1	Title: Precipitation change affects forest soil carbon inputs and pools: a
2	global meta-analysis
3	Running Title: Precipitation change affects soil carbon
4	Authors: Shan Xu ^{1,2} , Junjian Wang ² , Emma J Sayer ^{3,4} , Shu Kee Lam ⁵ , Derrick Y.F. Lai ^{1,6*}
5	Author affiliations:
6	¹ Department of Geography and Resource Management, The Chinese University of Hong Kong,
7	Shatin, New Territories, Hong Kong SAR, China
8	² Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Soil and Groundwater Pollution Control, School of
9	Environmental Science and Engineering, Southern University of Science and Technology,
10	Shenzhen 518055, China
11	³ Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YQ
12	⁴ Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, P.O. Box 0843-03092, Balboa, Ancon, Panama,
13	Republic of Panama
14	⁵ School of Agriculture and Food, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia
15	⁶ Centre for Environmental Policy and Resource Management, The Chinese University of Hong
16	Kong, Shatin, New Territories, Hong Kong SAR, China
17	
18	
19	
20	*Corresponding author
21	(D.Y.F. Lai: E-mail: dyflai@cuhk.edu.hk; Phone: +852-39436528)
22	

24 Author Contributions

SX and DL designed this study. SX searched the papers, collected the data, and analyzed the
data. SX and EJS wrote the paper. All authors revised and commented on the initial drafts and
final manuscript.

28 Data availability statement

29 The data set used for the meta-analysis will be made available after acceptance.

30 Conflict of Interest Statement

31 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

32			
33			
34			
35			
36			
37			
38			
39			
40			
41			
42			
43			
44			
45			
46			

47 Abstract

48 The impacts of precipitation change on forest carbon (C) storage will have global consequences, as forests play a major role in sequestering anthropogenic CO₂. Although forest soils are one 49 of the largest terrestrial C pools, there is great uncertainty around the response of forest soil 50 organic carbon (SOC) to precipitation change, which limits our ability to predict future forest 51 C storage. To address this, we conducted a meta-analysis to determine the effect of drought and 52 irrigation experiments on SOC pools, plant C inputs and the soil environment based on 161 53 54 studies across 139 forest sites worldwide. Overall, forest SOC content was not affected by precipitation change, but both drought and irrigation altered plant C inputs and soil properties 55 associated with SOC formation and storage. Drought may enhance SOC stability by altering 56 soil aggregate fractions, but the effect of irrigation on SOC fractions remains unexplored. The 57 apparent insensitivity of SOC to precipitation change can be explained by the short duration of 58 most experiments and by biome-specific responses of C inputs and pools to drought or 59 irrigation. Importantly, we demonstrate that SOC content is more likely to decline under 60 irrigation at drier temperate sites, but that dry forests are currently underrepresented across 61 experimental studies. Thus, our meta-analysis advances research into the impacts of 62 precipitation change in forests by revealing important differences among forest biomes, which 63 are likely linked to plant adaptation to extant conditions. We further demonstrate important 64 knowledge gaps around how precipitation change will affect SOC stability, as too few studies 65 currently consider distinct soil C pools. To accurately predict future SOC storage in forests, 66 there is an urgent need for coordinated studies of different soil C pools and fractions across 67 existing sites, as well as new experiments in underrepresented forest types. 68

69

Keywords: precipitation change, drought, irrigation, forest soil organic carbon, plant carbon
inputs, SOC fractions.

72 **1. Introduction**

Global climate change is modifying precipitation patterns, including the amount, intensity, and 73 frequency of precipitation events (Huang et al., 2020; Kirchmeier-Young and Zhang, 2020), 74 and these changes will possibly continue at a faster rate in the future (Bao et al., 2017; Gampe 75 et al., 2021). Precipitation change, especially in the amount of annual precipitation, is thought 76 to have great impacts on ecosystem processes and functions, such as plant growth, biomass 77 78 accumulation, and soil carbon (C) cycling and storage (Wilcox et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2020). Thus, in recent decades, many field manipulation 79 80 experiments have applied drought and irrigation treatments to investigate the effects of precipitation change on ecosystem processes and functions (Hicks et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 81 2019; Zhang et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2023). In this context, assessing the impact of precipitation 82 change on forest soils is particularly pressing, because forest soils play a critical role in global 83 carbon (C) cycling and climate change mitigation: forest soils account for 16–26% of the global 84 soil C pool (Pan et al., 2011; IPCC, 2013; Wiesmeier et al., 2019) and influence biomass C 85 storage by supporting plant productivity (Schoenholtz et al., 2000; Deluca & Boisvenue., 2012). 86 Recent syntheses have assessed how precipitation change affects soil C dynamics (soil 87 respiration or soil C pools) across terrestrial ecosystems (Zhou et al., 2016; Du et al., 2020; 88 Deng et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2023). However, there remain large knowledge gaps around the 89 effects of precipitation change on soil C storage and stability. In particular, improved 90 understanding of the responses of distinct forest biomes and their soil C pools is critical for 91 predicting the capacity of forests to sequester and store C under future precipitation change. 92

Precipitation change can affect soil C storage by changing plant C inputs, soil C
decomposition and soil C stability. Precipitation affects soil water availability (Konapala et al.,
2020), and thus becomes an important factor regulating plant growth and biomass under natural
conditions, especially in water-limited systems (Maurer et al., 2020; Gampe et al., 2021; Hou

et al., 2021). Specifically, plants allocate more carbohydrates to roots to increase uptake 97 resources under drought conditions but allocate more to aboveground to maximize light capture 98 under increased precipitation (Wilcox et al., 2015, 2017). However, the response of plant 99 biomass to precipitation change can differ among forest types (Moser et al., 2014; Li et al., 100 2021; Wang et al., 2021). For example, Samuelson et al. (2008) found that irrigation had little 101 effect on plant growth and biomass in a temperate plantation, whereas Wang et al. (2019a) 102 observed increased seedling height, basal diameter growth, and biomass production in response 103 to irrigation in a tropical forest. Precipitation change can also alter soil physicochemical and 104 biological properties, such as soil temperature, inorganic nitrogen (N) content (Chen et al., 105 2019; Li et al., 2021), and microbial communities and activities (Zhou et al., 2018; Xu et al., 106 2020), thus affecting plant growth and C storage (Zhou et al., 2016). For example, soil N 107 mineralization can increase under irrigation treatments (Cregger et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2017) 108 and greater precipitation generally favors the growth of soil microbes and increases 109 fungal:bacterial ratios in drylands, which can stimulate plant growth (Raczka et al., 2022), soil 110 C cycling and soil C storage (Waring et al., 2013; Nielsen and Ball, 2015). By contrast, although 111 experimental drought treatments have shown little effect on total N supply to plants (Homyak 112 et al., 2017), long-term drought treatments result in reduced plant productivity (Fridley et al., 113 2016) and a shift towards soil microbial communities characterized by slow-growing, stress-114 tolerant taxa (Sayer et al., 2017, 2021). Such changes in plant growth and microbial 115 communities under precipitation change will ultimately affect soil C cycling and storage. 116

117 Changes in precipitation can lead to altered inputs of plant litter and root-derived C 118 (Brunn et al., 2022) due to changes in plant C assimilation and growth (Wu et al., 2011). Such 119 changes in plant growth in turn affect the size of soil organic C (SOC) pool by altering the 120 balance between plant C inputs and soil C outputs (Fröberg et al., 2008; Zhou et al., 2016; Su 121 et al., 2023). In addition, soil respiration is affected by precipitation change both directly by

changes in soil moisture (Liu et al., 2016; Du et al., 2020) and indirectly via its impacts on 122 microbial biomass and communities (Zhou et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2020). However, we do not 123 know how SOC in different forest ecosystems responds to precipitation change because its 124 effects on C inputs and outputs depends upon numerous ecosystem characteristics (Zhou et al., 125 2016; Wangdi et al., 2023). For example, plant C inputs decline under reduced precipitation 126 treatments, but soil respiration also declines, resulting in no net change or even a net gain in 127 SOC content (Zhou et al., 2016; Raczka et al., 2022). By contrast, both plant inputs and 128 respiration increase when precipitation is enhanced (Zhou et al., 2016; Heikkinen et al., 2022) 129 but water-saturated soils can quickly limit microbial activity (Chen et al., 2023). Thus, the 130 impacts of precipitation change on SOC depend largely on the hydrological conditions 131 influencing soil water content, such as mean annual precipitation (MAP) and 132 evapotranspiration rates, as these determine the sensitivity of plant and microbial growth to 133 precipitation change (Zeppel et al., 2014; Giardina et al., 2018; Bennett et al., 2020). As water 134 availability has such a strong influence on both plant growth and SOC, the site aridity is likely 135 an important factor in regulating the relationship between precipitation change and ecosystem 136 C storage (Li et al., 2020). 137

In addition to affecting the biotic processes involved in SOC accumulation, precipitation 138 change can also affect SOC storage by altering the chemical and physical processes 139 underpinning SOC stabilization (Chen et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2019). The relative proportions 140 of distinct SOC fractions (e.g. soil aggregate and density fractions), can reflect SOC stability 141 and affect both the mineralisation and storage of SOC (John et al., 2005; Lützow et al., 2007; 142 143 Luo et al., 2017). Specifically, precipitation change can influence associations between organic C and soil minerals by physically destroying soil macroaggregates, and alter amounts of 144 aggregate binding agents produced by plants and microbes (Yang et al., 2018; 2021). Drought 145 can destroy soil macroaggregates both directly through physical effects and indirectly by 146

reducing biological binding agents (Chen et al., 2019c) or altering rhizosphere characteristics 147 (Zhang et al., 2019). Rewetting after drought can also destroy aggregates by physical forces 148 (shrinkage followed by swelling; Denef et al., 2001). Precipitation change can also indirectly 149 affect the formation of soil particulate and mineral-associated organic matter by altering 150 decomposition processes, soil oxygenation, pH, and soil physical characteristics (Angst et al., 151 2023). The resulting changes in soil aggregate distributions can affect SOC stability and stocks 152 (Yang et al., 2021) because soil macro-aggregates generally contain large amounts of labile C, 153 whereas micro-aggregates generally contain more stable SOC with longer turnover times 154 (Puget et al., 2000). However, it is unclear how changes in soil aggregate distribution or organic 155 matter fractions might affect SOC stability and storage over the longer-term. In addition, very 156 few studies have measured how forest SOC pools or soil aggregate fractions respond 157 to increased precipitation, although there is evidence that enhanced water availability could 158 increase overall SOC storage (Zhou et al., 2016). Thus, shifts in SOC fractions in response to 159 precipitation change have been largely neglected by field experimental studies (but see Yang 160 et al., 2018; 2021; Angst et al., 2023) and we are currently unable to predict how precipitation 161 change might affect forest SOC stability. 162

Despite the potential for precipitation change to dramatically alter numerous processes 163 involved in SOC storage and stability, few studies have attempted to assess the concerted 164 influence of precipitation changes on soil physical, chemical, and biological properties that 165 underpin plant and SOC storage in forests. Determining how these properties are related can 166 help us understand the mechanisms underlying the responses of forest SOC pools to 167 precipitation change. To achieve this, we conducted a meta-analysis based on 161 field 168 precipitation manipulation experiments across 139 sites. We investigated how precipitation 169 change affects forest SOC pools and the ecosystem characteristics underpinning SOC storage 170 and stability. We hypothesized that: 171

(H1) Precipitation change alters soil C content by affecting plant C inputs and the
decomposition environment. Specifically, soil C content will decline in drought treatments, but
increase in irrigation treatments; and the change in soil C content will increase with the level
of precipitation change.

(H2) Soil C stability will decline in drought treatments because of changes in soilaggregate distributions and C density fractions.

(H3) The response of soil C to precipitation change is related to changes in soil moisture
but dependent on mean annual precipitation (MAP), whereby changes in soil C pools in
response to drought or irrigation will be higher at drier than at wetter sites.

As precipitation change affects multiple soil physicochemical properties that influence soil C storage, we also investigated the responses of soil pH, inorganic N content and microbial community composition to precipitation manipulation treatments and assessed their relationships to changes in soil C content.

Overall, we expected smaller SOC stocks in drought treatments, due to reduced decomposition, lower C inputs, and destruction of macroaggregates. By contrast, we expected larger SOC stocks in irrigation treatments due to greater plant and microbial C inputs and rapid decomposition. We use our findings to expose current gaps in our understanding of the impacts of precipitation change on forest SOC storage and highlight foci for future research.

190 2. Materials and methods

191 2.1. Data selection

We conducted a comprehensive search of relevant peer-reviewed articles and dissertations in the Web of Science[®] and ProQuest databases using combinations of the following pairs of search string: ("drought" OR "decreased precipitation" OR "increased precipitation" OR "irrigation") AND ("plant biomass" OR "aboveground biomass" OR "belowground biomass"

OR "fine root biomass"); ("drought" OR "decreased precipitation" OR "increased precipitation" 196 OR "irrigation") AND ("litterfall"); ("drought" OR "decreased precipitation" OR "increased 197 precipitation" OR "irrigation") AND ("litter decomposition"); ("drought" OR "decreased 198 precipitation" OR "increased precipitation" OR "irrigation") AND ("soil microbial biomass" 199 OR "soil microbial community"); ("drought" OR "decreased precipitation" OR "increased 200 precipitation" OR "irrigation") AND ("soil carbon" OR "soil organic carbon" OR "soil 201 characteristics"); ("drought" OR "decreased precipitation" OR "increased precipitation" OR 202 "irrigation") AND ("soil aggregate" OR "soil carbon fractions"); ("drought" OR "decreased 203 precipitation" OR "increased precipitation" OR "irrigation") AND ("soil enzyme"); ("drought" 204 OR "decreased precipitation" OR "increased precipitation" OR "irrigation") AND ("soil 205 biochemical properties" OR "soil chemical properties" OR "soil nutrients"); ("drought" OR 206 "decreased precipitation" OR "increased precipitation" OR "irrigation") AND ("soil moisture" 207 OR "soil properties"); ("drought" OR "decreased precipitation" OR "increased precipitation" 208 209 OR "irrigation") AND ("soil dissolved organic carbon"). We cross-checked the references of the articles to identify other relevant book chapters and peer-reviewed reports. Then we 210 excluded those papers that were meta-analyses or reviews, and those papers not dealing with 211 C cycling and other variables of our interest. When data from the same site and treatments were 212 presented in multiple publications, we used the data from the most recent publication. We only 213 included studies conducted in forest ecosystems, resulting in data from 161 studies across 139 214 sites published between 1992 and May 2023. Treatments that increase water inputs are 215 henceforth referred to as 'irrigation' and treatments that reduce water inputs are henceforth 216 217 referred to as 'drought'.

We extracted data for the following variables in the topsoil (0-30 cm depth of mineral soil): aboveground biomass (g m⁻²); fine root biomass (g m⁻²); microbial biomass carbon (MBC; g kg^{-1}), total soil carbon or soil organic carbon (given as % or g kg⁻¹) converted to a single unit

(g kg⁻¹), soil C fractions, including soil macroaggregates (>250 µm), microaggregates (<250 221 µm), and the density-based light fraction organic carbon (LFOC) and mineral-associated 222 organic carbon (MAOC); soil pH, soil water content (%) and soil dissolved organic carbon 223 (DOC; g kg⁻¹); soil microbial data based on phospholipid fatty acids (PLFAs), including fungal 224 biomass, bacterial biomass, and the fungal to bacterial biomass ratio (F:B ratio). We also 225 extracted information for treatment levels as described in the papers, whereby the increase 226 (irrigation) or decrease (drought) in water input was expressed as a percentage of mean annual 227 precipitation (%MAP; Supplement B). We also extracted data for site information, including 228 latitude and longitude of the site, mean annual temperature (MAT, °C), mean annual 229 precipitation (MAP, mm), ecosystem type, and the time between the start of precipitation 230 change treatments and sampling for biomass or soil properties. If MAT and MAP were not 231 given in the publications, we extracted them from the CHELSA bioclimate dataset version 1.2 232 (Karger et al., 2017; http://chelsa-climate.org/downloads/) using the raster package (Hijmans, 233 2020) in R version 3.5.1 (R Development Core Team 2018). We collated data from the main 234 text, tables, and figures. Numerical values were extracted from graphically presented data by 235 digitizing the figures using Engauge Digitizer (Free Software Foundation, Inc., Boston, USA). 236 The duration of treatments ranged from <1 year to 21 years (Supplement B). The 237 geographical distribution of the sites included in our study was -37.42 N to 64.12 N, and -238 111.971 E to 150.98 E and the biomes included subtropical and tropical forest, boreal forest, 239 Mediterranean forest, and temperate forest. Given the paucity of studies in subtropical and 240 tropical biomes, data from these biomes were combined into a single (sub-)tropical forest type 241 242 in our study. The distribution of the experimental sites considered is shown in Figure S1, which was generated using R version 3.5.1 (R Development Core Team 2018) using the packages 243 ggsn (Baquero, 2017) and legendMap (Gallic, 2016). Detailed information of the studies 244 included in the meta-analysis is provided in Supplement B. 245

We conducted a meta-analysis, as described by Hedges et al. (1999). The data were analysed 247 using effect sizes for each observation calculated as the natural log of the response ratio (RR): 248 RR=ln($\overline{X_t} / \overline{X_c}$), where $\overline{X_c}$ is the control mean, and $\overline{X_t}$ is the treatment mean. The 249 variance of the mean effect size was calculated using resampling techniques (Adams et al., 250 1997). If the lower bound of the 95 % CI of RR was larger than 1, then the response was 251 significantly positive at P < 0.05. If the upper bound of the 95 % CI of RR was smaller than 1, 252 then the response was significantly negative at P < 0.05. For each investigated parameter, a 253 subgroup analysis was conducted to assess whether the magnitudes of treatment effects differed 254 across forest biome. Although there is no accepted minimum number of studies that are 255 required for a meta-analysis, we adopted the criteria for systematic review by Fu et al. (2011) 256 in which each subgroup should have a minimum of four studies. We therefore present results 257 by subgroup only where sufficient observations were available in more than one subgroup. As 258 there were fewer than four studies in Boreal forests, we were unable to perform subgroup 259 analysis for this biome. Total heterogeneity (Q_T) was partitioned into within-group (Q_W) and 260 between-group (Q_B) heterogeneities. According to Hedges et al. (1999), a significant Q_B 261 indicates that the response ratios differ among groups. Subgroup means were considered 262 significantly different if their 95% CI did not overlap. The publication bias was estimated by 263 the *dnorm* function (Fig. S1 in the Supplement A), and the frequency distributions of all RR 264 values for the target variables followed a normal distribution, indicating no significant 265 publication bias in our study for any of the response variables (Koricheva and Gurevitch, 2014). 266 267 All statistical analyses for the meta-analysis were conducted in Metawin 2.0 (Rosenberg et al., 2000). 268

269 *2.3. Aridity index*

To aid interpretation and compare the level of aridity among sites, we calculated the De
Martonne aridity index (AI; de Martonne et al., 1926; Liu et al., 2016) for each site as follows:
AI=MAP/(MAT+10)

Where MAP is mean annual precipitation, and MAT is mean annual temperature. To aid interpretation, we expressed aridity as 100-AI, such that high values indicate arid sites and low values indicate humid sites (Sanaei et al., 2023).

276 2.4. Meta-regression analyses of potential modulators

277 To assess how experimental or site characteristics might influence changes in C inputs and pools, we analyzed the relationships between treatment level (% MAP) or treatment duration 278 and the magnitude of changes in other relevant response variables (soil moisture, aboveground 279 biomass, litterfall, litter mass loss, fine root biomass, DOC, and MBC in response to drought 280 and irrigation treatments), as well as the relationships between changes in SOC and latitude, 281 aridity index, soil clay content, soil moisture content, treatment level, treatment duration or plot 282 size. All meta-regressions were done in Sigmaplot 15 (Systat Software Inc., San Jose, 283 California, USA). 284

285 **3. Results**

286 3.1. The effect of precipitation change on soil moisture

Across all sites, soil moisture was 24% lower in drought treatments compared to controls (n=102; Fig. 1a). When data were divided into different forest biomes, soil moisture was significantly 24%, 25% and 23% lower in Mediterranean forest (n=16), (sub-)tropical forest (n=35) and temperate forest (n=51), respectively (Fig. 1a). The reduction in soil moisture increased with the proportion of mean annual precipitation excluded by drought treatments (%MAP; R^2 =0.33, P<0.0001; Fig. 1b). When data were divided into different forest biomes, the same soil moisture response to treatment level was observed in (sub-)tropical forest 294 $(R^2=0.49, P<0.0001)$ and temperate forest $(R^2=0.33, P<0.0001)$ but not in Mediterranean forest 295 (Fig. 1b).

In irrigation treatments, soil moisture was 16% higher compared to controls across all sites 296 (n=44; Fig. 1c). When the data were divided into different forest biomes, soil moisture was 297 significantly 15% and 17% higher in (sub-)tropical forest and temperate forest, respectively 298 (Fig. 1c) but there were insufficient data to evaluate the response in Mediterranean forest (n =299 1). Across all sites, and for temperate and (sub-)tropical forests, there was no relationship 300 between the increase in soil moisture in response to irrigation and the amount of water added 301 in the treatments (%MAP; Fig. 1d) but there were insufficient data to evaluate the relationship 302 for Mediterranean forest (Fig. 1d). 303

304 3.2. Impacts of precipitation change effect on carbon inputs and SOC pools

Surprisingly, drought treatments had no discernable overall impact on DOC (n=38), SOC 305 content (n=68) or litterfall (n=34; Fig. 2a). By contrast, aboveground biomass (n=15), litter 306 mass loss (n=50) and fine root biomass (n=51) were 24%, 18% and 20% lower, respectively, 307 in drought treatments compared to controls (Fig. 2a). Total microbial biomass C (n=90) was 308 309 significantly 19% lower in drought treatments compared to controls, but there was no change in fungal biomass (n=25), bacterial biomass (n=23), or the F:B ratio (n=27; Fig. 2a). However, 310 drought treatments altered SOC fractions: the soil macroaggregate fraction was 6% smaller in 311 drought treatments compared to controls (n=8), but the soil microaggregate fraction was 29% 312 greater (n=8). Less SOC was associated with the light fraction in drought treatments than in 313 controls (n=3), and although there was more mineral-associated soil C under drought (n=2; Fig. 314 315 2a), there were too few studies to determine statistical significance of changes in either C density fraction. 316

Subgroup analysis revealed somewhat variable effects of drought on C pools and inputs
across forest biomes (Table 1). Aboveground and root biomass declined in response to drought

in (sub-)tropical forests (n=8 and n=27, respectively) but not in temperate forest (n=6 and n=24, 319 respectively); there were too few datapoints to evaluate the response of either biomass pool in 320 Mediterranean forest. Litterfall only increased under drought in temperate forest (n=8) but litter 321 mass loss declined in all three forest biomes (Table 1). Microbial biomass C was lower under 322 drought in both temperate (n=35) and (sub-)tropical (n=49) but not Mediterranean forest (n=5)323 but there were no discernable effects of drought on fungal biomass, bacterial biomass, or DOC 324 in any forest biome (Table 1). Soil aggregate distribution changed in response to drought in 325 both temperate and tropical forests, with fewer macroaggregates (n=5 and n=3 respectively) 326 and more microaggregates (n=5 and n=3 respectively), but there were too few studies to 327 evaluate the response of soil aggregate fractions in Mediterranean forests (Table 1) or to 328 perform subgroup analysis of SOC density fractions. 329

Overall, irrigation treatments had no significant effect on DOC concentrations (n=8) or 330 SOC content (n=46; Fig. 2b). There was a marginally significant trend towards higher 331 aboveground biomass (n=13) and litterfall (n=15) in irrigation treatments compared to controls, 332 and fine root biomass was significantly 24% higher (n=55; Fig. 2b) but litter mass loss (n=11) 333 was not affected by irrigation (Fig. 2b). Bacterial biomass (n=14) was higher in irrigation 334 treatments, but the increase was only marginally significant, and total microbial biomass 335 (n=56), fungal biomass (n=14), and the F:B ratio (n=12) were not affected by irrigation 336 treatments (Fig. 2b). We found no studies that assessed the effects of irrigation on SOC 337 fractions (Fig. 2b). 338

Subgroup analysis revealed variable effects of irrigation on C pools and inputs across forest biomes (Table 1). Both aboveground and root biomass increased in response to irrigation in temperate forests (n=8 and n=28, respectively) but only root biomass increased in Mediterranean forest (n=4 and n=13, respectively). By contrast, root biomass in (sub-)tropical forest was unaffected by irrigation (n=14) and there were too few datapoints to evaluate the

response of aboveground biomass (Table 1). Litterfall was not affected by irrigation in 344 temperate forest (n=9) and there were too few datapoints to assess the response of litterfall in 345 (sub-)tropical forest or Mediterranean forest (n=3). Litter mass loss was unaffected by 346 irrigation treatments in temperate and (sub-)tropical forest but there were too few datapoints to 347 evaluate litter mass loss in Mediterranean forest (Table 1). Total microbial biomass increased 348 in response to irrigation treatments in (sub-)tropical (n=21) but not in temperate (n=29) or 349 Mediterranean forest (n=5). However, fungal biomass declined with irrigation in 350 Mediterranean forest (n=7), whereas both fungal biomass and bacterial biomass increased with 351 irrigation in (sub-)tropical forest (n=5), and there were too few datapoints to evaluate the 352 response of fungal or bacterial biomass in temperate forest (Table 1). There were no discernable 353 effects of irrigation on DOC in temperate or (sub-)tropical forest and insufficient data on DOC 354 in Mediterranean forest (Table 1). 355

356 3.3. The response of soil properties to precipitation change

Experimental drought affected few soil properties related to SOC storage. In drought treatments, 357 soil total N (n=58) was 7% lower compared to controls, but there was no significant effect of 358 359 drought on soil pH (n=69), soil ammonium-N (n=33) or nitrate-N content (n=31; Fig. 2a). Of the three investigated soil enzymes related to C turnover, only β -glucosidase activity (n=15) 360 was significantly 12% lower in drought treatments; cellobiohydrolase activity (n=7) was not 361 affected by drought and there were too few datapoints to evaluate the response of polyphenol 362 oxidase (n=3; Fig. 2a). Subgroup analysis revealed declines in total soil N under drought in 363 Mediterranean (n=11) and (sub-)tropical (n=25) but not in temperate forests (n=22), whereas 364 pH increased in temperate (n=31) and Mediterranean (n=4), but not in (sub-)tropical forests 365 (n=33). The decline in β -glucosidase was not significant when Mediterranean (n=9) and 366 (sub-)tropical forests (n=5) were analysed separately, and there were too few datapoints to 367 assess the response of β -glucosidase to drought in temperate forest, or the response of 368

369 cellobiohydrolase activity in any forest biome (Table 1).

Few soil properties changed under irrigation treatments. Soil pH (n=24) was 2% higher in 370 irrigation treatments than in controls (Fig. 2b) but there was no significant effect of irrigation 371 on soil total N (n=20), soil ammonium-N (n=13) or soil nitrate-N content (n=14; Fig. 2b). Soil 372 enzyme activity was also unaffected by irrigation treatments, as neither β -glucosidase (n=8) 373 nor polyphenol oxidase activity (n=4) was altered by irrigation, and there were too few 374 datapoints to evaluate the response of cellobiohydralase (n=1, Fig. 2b). Subgroup analysis 375 revealed that the increase in soil pH with irrigation was consistent across Mediterranean (n=4) 376 and (sub-)tropical (n=11) forest, but pH did not respond to irrigation in temperate forests (n=8). 377 Total soil N and nitrate-N did not respond to irrigation in any forest biome, but ammonium-N 378 increased with irrigation in (sub-)tropical forest (n=7; Table 1). There were too few datapoints 379 to evaluate the response of extracellular enzyme activities in any biome. 380

381 3.4. Relationships between SOC content and abiotic or treatment characteristics

Across biomes, the magnitude of change in SOC content in response to drought treatments was not explained by latitude, aridity, soil clay content, treatment level, treatment duration or plot area (Fig. 3a-f). In addition, across biomes, the magnitude of change in SOC content in response to irrigation treatments was not explained by latitude, aridity, treatment level, treatment duration or plot area (Fig. 3g-k). Surprisingly, the change in SOC content was not related to the change in soil moisture in either drought or irrigation treatments across all studies (Fig. 4c,d).

Subgroup analysis by forest biome (Mediterranean forest, (sub-)tropical forest and temperate forest) revealed no significant overall effect of drought on SOC content in any of the three forest types (Fig. 4a). In (sub-)tropical forests, the magnitude of change in SOC content in response to drought treatments was not affected by any of the variables investigated in this study. By contrast, in temperate forest, the decline in SOC in response to drought became more

pronounced with increasing %MAP excluded by treatments ($R^2=0.24$, P=0.03, Fig. 3d), i.e. the more severe the drought treatment, the greater the decline in SOC content. Similarly, in (sub-)tropical forest, the magnitude of change in SOC was related to the magnitude of change in soil moisture ($R^2=0.27$, P=0.005, Fig. 4c), with greater declines in SOC content when drought treatments resulted in a larger reduction in soil water content.

Irrigation treatments had no significant effect on SOC content in Mediterranean forest or 399 temperate forest (Fig. 4b) but SOC content in (sub-)tropical forest was lower in irrigation 400 treatments compared to controls (Fig. 4b). In temperate forest, the decline in SOC content in 401 response to irrigation became more pronounced with increasing aridity (Fig. 3h), and therefore 402 irrigation had a greater negative impact on SOC content at drier sites in temperate forest. In 403 addition, the magnitude of change in SOC content weakened with increasing %MAP added in 404 irrigation treatments in (sub-)tropical forest ($R^2=0.17$, P=0.03, Fig. 3i). There were too few 405 datapoints for individual biomes to evaluate the relationship between the magnitude of change 406 in SOC and the magnitude of change in soil moisture (Fig. 4d). 407

408 3.5. Relationships between C inputs and treatment level or duration

The %MAP excluded in drought treatments did not explain the magnitude of change in aboveground biomass, litterfall or DOC (Fig. 5a,b,e). However, the declines in litter mass, fine root biomass and MBC all became more pronounced with increasing %MAP excluded in drought treatments (Fig. 5c,d,f). By contrast, the %MAP added in irrigation treatments did not explain changes in any C inputs. Surprisingly, the duration of drought or irrigation treatments did not explain the magnitude of change for any C inputs (Fig. S4).

415 **4. Discussion**

416 Our global meta-analysis revealed that forest SOC content appears largely resistant to drought417 and irrigation treatments in manipulative field experiments. Our findings demonstrate that this

resilience is likely due to the distinct effects of precipitation change on plant C inputs to the 418 soil and on the decomposition environment, which largely controls outputs. However, the 419 responses of individual SOC pools indicate that precipitation change could affect SOC stability 420 and thus alter SOC storage over the longer-term. Importantly, our study demonstrates that the 421 lack of a global response of SOC content to precipitation change can be attributed to differences 422 in the responses of SOC inputs and pools among forest biomes. In addition, the response of 423 SOC inputs and pools depended on site aridity and the severity of precipitation changes. Here, 424 we discuss how precipitation change could influence SOC storage and stability by altering the 425 balance between C inputs and decomposition processes in different forest biomes (Fig. 6). 426

427 4.1. SOC fractions, but not SOC content, respond to precipitation change

428 In contrast to our first hypothesis, forest SOC content was not strongly influenced by precipitation change (Fig. 2). Similar insensitivity of SOC has previously been reported for 429 increased precipitation (Zhou et al., 2016; Yue et al., 2017) and drought (Zhou et al., 2016) 430 across multiple ecosystem types. This apparent insensitivity of SOC content to precipitation 431 change is likely due to the short duration of most experiments (<6 years), as it can take many 432 433 years or decades to detect changes in SOC (Hungate et al., 1996). However, our results reveal that changes in individual C pools and inputs could affect overall SOC content and stability 434 under long-term precipitation change. For example, lower C inputs from root biomass and 435 litterfall under drought (Moser et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2015) might be offset by reduced 436 microbial biomass C and β-glucosidase activity (Sardans and Peñuelas, 2005; Ren et al., 2017; 437 Xu et al., 2020), which could in turn reduce SOC mineralization as well as litter mass loss (Fig. 438 439 6). By contrast, under irrigation, increased fine root and bacterial biomass could eventually enhance SOC content over the longer-term. Thus, to predict change in forest SOC stocks in 440 response to precipitation change we not only need longer-term experiments (Deng et al., 2021) 441 but we must also consider how precipitation change influences multiple C inputs and outputs 442

443 (Zhou et al., 2016).

Given the difficulties in assessing change in total SOC content, many studies instead focus 444 on changes in SOC stability using particle size distributions and density fractions to represent 445 functional pools of C (e.g. Lavallee et al., 2020). Indeed, shifts in C storage among different 446 fractions can result in no apparent overall change in SOC (Schwendenmann and Pendall, 2007). 447 Soil macro-aggregates and light density fractions are generally associated with C that is 448 susceptible to microbial mineralization, whereas micro-aggregates and mineral-associated 449 organic matter are thought to contain more stable SOC with longer turnover times (Puget et al., 450 2000; Lavallee et al., 2020). We therefore hypothesised that drought would reduce soil C 451 stability via changes in soil aggregate distributions and C density fractions (H2). Although we 452 only had eight data-points for the response of soil aggregate fractions to drought, we found a 453 consistent pattern of a smaller lower macroaggregate fraction, but a larger microaggregate 454 fraction (Fig. 2). Hence, in contrast to our hypothesis, changes in soil aggregate distributions 455 in response to drought could increase SOC stability (Yang et al., 2021), which might offset 456 lower C inputs through decomposition. Multiple mechanisms could underpin these changes in 457 the distribution of soil aggregate fractions under drought. Besides physical disruption by drying 458 rewetting cycles (REF), reduced microbial biomass C and root biomass (Fig. 2) could also 459 reduce biological binding agents (Chen et al., 2019c) and alter other rhizosphere characteristics 460 (Zhang et al., 2019) that contribute to aggregate formation. The corresponding shifts in C 461 associated with different density fractions, from LFOC to MAOC (Fig. 2) support an increase 462 in stable C (Lavallee et al., 2020) under drought. However, so few studies have assessed soil 463 density fractions that the meta-analytical results for LFOC and MAOC are only indicative (Fu 464 et al., 2011). Furthermore, we found no data on forest soil aggregate distribution or SOC density 465 fractions in irrigation experiments. Many more studies of soil C fractions are needed to 466 determine how precipitation changes will affect soil C stability. However, as changes in SOC 467

468 fractions can indicate the resistance of SOC to perturbations (Schwendenmann and Pendall,
469 2006; Lavallee et al., 2020), such studies will be critical to predict future forest SOC stocks.

470 4.2. Precipitation change effects on plant C inputs

Although aboveground biomass is a major reservoir of C in forests (Houghton, 2005; 471 Keith et al., 2009), few studies have reported results for forest aboveground biomass in 472 precipitation change experiments (n=15). The lack of data on changes in above ground biomass 473 could be because it is difficult to estimate forest aboveground biomass (Zhang et al., 2014; 474 Vafaei et al., 2018). However, as most precipitation change experiments to date have lasted < 475 6 years, it is more likely that the experimental duration was insufficient to measure or detect 476 changes in tree growth. Nonetheless, we found consistent declines in aboveground biomass in 477 response to drought treatments consistent across studies (Fig. 2a), although our biome-level 478 analyses showed that the decline in aboveground biomass was only significant in (sub-)tropical 479 forest (Table 1). Clearly, long-term measurements of tree growth responses to drought are 480 needed to estimate and model changes in aboveground C stocks in different forest biomes. 481

Despite lower aboveground biomass in drought treatments, we found no consistent 482 response in litterfall (Fig. 2a). Variable effects of drought on litterfall are likely because drought 483 can reduce litter production, but also often encourage leaf fall (Peñuelas et al., 2007), with 484 studies reporting lower, higher or no changes in litterfall (Peñuelas et al., 2007; Moser et al., 485 2014; Liu et al., 2015). Our analyses demonstrate that differences among biomes can explain 486 these discrepancies, as litterfall increased in temperate forests but did not change in 487 Mediterranean or (sub-)tropical forests. These biome-level differences likely reflect adaptation 488 of the trees to extant conditions. For example, many (sub-)tropical forests experience a distinct 489 dry season, and Mediterranean tree species have numerous physiological adaptations to 490 minimize water loss without shedding their leaves (e.g. Sardans and Peñuelas, 2013). We note 491 that, given the paucity of data, our analysis does not consider differences in tree functional 492

types, which differ greatly in their responses to water availability (Beard et al., 2005; McCluney et al., 2011). Future consideration of tree life history strategies could therefore greatly improve our ability to predict the responses of forest plant C inputs to precipitation change. However, consistently lower litter mass loss in drought treatments across studies (Fig. 2a) can be attributed to declining litter quality (Aerts, 1997; Cornwell et al., 2008) or water limitation of decomposer activity (Ren et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2020). Taken together, these results suggest that drought could lead to a decline in soil C inputs from aboveground litter.

Our results showed that aboveground C inputs were less responsive to irrigation 500 compared to drought (Fig. 2b), regardless of the amount of water added in the treatments (Fig. 501 5g,h,i). Such limited changes in forest aboveground productivity to irrigation likely reflects the 502 distribution of forests in regions with sufficient rainfall to support woody plant growth (Pan et 503 al., 2013). We therefore expected greater effects of irrigation on plant C inputs in Mediterranean 504 forests, but there were surprisingly few such experiments (n=7) and only three or four of those 505 506 studies had measured the response of aboveground biomass or litterfall, respectively (Table 1). However, Mediterranean forests have been experiencing declines in precipitation (Peñuelas et 507 al., 2017; Cramer et al., 2018), making irrigation experiments less valuable for determining the 508 impacts of climate changes in this biome. 509

Root biomass was highly sensitive to precipitation change. Our results showed 510 substantial changes in fine root biomass in the topsoil (0-30 cm) in response to both drought 511 (20% decline) and irrigation (24% increase; Fig. 2a,b), which suggests that precipitation change 512 could have large effects on SOC pools via changes in root growth and rhizosphere activity. 513 514 Under drought, declines in root biomass, but not aboveground biomass or litterfall, are consistent with the theory that trees will maintain competitiveness for light as long as possible 515 under moderate drought (Brunner et al., 2015). Indeed, higher root biomass in response to 516 irrigation in temperate and Mediterranean forest suggests that tree investment in root biomass 517

is determined by water availability (Table 1). Biome-level differences in biomass allocation 518 above- vs belowground under precipitation change likely reflect tree adaptations to water 519 availability (Brunner et al., 2015), which will also result in distinct responses of SOC stocks. 520 In particular, greater aboveground and root biomass in response to irrigation in temperate forest 521 indicate that increased precipitation could enhance plant C storage. Unfortunately, there were 522 too few studies to evaluate root biomass responses to drought in Mediterranean forests. Given 523 that Mediterranean forests are experiencing more frequent and severe droughts (Peñuelas et al., 524 2017; Cramer et al., 2018), field experiments investigating the effects of drought on rhizosphere 525 processes are urgently needed to understand the impacts of precipitation change on forest C 526 storage. 527

4.3. Precipitation change alters the decomposition environment, i.e. site and experimental characteristics

We hypothesized that changes in soil C content would be related to decomposition processes, 530 soil properties and environmental conditions. Although few soil properties responded to 531 precipitation change, soil moisture, soil N and pH were all affected by drought or irrigation 532 (Fig. 1). Reduced litter mass loss, microbial biomass C and β -glucosidase activity under 533 drought can all be directly attributed to inhibition of biological activity at low soil water content 534 (Manzoni et al., 2012; Deng et al., 2021), whereas lower total soil N under drought could either 535 be a cause or a consequence of reduced decomposition. Overall, drought creates an 536 unfavourable environment for decomposition, which could explain the observed shifts in SOC 537 fractions and ultimately enhance SOC accumulation. By contrast, irrigation treatments only 538 affected soil pH (Fig. 2b), which could affect decomposition processes via changes in microbial 539 communities (Sun et al., 2020). However, we found no changes in litter mass loss, microbial 540 biomass, the F:B ratio or enzyme activities in response to irrigation. 541

542 We expected several sites or experimental characteristics to regulate SOC responses to

precipitation change in forest, including latitude, climate, soil clay content, treatment level, 543 treatment duration, and plot area. Surprisingly, across biomes, the magnitude of change in SOC 544 content in response to drought or irrigation treatments was not explained by latitude, aridity, 545 soil clay content, treatment level, treatment duration or plot area (Fig. 3). However, in 546 temperate forest, the decline in SOC content in response to irrigation became more pronounced 547 with increasing aridity (Fig. 3h), and therefore irrigation had a greater negative impact on SOC 548 content at drier sites in temperate forest. Previous meta-analyses studies found higher 549 sensitivity of SOC responsive to drought at drier sites across different ecosystem types (Deng 550 et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2023). However, we also found that SOC content 551 tended to decline with irrigation at drier sites in temperate forest (Fig. 3h), perhaps because 552 irrigation alleviated water limitation of biological activity, resulting in mineralization of SOC 553 (Liu et al., 2016). Our results therefore suggest that SOC in temperate forest at dry sites could 554 be particularly sensitive to irrigation. Given that relatively fewer studies have been conducted 555 at arid sites, the responses of dry forest C stocks to precipitation change should be a future 556 research priority. 557

558 **5.** Conclusion

Despite apparent insensitivity of forest SOC content to precipitation change, our meta-559 analysis revealed changes in C inputs and pools that are likely to affect long-term forest SOC 560 storage. We demonstrate that the response of forest biomes to both drought and irrigation 561 involves concerted changes in plant C inputs, microbial communities and activity, and the 562 decomposition environment. These changes to C cycle processes, alongside shifts in soil 563 fractions representing SOC stability suggest that forest SOC storage will be affected over 564 timeframes that exceed the duration of most existing experiments. Importantly, our meta-565 analysis exposed three key knowledge gaps that impede our ability to predict how SOC stocks 566 in forests might respond to precipitation change: First, drought could enhance SOC stability by 567

altering the distribution of C among soil aggregate or density fractions. Second, differences 568 among forest biomes account for the lack of a global response of C pools in response to 569 precipitation change, which is likely due to plant adaptation to regional growing conditions. 570 Finally, the response of forest C pools to irrigation depends upon the extant climate conditions 571 at the site (aridity) in temperate forest. Our study exposes a current lack of experimental data 572 to address these knowledge gaps, especially from irrigation experiments, which hampers our 573 ability to fully assess potential consequences for SOC storage. We call for future work linking 574 the response of tree functional groups to processes underpinning SOC formation, distribution, 575 and storage. We further call for a concerted effort to include standard measurements of multiple 576 C pools at existing sites, and the establishment of experimental networks to capture the 577 responses of forest C along natural rainfall gradients. Thus, our findings provide novel insights 578 into the responses of SOC storage and stability that will advance research into precipitation 579 change in forest ecosystems worldwide. 580

581

582 **References**

- Adams, D.C., Gurevitch, J., Rosenberg, M.S., 1997. Resampling tests for meta-analysis of
 ecological data. Ecology 78, 1277-1283. https://doi.org/10.1890/0012 9658(1997)078[1277:RTFMAO]2.0.CO;2.
- Aerts, R., 1997. Climate, leaf litter chemistry and leaf litter decomposition in terrestrial
 ecosystems: a triangular relationship. Oikos 79, 439 449. https://doi.org/10.2307/3546886.
- 588 Angst, G., Mueller, K.E., Castellano, M.J. et al. 2023. Unlocking complex soil systems as
- carbon sinks: multi-pool management as the key. Nat. Commun. 14, 2967.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-38700-5.
- Bao, J., Sherwood, S.C., Alexander, L.V., Evans, J.P., 2017. Future increases in extreme

24

- 592 precipitation exceed observed scaling rates. Nat. Clim. Change 7, 128–132.
 593 https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3201.
- Baquero, O.S., 2017. Ggsn: North Symbols and Scale Bars for Maps Created with 'ggplot2'or
 'ggmap'. Available at https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ggsn.
- Beard, K. H., Vogt, K. A., Vogt, D. J., Scatena, F. N., Covich, A. P., Sigurdardottir, R., ...
- 597 Crowl, T. A., 2005. Structural and functional responses of a subtropical forest to 10 years
- of hurricanes and droughts. Ecol. Monogr. 75, 345-361. https://doi.org/10.1890/04-1114.
- Beier, C., Beierkuhnlein, C., Wohlgemuth, T., Penuelas, J., Emmett, B., Korner, C., et al. 2012.
- 600 Precipitation manipulation experimes-challenges and recommendations for the future.
- 601 Ecol. Lett. 15(8), 899-911. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01793.x.
- Bennett, A.C., Penman, T.D., Arndt, S.K., Roxburgh, S.H., Bennett, L.T., 2020. Climate more
- important than soils for predicting forest biomass at the continental scale. Ecography 43,
 1692-1705. https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.05180.
- Berg, A., Sheffield, J., 2018. Climate Change and Drought: the Soil Moisture Perspective. Curr.
 Clim. Change Rep. 4, 180–191. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40641-018-0095-0.
- Brunn M, Hafner BD, Zwetsloot MJ, Weikl F, Pritsch K, Hikino K, Rühr NK, Sayer EJ, Bauerle
- TL, 2022. Carbon allocation to root exudates is sustained in mature temperate tree species
 under drought. New Phytol. 235, 965-977. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.18157.
- 610 Chen, J., Xiao, G., Kuzyakov, Y., Jenerette, G. D., Ma, Y., Liu, W., Wang, Z., Shen, W., 2017.
- 611 Soil nitrogen transformation responses to seasonal precipitation changes are regulated by
- changes in functional microbial abundance in a subtropical forest. Biogeosciences 14,
- 613 2513–2525. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-14-2513-2017.
- Chen, X., Deng, Q., Lin, G., Lin, M., Wei, H., 2018. Changing rainfall frequency affects soil
 organic carbon concentrations by altering non-labile soil organic carbon concentrations in
 a tropical monsoon forest. Sci. Total Environ. 644, 762–769.

- 617 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.035.
- Chen, J., Kuzyakov, Y., Jenerette, G. D., Xiao, G., Liu, W., Wang, Z., Shen, W., 2019.
 Intensified Precipitation Seasonality Reduces Soil Inorganic N Content in a Subtropical
 Forest: Greater Contribution of Leaching Loss Than N2O Emissions. Journal of
 Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences 124, 494-508.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JG004821.
- Chen, Z., Wei, X., Ni, X., Wu, F., Liao, S., 2023. Changing precipitation effect on forest soil
 carbon dynamics is driven by different attributes between dry and wet areas. Geoderma
 429, 116279. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2022.116279.
- Chen Z., Zhou X., Geng S., Miao Y., Cao Y., Chen Z., Zhang J., Han S., 2019. Interactive
 effect of nitrogen addition and throughfall reduction decreases soil aggregate stability
 through reducing biological binding agents. Forest Ecol. Manag. 445, 13–19.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2019.04.057.
- 630 Cornwell, W.K., Cornelissen, J.H.C., Amatangelo, K., Dorrepaal, E., Eviner, V.T., Godoy, O.,
- 631 Hobbie, S.E., Hoorens, B., Kurokawa, H., Perez-Harguindeguy, N., Quested, H.M.,
- 632 Santiago, L.S., Wardle, D.A., Wright, I.J., Aerts, R., Allison, S.D., van Bodegom, P.,
- Brovkin, V., Chatain, A., Callaghan, T.V., Diaz, S., Garnier, E., Gurvich, D.E., Kazakou,
- E., Klein, J.A., Read, J., Reich, P.B., Soudzilovskaia, N.A., Victoria Vaieretti, M., Westoby,
- 635 M., 2008. Plant species traits are the predominant control on litter decomposition rates
- 636 within biomes worldwide. Ecol. Lett. 11, 1065 1071. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-
- 637 0248.2008.01219.x.
- 638 Cramer, W., Guiot, J., Fader, M., Garrabou, J., Gattuso, J. P., Iglesias, A., ... & Xoplaki, E.
- (2018). Climate change and interconnected risks to sustainable development in the
 Mediterranean. *Nature Climate Change*, 8(11), 972-980.
- 641 Cregger, M.A., McDowell, N.G., Pangle, R.E., Pockman, W.T., Classen, A.T., Niu, S., 2014.

- 642 The impact of precipitation change on nitrogen cycling in a semi-arid ecosystem. Funct.
- 643 Ecol. 28, 1534-1544. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12282.
- de Martonne, E., 1926. Une nouvelle fanction climatologique: l'indice d'aridite. La
 Meteorologie 2, 449 458.
- 646 Denef, K., Six, J., Paustian, K., Merchkx, R., 2001. Importance of macroaggregate dynamics
- in controlling soil carbon stabilization: short-term effects of physical disturbance induced
 by dry-wet cycles. Soil Biol. Biochem. 33, 2145–2153. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-
- 6490717(01)00153-5.
- Deng, L., Peng, C., Kim, D.G., Li, J., Liu, Y., Hai, X., et al. 2021. Drought effects on soil
 carbon and nitrogen dynamics in global natural ecosystems. Earth Sci. Rev. 214, 103501.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2020.103501.
- Du, Y., Wang, Y.P., Su, F., Jiang, J., Wang, C., Yu, M., Yan, J., 2020. The response of soil
 respiration to precipitation change is asymmetric and differs between grasslands and
 forests. Glob. Chang. Biol. 26, 6015-6024. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15270.
- 656 Fridley, J.D., Lynn, J.S., Grime, J.P., Askew, A.P., 2016. Longer growing seasons shift
- grassland vegetation towards more-productive species. Nat. Clim. Change 6, 865-868.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3032.
- Fröberg, M., Hanson, P.J., Todd, D.E., Johnson, D.W., 2008. Evaluation of effects of sustained
 decadal precipitation manipulations on soil carbon stocks. Biogeochemistry 89, 151-161.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-008-9205-8.
- Fu, R., Gartlehner, G., Grant, M. et al. 2011. Conducting quantitative synthesis when
 comparing medical interventions: AHRQ and the Effective Health Care Program. J. Clin.
 Epidemiol. 64, 1187–1197. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.08.010.
- Gallic, E. 2016. legendMap: North arrow and scale bar for ggplot2 graphics. R package version
 1.0.

667	Gampe, D., Z	Zscheischler, J.,	Reichstein, M.,	O'Sullivan, M	., Smith, V	W.K., Sitch,	S., Buermann
-----	--------------	-------------------	-----------------	---------------	-------------	--------------	--------------

- W., 2021. Increasing impact of warm droughts on northern ecosystem productivity over
 recent decades. Nat. Clim. Change. 11, 772–779. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-02101112-8.
- Giardina, F., Konings, A.G., Kennedy, D., Alemohammad, S. H., Oliveira, R.S., Uriarte, M.,
- Gentine, P., 2018. Tall Amazonian forests are less sensitive to precipitation variability. Nat.
 Geosci. 11, 405-409. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-018-0133-5.
- Hedges, L.V., Gurevitch, J., Curtis, P.S., 1999. The meta-analysis of response ratios in
 experimental ecology. Ecology 80, 1150–1156. https://doi.org/10.1890/00129658(1999)080[1150:TMAORR]2.0.CO;2.
- Heikkinen, J., Keskinen, R., Kostensalo, J., Nuutinen, V., 2022. Climate change induces carbon
 loss of arable mineral soils in boreal conditions. Global Change Biology 28, 3960–3973.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16164.
- Hertel, D., Strecker, T., Müller-Haubold, H., Leuschner, C., Guo, D., 2013. Fine root biomass
 and dynamics in beech forests across a precipitation gradie-t is optimal resource
 partitioning theory applicable to water-limited mature trees? J. Ecol. 101, 1183-1200.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12124.
- Hicks, L.C., Rahman, M.M., Carnol, M., Verheyen, K., Rousk, J., 2018. The legacy of mixed
 planting and precipitation reduction treatments on soil microbial activity, biomass and
 community composition in a young tree plantation. Soil Biol. Biochem. 124, 227–235.
- 687 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.05.027
- 688 Hijmans, R.J., 2020. raster: Geographic Data Analysis and Modeling. R package version3.4-
- 5. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=raster.
- Homyak, P. M., Allison, S. D., Huxman, T. E., Goulden, M. L., Treseder, K. K., 2017.
- 691 Effects of drought manipulation on soil nitrogen cycling: A meta-analysis. Journal of

- 692 Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences 122, 3260–3272.
- 693 https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JG004146.
- Hou, E., Litvak, M. E., Rudgers, J. A., Jiang, L., Collins, S. L., Pockman, W. T., et al. 2021.
- Divergent responses of primary production to increasing precipitation variability in global
 drylands. Glob. Chang. Biol. 27, 5225-5237. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15801.
- Houghton, 2005. Aboveground Forest Biomass and the Global Carbon Balance. Glob. Chang.
- Biol. 11, 945–958. https://doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.00955.x.
- Huang, X., Swain, D.L., Hall, A.D., 2020. Future precipitation increase from very high
 resolution ensemble downscaling of extreme atmospheric river storms in California. Sci.
- 701 Adv. 6, 1-13. https://doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aba1323.
- Hungate, B. A., Jackson, R. B., Field, C. B., Chapin, III, F. S., 1996. Detecting changes in soil
 carbon in CO2 enrichment experiments. Plant Soil 187, 135–145.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00017086.
- Jing, Y., Wang, Y., Liu, S., Zhang, X., Wang, Q., Liu, K., Yin, Y., Deng, J., 2019. Interactive
 effects of soil warming, throughfall reduction, and root exclusion on soil microbial
 community and residues in warm-temperate oak forests. Appl. Soil Ecol. 142, 52–58.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2019.05.020.
- John, B., Yamashita, T., Ludwig, B., Flessa, H., 2005. Storage of organic carbon in aggregate
 and density fractions of silty soils under different types of land use. Geoderma 128, 63–
 711 79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2004.12.013.
- Karger, D.N., Conrad, O., Böhner, J., Kawohl, T., Kreft, H., Soria-Auza, R.W. et al. 2017.
- Climatologies at high resolution for the Earth land surface areas. Sci. Data 4, 170122.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2017.122.
- Keith, H., Mackey, B.G., Lindenmayer, D.B., 2009. Re-evaluation of forest biomass carbon
- stocks and lessons from the world's most carbon-dense forests. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.

- 717 S. A. 106, 11635–11640. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0901970106.
- Kirchmeier-Young, M.C., Zhang, X., 2020. Human influence has intensified extreme
 precipitation in North America. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 117, 13308–13313.
 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1921628117.
- Konapala, G., Mishra, A. K., Wada, Y., Mann, M. E., 2020. Climate change will affect global
 water availability through compounding changes in seasonal precipitation and
 evaporation. Nat. Commun. 11, 3044. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16757-w.
- Koricheva, J., Gurevitch, J. 2014. Uses and misuses of meta-analysis in plant ecology. Journal
 of Ecology 102, 828–844. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12224.
- Lavallee, J.M., Soong, J.L., Cotrufo, M.F., 2020. Conceptualizing soil organic matter into
 particulate and mineral-associated forms to address global change in the 21st century. Glob.

Chang. Biol. 26, 261–273. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14859.

- Li, X., Zhang, C., Zhang, B., Wu, D., Zhu, D., Zhang, W., et al. 2021. Nitrogen deposition and increased precipitation interact to affect fine root production and biomass in a temperate forest: Implications for carbon cycling. Sci. Total Environ. 765, 144497.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144497.
- Li, Z. et al. 2020. Climatic humidity mediates the strength of the species richness biomass
 relationship on the Mongolian Plateau steppe. Sci. Total Environ. 718, 137252.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137252.
- Liu, D., Ogaya, R., Barbeta, A., Yang, X., Peñuelas, J., 2015. Contrasting impacts of
 continuous moderate drought and episodic severe droughts on the aboveground-biomass
 increment and litterfall of three coexisting Mediterranean woody species. Glob. Chang.
- Biol. 21, 4196-4209. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13029.
- Liu, L., Wang, X., Lajeunesse, M. J., Miao, G., Piao, S., Wan, S., et al. 2016. A cross-biome
- synthesis of soil respiration and its determinants under simulated precipitation changes.

- 742 Glob. Chang. Biol. 22, 1394-1405. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13156.
- Lützow, M., Kögel-Knabner, I., Ekschmitt, K., Flessa, H., Guggenberger, G., Matzner,
 E., Marschner, B., 2007. SOM fractionation methods: Relevance to functional pools and
 to stabilization mechanisms. Soil Biol. Biochem. 39, 2183–2207.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2007.03.007.
- Luo, Z., Feng, W., Luo, Y., Baldock, J., Wang, E., 2017. Soil organic carbon dynamics jointly
 controlled by climate, carbon inputs, soil properties and soil carbon fractions. Glob.
 Change Biol. 23, 4430 4439. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13767.
- Manzoni, S., Schimel, J. P., Porporato, A. 2012. Responses of soil microbial communities to
 water stress: results from a meta-analysis. Ecology 93, 930-938.
 https://doi.org/10.1890/11-0026.1.
- Maurer, G.E., Hallmark, A.J., Brown, R.F., Sala, O.E., Collins, S.L., 2020. Sensitivity of
 primary production to precipitation across the United States. Ecol. Lett. 23, 527-536.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13455.
- 756 McCluney, K.E., Belnap, J., Collins, S.L., González, A.L., Hagen, E.M., Nathaniel H., J., ...
- Wolf, B.O., 2012. Shifting species interactions in terrestrial dryland ecosystems under
 altered water availability and climate change. Biol. Rev. 87, 563-582.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.2011.00209.x.
- McColl, K., Alemohammad, S., Akbar, R. et al. 2017. The global distribution and dynamics of
 surface soil moisture. Nature Geosci. 10, 100–104. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2868.
- Moser, G., Schuldt, B., Hertel, D., Horna, V., Coners, H., Barus, H., Leuschner, C., 2014.
- 763 Replicated throughfall exclusion experiment in an Indonesian perhumid rainforest: wood
- 764 production, litter fall and fine root growth under simulated drought. Glob. Change Biol.
- 765 20, 1481-1497. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12424.
- Nielsen, U.N., Ball, B.A., 2015. Impacts of altered precipitation regimes on soil communities

- and biogeochemistry in arid and semi-arid ecosystems. Glob. Change Biol. 21, 1407-1421.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12789.
- Pan, Y., Birdsey, R.A., Phillips, O.L., Jackson, R.B., 2013. The structure, distribution, and
 biomass of the world's forests. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 44, 593-622.
 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-110512-135914.
- Penuelas, J., Prieto, P., Beier, C., et al. 2007. Response of plant species richness and primary
 productivity in shrublands along a north–south gradient in Europe to seven years of
 experimental warming and drought: reductions in primary productivity in the heat and
 drought year of 2003. Glob. Change Biol. 13, 2563–2581, http://doi: 10.1111/j.13652486.2007.01464.x.
- Peñuelas, J., Sardans, J., Filella, I., Estiarte, M., Llusià, J., Ogaya, R., ... & Terradas, J. (2017).
 Impacts of global change on Mediterranean forests and their services. *Forests*, 8(12), 463.

779 https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-6-245-2010.

- Puget, P., Chenu, C., Balesdent, J., 2000. Dynamics of soil organic matter associated with
 particle-size fractions of water-stable aggregates. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 51, 595 605.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.2000.00353.x.
- Raczka, N.C., Carrara, J.E., Brzostek, E.R., 2022. Plant–microbial responses to reduced
 precipitation depend on tree species in a temperate forest. Glob. Change Biol. 28, 58205830. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16340.
- Ren, C., Zhao, F., Shi, Z., Chen, J., Han, X., Yang, G., Feng, Y., Ren, G., 2017. Differential
- responses of soil microbial biomass and carbon-degrading enzyme activities to altered
- precipitation. Soil Biol. Biochem. 115, 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.08.002.
- 789 Rosenberg, M.S., Adams, D.C., and Gurevitch, J., 2000. Meta-Win: statistical software for
- meta-analysis, v. 2.0. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer.

- Sanaei, A., Sayer, E.J., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Seabloom, E., Yaun, Z., Ali, A., Wang, X.,
 2023. Grazing intensity alters the diversity-carbon storage relationship in rangelands
 across topographic and climate gradients. Funct. Ecol. http://doi:10.1111/13652435.14270
- Sardans, J., Peñuelas, J., 2005. Drought decreases soil enzyme activity in a Mediterranean
 Quercus ilex L. forest. Soil Biol. Biochem. 37, 455–461.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2004.08.004.
- Sardans, J., Peñuelas, J., 2013. Plant-soil interactions in Mediterranean forest and shrublands:
 impacts of climatic change. Plant Soil 365, 1-33. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-0131591-6.
- 801 Sayer, E.J., Crawford, J.A., Edgerley, J., Askew, A.P., Hahn, C.A., Whitlock, R., Dodd, I.C.,
- 2021. Adaptation to chronic drought modifies soil microbial community responses to
 phytohormones. Commun. Biol. 4, 516. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-021-02037-w.
- 804 Sayer, E.J., Oliver, A.E., Fridley, J.D., Askew, A., Mills, R.T.E., Grime, J.P. 2017. Long-term
- drought affects soil microbial communities via plant functional traits. Ecol. Evol. 7, 855–
 806 862.
- 807 Schlesinger, W.H., Dietze, M.C., Jackson, R.B., Phillips, R.P., Rhoades, C.C., Rustad, L.E.,
- Vose, J.M., 2016. Forest biogeochemistry in response to drought. Glob. Change biol. 22,
 2318-2328. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13105.
- Schwendenmann, L., Pendall, E., 2006. Effects of forest conversion into grassland on soil
 aggregate structure and carbon storage in Panama: evidence from soil carbon fractionation
- and stable isotopes. Plant Soil 288, 217-232. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-006-9109-0.
- 813 Silver, W.L., Lugo, A.E., Keller, M., 1999. Soil oxygen availability and biogeochemistry along
- rainfall and topographic gradients in upland wet tropical forest soils. Biogeochemistry 44,
- 815 301–328. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00996995.

- 816 Su, X., Su, X., Zhou, G., Du, Z., Yang, S., Ni, M., Qin, H., Huang, Z., Zhou, X., Deng, J., 2020.
- B17 Drought accelerated recalcitrant carbon loss by changing soil aggregation and microbial
 B18 communities in a subtropical forest. Soil Biol. Biochem. 148, 107898.
 B19 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107898.
- Sun, S., Liu, X., Lu, S., Cao, P., Hui, D., Chen, J., Guo, J., Yang, Y., 2023. Depth-dependent 820 response of particulate and mineral-associated organic carbon to long-term throughfall 821 reduction in subtropical natural forest. Catena 223, 106904. 822 a https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2022.106904. 823
- Tarnocai, C., Canadell, J.G., Schuur, E.A.G., Kuhry, P., Mazhitova, G., Zimov, S.. 2009. Soil
 organic carbon pools in the northern circumpolar permafrost region. Glob. Biogeochem.
 Cycle 23. https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GB003327.
- Vafaei, S., Soosani, J., Adeli, K., Fadaei, H., Naghavi, H., Pham, T. D., & Tien Bui, D. (2018).
 Improving accuracy estimation of Forest Aboveground Biomass based on incorporation
- of ALOS-2 PALSAR-2 and Sentinel-2A imagery and machine learning: A case study of
- 830 the Hyrcanian forest area (Iran). *Remote Sensing*, 10(2), 172.
 831 <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10020172</u>.
- Wang, J., Hui, D., Lu, H., Wang, F., Liu, N., Sun, Z., Ren, H., 2019a. Main and interactive
- effects of increased precipitation and nitrogen addition on growth, morphology, and
 nutrition of Cinnamomum burmanni seedlings in a tropical forest. Glob. Ecol. Conserv. 20,
 e00734. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2019.e00734.
- Wang, P., Huang, K., Hu, S., 2019b. Distinct fine-root responses to precipitation changes in
 herbaceous and woody plants: a meta-analysis. New Phytol. 225, 1491–1499.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16266.
- Wang, C., Sun, Y., Chen, H.Y.H., Yang, J., Ruan, H., 2021. Meta-analysis shows non-uniform
 responses of above- and belowground productivity to drought. 782, 146901.

- 841 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146901.
- 842 Wangdi, N., Ahmed, I.U., Mayer, M., Nirola, M.P., Orong, K., Zangmo, N., Godbold, D.L.,
- 843 Gratzer, G., Schindlbacher, A., 2023. Estimating the response of Himalayan old-growth
- mountain forests to decreased monsoon precipitation. Agric. For. Meteorol. 336, 109471.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2023.109471.
- 846 Waring, B.G., Averill, C., Hawkes, C.V., 2013. Differences in fungal and bacterial physiology
- 847 alter soil carbon and nitrogen cycling: insights from meta-analysis and theoretical models.
 848 Ecol. Lett. 16, 887–894. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12125.
- 849 Wilcox, K.R., Shi, Z., Gherardi, L.A., Lemoine, N.P., Koerner, S.E., Hoover, D.L., et al. 2017.

850 Asymmetric responses of primary productivity to precipitation extremes: A synthesis of

- grassland precipitation manipulation experiments. Glob. Change Biol. 23, 4376-4385.
- 852 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13706.
- Wilcox, K.R., von Fischer, J.C., Muscha, J.M., Petersen, M.K., Knapp, A.K., 2015. Contrasting
 above- and belowground sensitivity of three Great Plains grasslands to altered rainfall
 regimes. Glob. Change Biol. 21, 335-344. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12673.
- 856 Wu, W., Geller, M.A., Dickinson, R.E., 2002. The Response of Soil Moisture to Long-Term
- Variability of Precipitation. J. Hydrometeorol. 3, 604–613. https://doi.org/10.1175/15257541(2002)003<0604:TROSMT>2.0.CO;2.
- Wu, Z., Dijkstra, P., Koch, G.W., Penuelas, J., Hungate, B.A., 2011. Responses of terrestrial
 ecosystems to temperature and precipitation change: a meta-analysis of experimental
 manipulation. Glob. Change Biol. 17, 927-942. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.13652486.2010.02302.x.
- Xu, S., Geng, W., Sayer, E.J., Zhou, G., Zhou, P., Liu, C., 2020. Soil microbial biomass and
 community responses to experimental precipitation change: A meta-analysis. Soil Ecol.
 Lett. 2, 93-103. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42832-020-0033-7.

- Yang, Y.J., Liu, S.R., Wang, H., Chen, L., Lu, L.H., Cai, D.X., 2018. Reduction in throughfall
 reduces soil aggregate stability in two subtropical plantations. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 70, 301310. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12734.
- Yang, Y., Liu, S., Schindlbacher, A., Wang, J., Li, Z., Wang, H., et al. 2021. Topsoil organic
 carbon increases but its stability declines after five years of reduced throughfall. Soil Biol.
 Biochem. 156, 108221. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2021.108221.
- Yaseef, N.R., Yakir, D., Rotenberg, E., Schiller, G., Cohen, S., 2010. Ecohydrology of a semiarid forest: partitioning among water balance components and its implications for
 predicted precipitation changes. Ecohydrology 3, 143–154. https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.65.
- Yue, K., Fornara, D. A., Yang, W., Peng, Y., Peng, C., Liu, Z., Wu, F., 2017. Influence of
 multiple global change drivers on terrestrial carbon storage: additive effects are common.
 Ecol. Lett. 20, 663-672. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12767.
- Zeppel, M.J.B., Wilks, J.V., Lewis, J.D., 2014. Impacts of extreme precipitation and seasonal
 changes in precipitation on plants. Biogeosciences 11, 3083-3093.
 https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-11-3083-2014.
- Zhang, G., Ganguly, S., Nemani, R. R., White, M. A., Milesi, C., Hashimoto, H., ... & Myneni,
- R. B. (2014). Estimation of forest aboveground biomass in California using canopy height
 and leaf area index estimated from satellite data. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 151,
- 884 44-56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2014.01.025.
- Zhang, H., Shi, L., Lu, H., Shao, Y., Liu, S., Fu, S., 2020. Drought promotes soil phosphorus
 transformation and reduces phosphorus bioavailability in a temperate forest. Sci. Total
 Environ. 732, 139295. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139295.
- Zhang, Q., Shao, M., Jia, X., Wei. X., 2019. Changes in soil physical and chemical properties
 after short drought stress in semi-humid forests. Geoderma 338, 170–177.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.11.051.

891	Zhou, M., Yan, G., Xing, Y., Chen, F., Zhang, X., Wang, J., Zhang, J., Dai, G., Zheng X., Sun,
892	W., Wang, Q., Liu, T., 2019. Nitrogen deposition and decreased precipitation does not
893	change total nitrogen uptake in a temperate forest. Sci. Total Environ. 651, 32-41.
894	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.09.166.
895	Zhou, X., Zhou, L., Nie, Y., Fu, Y., Du, Z., Shao, J., et al. 2016. Similar responses of soil carbon

- storage to drought and irrigation in terrestrial ecosystems but with contrasting
 mechanisms: A meta-analysis. Agr. Ecosyst. Environ. 228, 70-81.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2016.04.030.
- Zhou, Z., Wang, C., Luo, Y., 2018. Response of soil microbial communities to altered
 precipitation: A global synthesis. Global Ecol. Biogeogr. 27, 1121 1136.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12761.
- 902

903 Acknowledgement

This study was financially supported by the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong Special 904 Administrative Region, China (Grant No. CUHK14302014, CUHK14305515 and 905 CUHK14122521), the Chinese University of Hong (4052228). 906 Kong This work was also supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (4212205 907 4 and 42192513). 908

- 909
- 910
- 911
- 912

Table 1 The effects of drought and irrigation on plant carbon inputs, soil carbon pools and soil organic carbon (SOC) content, soil microbial and physicochemical properties, and soil enzymes related to carbon degradation in three forest biomes: Mediterranean forest, (sub-)tropical forest and temperate forest. DOC is dissolved organic carbon; N is nitrogen; NH_4^+ is ammonium-N; NO_3^- is nitrate-N; MBC is microbial biomass carbon; F:B ratio is the fungal to bacterial ratio. Effect sizes are shown as natural log-response ratios for n studies per response variable. Confidence intervals (CI) of an effect size overlapping 1 indicate no change relative to controls, whereas effects are significant when confidence intervals do not overlap with 1 (shown in bold type); *n* represents the number of observations for each parameter.

	Drought					Irrigation			
Variable	Forest biome	Effect size	Bootstrap CI	n	Variable	Forest biome	Effect size	Bootstrap CI	п
Aboveground biomass	Mediterranean				Aboveground biomass	Mediterranean	0.918	0.809-1.073	4
	(sub-)tropical	0.861	0.781-0.946	8		(sub-)tropical			
	temperate	0.801	0.595-1.097	6		temperate	1.339	1.049-1.738	8
Litterfall	Mediterranean	0.995	0.863-1.146	12	Litterfall	Mediterranean	1.298	1.063-1.476	3
	(sub-)tropical	0.946	0.880-1.010	13		(sub-)tropical			
	temperate	1.105	1.050-1.159	8		temperate	1.002	0.958-1.072	9
Litter mass loss	Mediterranean	0.883	0.872-0.894	19	Litter mass loss	Mediterranean			
	(sub-)tropical	0.859	0.772-0.955	5		(sub-)tropical	1.031	0.956-1.126	4
	temperate	0.770	0.684-0.855	26		temperate	1.056	0.939-1.197	7
Fine root biomass	Mediterranean				Fine root biomass	Mediterranean	1.298	1.099-1.526	13
	(sub-)tropical	0.823	0.746-0.908	27		(sub-)tropical	1.061	0.868-1.266	14
	temperate	0.770	0.553-1.010	24		temperate	1.303	1.175-1.453	28
DOC	Mediterranean	1.180	0.766-1.506	5	DOC	Mediterranean			
	(sub-)tropical	1.124	0.991-1.294	19		(sub-)tropical	0.979	0.917-1.029	3
	temperate	0.941	0.828-1.061	14		temperate	0.917	0.797-1.022	5
SOC	Mediterranean	0.977	0.749-1.262	12		Mediterranean	1.031	0.741-1.562	7
	(sub-)tropical	0.959	0.889-1.034	26		(sub-)tropical	0.918	0.864-0.975	12
	temperate	1.016	0.977-1.057	30		temperate	0.963	0.891-1.030	27
Macroaggregate	Mediterranean				Macroaggregate	Mediterranean			

	(sub-)tropical	0.935	0.924-0.948	3		(sub-)tropical			
	temperate	0.948	0.910-0.979	5		temperate			
Microaggregate	Mediterranean				Microaggregate	Mediterranean			
	(sub-)tropical	1.310	1.019-1.624	3		(sub-)tropical			
	temperate	1.272	1.118-1.491	5		temperate			
Soil pH	Mediterranean	1.027	1.001-1.054	4	Soil pH	Mediterranean	1.088	1.022-1.178	4
	(sub-)tropical	1.257	0.986-1.794	33		(sub-)tropical	1.011	1.004-1.018	11
	temperate	2.289	1.197-4.593	31		temperate	1.010	0.996-1.024	8
Soil total N	Mediterranean	0.788	0.616-0.999	11	Soil total N	Mediterranean	0.905	0.652-1.236	5
	(sub-)tropical	0.936	0.886-0.985	25		(sub-)tropical	0.945	0.867-1.022	11
	temperate	0.993	0.958-1.029	22		temperate	1.019	0.957-1.090	4
Soil NH4+	Mediterranean	0.855	0.767-0.953	2	Soil NH4+	Mediterranean			
	(sub-)tropical	0.985	0.829-1.171	18		(sub-)tropical	1.484	1.169-1.845	7
	temperate	1.177	0.989-1.559	13		temperate	0.868	0.690-1.066	6
Soil NO3-	Mediterranean	1.646	1.409-1.923	2	Soil NO3-	Mediterranean			
	(sub-)tropical	0.933	0.752-1.123	18		(sub-)tropical	0.896	0.774-1.042	7
	temperate	0.830	0.602-1.121	11		temperate	0.906	0.693-1.079	7
MBC	Mediterranean	1.024	0.835-1.187	5	MBC	Mediterranean	1.147	0.923-1.406	5
	(sub-)tropical	0.769	0.692-0.855	49		(sub-)tropical	1.145	1.051-1.243	21
	temperate	0.829	0.710-0.945	35		temperate	0.923	0.819-1.050	29
Fungal biomass	Mediterranean	0.822	0.578-1.204	10	Fungal biomass	Mediterranean	0.869	0.787-0.966	7
	(sub-)tropical	0.899	0.730-1.107	8		(sub-)tropical	1.436	1.190-1.764	5
	temperate	1.005	0.799-1.312	7		temperate	1.040	0.745-1.450	2
Bacterial biomass	Mediterranean	0.921	0.757-1.175	10	Bacterial biomass	Mediterranean	1.066	0.804-1.407	7
	(sub-)tropical	0.860	0.690-1.043	5		(sub-)tropical	1.425	1.229-1.606	5
	temperate	0.952	0.802-1.149	7		temperate			
F: B ratio	Mediterranean	0.804	0.594-1.206	6	F: B ratio	Mediterranean	0.977	0.695-1.350	7
	(sub-)tropical	0.935	0.795-1.105	8		(sub-)tropical	1.022	0.882-1.205	4
	temperate	1.561	1.242-1.946	12		temperate	0.971	0.851-1.046	3
β-glucosidase	Mediterranean	0.864	0.709-1.041	9	β-glucosidase	Mediterranean			
	(sub-)tropical	0.889	0.742-1.063	5		(sub-)tropical	1.048	1.000-1.099	2
	temperate					temperate	1.056	0.937-1.199	6
Cellobiohydrolase	Mediterranean				Polyphenol oxidase	Mediterranean			
	(sub-)tropical	1.116	0.813-1.622	4		(sub-)tropical	1.124	1.108-1.141	2
	temperate					temperate	0.878	0.685-1.125	2

920 Figure legends

921 Figure 1 The effects of drought (a,b) and irrigation treatments (c,d) on soil moisture, showing (a,c) the magnitude of change in response to drought or irrigation treatments and (b,d) the 922 relationships between treatment level, expressed as a proportion of mean annual precipitation 923 (%MAP) across forest biomes. Pink symbols represent drought and blue symbols represent 924 irrigation. Effect sizes are shown as natural log-response ratios (LnRR). Confidence intervals 925 overlapping 1 (dashed line) indicate no change relative to controls, whereas effects are 926 927 significant when confidence intervals do not overlap 1. Numbers in parentheses represent the number of observations (n) for each parameter. Regression lines are shown for relationships 928 significant at *P*<0.05. 929

Figure 2 The effects of drought (a) and irrigation treatments (b) on forest plant carbon (C) 930 inputs, soil dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and soil organic carbon (SOC), soil aggregate and 931 density fractions, soil physicochemical properties, microbial characteristics and extracellular 932 enzyme activities across forest biomes, where LFOC is light fraction organic C, MAOC is 933 mineral associated organic C; N is nitrogen; NH₄⁺ is ammonium-N; NO₃⁻ is nitrate-N; MBC is 934 microbial biomass carbon; F:B ratio is the fungal to bacterial ratio. Effect sizes are shown as 935 natural log-response ratios for *n* studies per response variable. Confidence intervals of an effect 936 size overlapping 1 (dashed line) indicate no change relative to controls, whereas effects are 937 significant when confidence intervals do not overlap 1. Numbers in parentheses represent the 938 number of observations (*n*) for each parameter. 939

Figure 3 Relationships between the magnitude of change in soil organic carbon (SOC) in response to drought (a-f) or irrigation (g-k) treatments and (a) latitude, (b) aridity, (c) soil clay content, (d) precipitation treatment level, (e) precipitation treatment duration, and (f) plot area; where %MAP is the amount of water excluded or added by drought (pink symbols) and irrigation treatments (blue symbols), respectively. The magnitude of change is indicated by the

effect size shown as the natural log response ratio (LnRR). Regression lines are shown for significant relationships at P < 0.05.

Figure 4 The effects of drought (a,c) and irrigation (b,d) treatments on soil organic carbon 947 (SOC) content in forests, showing (a,b) the magnitude of change in SOC in Mediterranean 948 forest (circles), (sub-)tropical forest (squares) and temperate forest (triangles); and the 949 relationships between the change in SOC content and (c,d) the change in soil moisture under 950 drought (pink symbols) or irrigation (blue symbols), respectively. The magnitude of change is 951 indicated by the effect size shown as the natural log response ratio (LnRR) for n studies per 952 response variable. Confidence intervals of an effect size overlapping 1 (a,b) or 0 (c,d), shown 953 as dashed lines, indicate no change relative to controls, whereas effects are significant when 954 confidence intervals do not overlap with 1 or 0, respectively. In (a,b), numbers in parentheses 955 represent the number of observations (n) for each parameter. In (c,d), regression lines are shown 956 for significant relationships at P < 0.05. 957

Figure 5 Changes in plant or soil carbon pools in response to drought (a-f; pink symbols) or 958 irrigation (g-l; blue symbols) treatments, and their relationship with precipitation treatment 959 level, expressed as the proportion of mean annual precipitation (%MAP) excluded or added by 960 the treatments, respectively; showing the magnitude of change as effect sizes expressed as the 961 natural log response ratio (LnRR) for (a,g) aboveground biomass (AB), (b,h) litterfall, (c,i) 962 litter mass loss, (d,j) fine root biomass, (e,k) dissolved organic carbon (DOC), and (f,l) 963 microbial biomass carbon (MBC). Regression lines are shown for significant relationships at 964 *P*<0.05. 965

Figure 6 Conceptual model depicting the potential pathways by which precipitation change could influence soil organic carbon (SOC) storage, showing the effects of drought (pink) and irrigation (blue) on dissolved organic carbon (DOC); microbial biomass carbon (MBC); fungal to bacterial ratio (F:B ratio); ammonium-N (NH_4^+); nitrate-N (NO_3^-); light fraction organic

970	carbon (LFOC); mineral-associated organic carbon (MAOC); soil respiration (CO ₂ , not
971	considered in this study). A minus symbol indicates a negative effect, a plus symbol indicates
972	a positive effect, and n.s. indicates non-significant effects, based on the present meta-analysis.
973	
974	
975	
976	
977	
978	
979	
980	
981	
982	
983	
984	
985	
986	
987	
988	
989	
990	
991	





1003

Figure 3







Drought

- Total Boreal Mediterranean \bigcirc (Sub-)tropical

 - Temperate
- Mediterranean (Sub-)tropical
- Temperate

Irrigation

Boreal

Mediterranean

(Sub-)tropical

(Sub-)tropical

Temperate

 \diamond

C



1034

- 1035
- 1036
- 1037



