p.v.} \int_a^b \frac{\sqrt{b-y}(y-a)}{y-x} \left(\frac{-1/2}{1-y} + \frac{1}{2-y}\right) dy$$
$$= \frac{1}{4\pi \sqrt{(b-x)(x-a)}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{(1-a)(1-b)}}{1-x} + 1 + \frac{2\sqrt{(2-a)(2-b)}}{x-2}\right)$$

where all the roots are positive square roots and we have used the evaluation (258) in the Appendix to [10]. This has the form of an arcsine distribution, modulated by a rational function with poles outside (a, b).

Biane [3] interprets the tangent space to  $L^2(\sigma_0)$  as a space of functions with finite Dirichlet norm, which has a natural interpretation in the present context. Let  $\psi : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  be a differentiable function such that

$$\sigma(\psi)^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_a^b \frac{\psi(x)}{\sqrt{(b-x)(x-a)}} \quad \text{p.v.} \int_a^b \frac{\sqrt{(b-y)(y-x)}}{x-y} \psi'(y) \, dy dx, \tag{6.12}$$

is finite. Then by results of [9], the asymptotic distribution of a linear statistic  $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \psi(x_j)$ is a Gaussian with mean  $N \int_{a}^{b} \psi(x) \sigma_0(x) dx$  and variance  $\sigma(\psi)^2$  where  $\sigma(\psi)$  depends upon [a, b] but not upon the equilibrium distribution  $\sigma_0$  itself. In terms of the Chebyshev polynomials, we introduce  $\psi((a+b)/2(+(b-a)t/2)) = \sum_k a_k T_k(t)$  where

$$\frac{a_k}{2} = \int_{-1}^1 \psi((a+b)/2 + (b-a)t/2)T_k(t)\frac{dt}{\pi\sqrt{1-t^2}}$$

so the variance is  $\sigma(\psi)^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_k^2/4$ . Then we introduce  $\phi(\theta) = \psi((a+b)/2 + (b-a)\cos\theta/2)$  has an expansion

$$\phi(\theta) = (1/2)a_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \cos k\theta,$$

which gives a self-adjoint and Hilbert–Schmidt Hankel matrix  $\Gamma_{\phi} = [a_{j+k}]_{j,k=0}^{\infty}$ .

Remark 6.3. In their study of the Laguerre unitary ensemble, Forrester and Witte [18] obtain solutions of PIII' from similar formulas involving the modified Bessel function of the first kind  $I_{\nu}$ , although their Corollary 4.5 has a Toeplitz rather than a Hankel determinant.

*Example* 6.4. For  $s, x, \alpha > 0$  in (6.1), consider

$$v(z) = -\alpha \log z + \sqrt{sx} \left( z + \frac{1}{z} \right) \qquad (z > 0).$$

By a simple scaling, this is the scalar potential that one needs to study the determinant (6.2) with weight  $y^{\alpha}e^{-y-s/y}$  on  $(0,\infty)$ . Then v is convex with

$$\frac{v'(z) - v'(y)}{z - y} = \frac{\alpha}{z} + \sqrt{sx} \left(\frac{z + y}{z^2 y^2}\right)$$
(6.13)

a sum of products of rational functions of z and y, and there exist b > a > 0, such that the integral equation

$$v(z) = 2 \int_{a}^{b} \log|z - y|\rho(y)dy + C \qquad (z \in (a, b))$$
(6.14)

for some  $C \in \mathbb{R}$  with the normalization  $\rho(y) \ge 0$  and

$$\int_{a}^{b} \rho(y) \, dy = 1,$$

has solution

$$\rho(z) = \frac{\sqrt{(b-z)(z-a)}}{2\pi\sqrt{ab}} \left[\frac{\alpha}{z} + \frac{\sqrt{sx}}{z^2} + \frac{\sqrt{sx}}{2z} \left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b}\right)\right] \qquad (a < z < b)$$

by a similar argument to (6.10). We need a > 0 to ensure that the resulting  $\rho$  is integrable. The relevant integrals arise from (248) in the appendix to [10]. Let  $(P_{j,N})_{j=0}^{\infty}$  be the monic polynomials that are orthogonal for the weight  $e^{-Nv(z)}$ , which gives rise to the integral equation (6.14) multiplied through by N, with the normalizations preserved. Then using the results of [9], we observe that  $N \int_a^b \rho(x) dx$  gives the number of zeros of  $P_{N,N}(z)$  and have an asymptotic formula

$$\log P_{N,N}(z) \sim N \int_{a}^{b} \log(z-y)\rho(y)dy \qquad (N \to \infty)$$

for  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ . This type of double scaling is standard in random matrix theory and addresses the singularity of the weight; see section 4 of [9] for more details.

Acknowledgements. Part of this document was written by GB during a visit to the University of Macau, supported by a visiting scholarship. The results were announced at IWOTA Chapman USA, and were partially supported by EPSRC Grant EP/T007524/1 IWOTA Lancaster 2020. The authors acknowledge correspondence with S.J.A. Malham leading to the proof of Theorem 5.1. The authors thank the referee for helpful comments.

**Rights Retention Statement.** This research was produced in whole or part by UNSW Sydney researchers and is subject to the UNSW Intellectual property policy. For the purposes of Open Access, the authors have applied a Creative Commons Attribution CC-BY licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript(AAM) version arising from this submission. **Declarations of interest:none** 

### References

- D.Z. Arov and O.J. Staffans, *Linear systems/Signal systems*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 183 (Cambridge University Press, 2022).
- J.A. Ball, P.T. Carroll and Y. Uetake, Lax-Phillips scattering and well-posed linear systems: a coordinate-free approach, Math. Control Signals Syst. 20 (2008), 37–79.
- P. Biane, Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities, matrix models and free entropy, Acta Math. Sinica 19 (2003), 497–506.
- [4] G. Blower, Linear systems and determinantal random point fields, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 355 (2009), 311-334.
- [5] G. Blower, On linear systems and τ-functions for Lamé's equation and Painlevé's equation VI,
   J. Math. Anal. Appl. 377 (2011), 294–316.
- [6] G. Blower and S. Newsham, Tau functions for linear systems, pp. 63-94 in Operator Theory, Functional Analysis and Applications, Bastos et al. edrs, (Birkhäuser, 2021).
- [7] H. Casini, C.D. Fosco and M. Huerta, Entanglement and alpha entropies for a massive Dirac field in two dimensions, J. Statist. Mech: Theory Exper. (2005), P07007.
- Y. Chen and A. Its, Painlevé III and a singular linear statistics in Hermitian matrix ensembles
   I, J. Approx. Th. 162 (2010), 270–297.
- Y. Chen and N. Lawrence, On the linear statistics of Hermitian random matrices, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 31 (1998), 1141–1152.
- [10] Y. Chen and M.R. McKay, Coulomb fluid, Painlevé transcendents and the information theory of MIMO systems, *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 58 (2012), 4594–4634.
- J. Cuntz and D. Quillen, Algebra extensions and nonsingularity, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1995), 251–289.
- [12] A. Doikou, S.J.A. Malham and I. Stylianidis, Grassmannian flows and applications to noncommutative and nonlocal integrable flows, *Phys. D* **415** (2021) 132744.
- P. Deift, A.R. Its and X. Zhou, Riemann-Hilbert approach to asymptotic problems arising in random matrix models, and in the theory of integrable statistical mechanics, Annals of Math. 146 (1997), 149–235.
- [14] F.J. Dyson, Fredholm determinants and inverse scattering problems, Comm. Math. Phys. 47 (1976), 171–183.

- [15] N. Ercolani and H. P. McKean, Geometry of KdV IV: Abel sums, Jacobi variety theta function in the scattering case, *Inventiones Math.* 99 (1990), 483–544.
- P.J. Forrester, Meet Andréief, Bordeaux 1866, and Andreev, Kharkov 1882-1883, Random Matrices Theory Appl. 8 (2019), 1930001.
- [17] P.J. Forrester, Log gases and random matrices, (Princeton University Press, 2010).
- [18] P.J. Forrester and N. Witte, Application of  $\tau$ -function theory of Painlevé equations to random matrices:  $P_V$ ,  $P_{III}$ , the LUE, JUE and CUE, Comm. Pure. Appl. Math. 55 (2002), 679–727.
- [19] I.M. Gelfand and L.A. Dikii, Integrable nonlinear equations and the Liouville theorem, Funct.
   Anal. Appl. 13 (1979), 6–15.
- [20] F. Gesztesy and H. Holden, Soliton equation and their algebro-geometric solutions, (Cambridge University Press, 2003).
- [21] S.P. Hastings and J.B. McLeod, A boundary value problem associated with the second Painlevé transcendent and the Korteweg-de Vries equation, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **73** (1980), 31–51.
- T. Hollowood, L. Miramontes, C. Nappi, and A. Pasquinucci, Hermitian versus anti-Hermitian
   1-matrix models and their hierarchies, *Nuclear Physics B* 373 (1991), 247–280.
- [23] J.S. Howland, Spectral theory of operators of Hankel type I,II, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 41 (1992), 409–426.
- [24] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa and K. Ueno, Monodromy preserving ormation of linear ordinary differential equations with rational coefficients: I. General theory and  $\tau$ -function, *Phys. D* **2** (1981), 306–352.
- [25] A.P. Magnus, Painlevé-type differential equations for the recurrence coefficients of semi-classical orthogonal polynomials, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 57 (1995), 215–237.
- [26] H.P. McKean, Fredholm determinants, Cent. Eur. J. Math. 9 (2011), 205–243.
- [27] H.P. McKean, Geometry of KdV II: Three examples, J. Statist. Phys. 46 (1987), 1115–1143.
- [28] H.P. McKean, Addition for the acoustic equation, Comm. Pure Appl. Math 54 (2001), 1271– 1288.
- [29] H. P. McKean and P. van Moerbecke, The spectrum of Hill's equation, Invent. Math. 30 (1975), 217–274.
- [30] A.V. Megretski, V.V. Peller and S.R. Treil, The inverse spectral theorem for self-adjoint Hankel operators, *Acta Math.* **174** (1995), 341–309.
- [31] D. Mumford, Tata lectures on theta functions II: Jacobian theta functions and differential equations, (Springer, 2007).
- [32] V.V. Peller, Hankel operators and their applications, (Springer, 2002).
- [33] C. Pöppe, The Fredholm determinant method for the KdV equation, Phys. D 13 (1984), 137–160.
- [34] S.C. Power, Hankel operators on Hilbert space, Research Notes in Mathematics, 64, (Pitman, 1982).
- [35] E.B. Saff and V. Totik, Logarithmic Potentials with External Fields, (Springer, 1997).
- [36] C.A. Tracy and H. Widom, Fredholm determinants, differential equations and matrix models. Comm. Math. Phys. 163 (1994), 33–72.
- [37] C.A. Tracy and H. Widom, Fredholm determinants and the mKdV/sinh-Gordon hierarchies, Comm. Math. Phys. 179 (1996), 1–10.

Email address: g.blower@lancaster.ac.uk

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, LANCASTER UNIVERSITY, LANCASTER LA1 4YF, UNITED KINGDOM

*Email address*: i.doust@unsw.edu.au

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia