| 1 | The complex interactions between nutrition, immunity and infection in insects |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Ponton F. ¹ , Tan Y.X. ¹ , Forster C. ¹ , Austin A. ² , English S. ³ , Cotter S.C. ⁴ and Wilson K. ⁵ |
| 4 | |
| 5 | ¹ School of Natural Sciences, Macquarie University, NSW, Australia |
| 6 | ² Ku-ring-gai Council, NSW, Australia |
| 7 | ³ School of Biological Sciences, University of Bristol, United Kingdom |
| 8 | ⁴ The University of Lincoln, Lincoln, United Kingdom |
| 9 | ⁵ Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YQ, United Kingdom |
| 10 | |

11 <u>Summary</u>

12 The field of nutritional immunology captures the complexity of the connections between the 13 nutritional environment, infection and immunity. Here, we discuss recent research that links 14 the nutritional environment with insect health.

15

16 Abstract

17 Insects are the most diverse animal group on the planet. Their success is reflected by the diversity of habitats in which they live. However, these habitats have undergone great changes 18 19 in recent decades; understanding how these changes affect insect health and fitness is an important question for insect conservation. In this Review, we focus on the research that links 20 21 the nutritional environment with infection and immune status in insects. We first discuss about the research from the field of nutritional immunology, and we then investigate how factors 22 such as intra- and extra-cellular symbionts, sociality and transgenerational effects may interact 23 with the connection between nutrition and immunity. We show that the interactions between 24 nutrition and resistance can be highly specific to insect species and/or infection type – this is 25 almost certainly due to the diversity of insect social interactions and life cycles, and the varied 26 environments in which insects live. Hence, these connections cannot be easily generalised 27 across insects. We finally suggest that other environmental aspects - such as the use of 28 agrochemicals and climatic factors - might also influence the interaction between nutrition and 29 resistance, and highlight how research on these is essential. 30

32 Introduction

Insects are the most diverse lineage of multicellular organisms on the planet (Engel and Grimaldi, 2004). Insects are found in nearly all ecosystems and are essential to ecosystem functioning. For these reasons, understanding the effects of environmental changes on insect populations – effects that have been quite dramatic in the last few centuries – can be considered a defining challenge for entomological and ecological research in the 21st century (Boggs, 2016; Halsch et al., 2021). Changes in the environment include modifications of the nutritional landscape that can have important implications for insect populations.

The field of nutritional immunology captures the complexity of the relationships between the 40 nutritional environment, infection and immunity (Ponton et al., 2013). Nutrition is a multi-41 dimensional problem. Food sources can be abundant, scarce, diverse, unique, easy or difficult 42 to access, balanced, unbalanced or contaminated. Responses to infection are also complex. 43 Innate immune responses have been traditionally described as broad and non-specific to 44 pathogen strains; however, recent research suggests that insect innate immunity (see Glossary) 45 is also capable of providing highly specific immune responses to fight infections in a similar 46 way to adaptive immune responses found in vertebrates (Cooper and Eleftherianos, 2017; 47 Schulenburg et al., 2007). Responses to infections also include processes such as tolerance (see 48 Glossary), which allows individuals to maintain their fitness while infected (Ayres and 49 Schneider, 2012). In this Review, we focus on the recent research and questions that link the 50 51 nutritional environment with individual and population health, as well as the different factors 52 that may define the framework of nutritional immunology in insects. We explore the links (i.e., connections) and relationships (i.e, the manner in which components may be connected) 53 54 between dietary composition and innate immunity, resistance and tolerance of insects when confronted with different types of parasites. Intra- and extracellular symbionts can interfere 55 56 with host metabolism and immunity, and we discuss how they affect the relationship between 57 nutrition and immunity. Insects successfully occupy multiple ecological niches thanks to the 58 different morphological and physiological phases they express during their development. Here, 59 we consider the links between nutrition and immunity throughout development but also across 60 generations. Finally, the very diverse lifestyles of insects, from solitary to eusocial, adds another layer of complexity with implications for the interactions between nutrition and 61 immunity. Understanding how changes in the nutritional environment affect insect health and 62 fitness is a complex question, but it is relevant to issues such as pest management, disease 63

64 vector control, insect conservation, and insects as food and feed. Although most of the work in 65 eco-immunology has made use of insect model species, in the future it will be important to 66 explore other systems to obtain a wider and more integrative understanding of this field of 67 research. Further, understanding the importance of experimental nutritional conditions is 68 essential, since it can influence the outcome of experiments as well as their reproducibility.

69

70 Nutritional effects on infection, and plastic nutritional responses

71 Nutrition, immunity and resistance

72 Insects are rather uniform in their nutritional requirements because their chemical compositions 73 and metabolic capabilities are broadly similar (Chapman, 2013). However, there is still 74 variation in nutritional requirements among insect species that arises from adaptations to 75 specific nutritional environments. For example, plant-feeding insect species generally require approximately equal amounts of protein and carbohydrates as adults, with larvae usually 76 requiring a high protein intake to support their growth. By contrast, predatory insects have high 77 protein and fat requirements relative to carbohydrates. Insects feeding on high-carbohydrate 78 foods, such as grains, have a high requirement for carbohydrates relative to proteins. 79

An insect's nutritional state influences its immune status and resistance to infection. Table 1 80 81 summarises the studies that have investigated the interactions between immunity and resistance in insects. These studies have generally investigated the effects of food restriction and 82 83 starvation on the expression of immune and life history traits (i.e. reproduction and 84 longevity/survival) in the absence of infection (i.e. immuno-competence) and post-infection. 85 Starvation and limited food accessibility can both have negative effects on fitness and immune 86 parameters (however, see discussion on positive effects of caloric restriction in Partridge et al., 2005). When food limitation is severe, humoral and cellular immunity (see Glossary) are 87 altered, and mortality post infection is increased (Kangassalo et al., 2015; Ariani et al., 2015; 88 Adamo et al., 2016; Kutzer and Armitage, 2016; McKay et al., 2016; Ebrahimi and 89 Ajamhassani, 2020; Meshrif et al., 2022). However, total food limitation is not always what 90 individuals face in nature, as some nutrients might be more limited than others, leading to 91 92 imbalanced diets. In this context, the immune effects of deficiencies in specific macro- or micro-nutrients (see Glossary) - such as protein, alkaloids, ascorbic acid or selenium - have 93 been studied in different insect species (Popham et al., 2005; de Roode et al., 2008; Popham 94

- and Shelby, 2009; Howich and Lazzaro, 2014; Anthony et al., 2015; Rochardson et al., 2015;
- 96 Unckless et al., 2015; Tritschler et al., 2017; Kelly and Bowers, 2018; Vogel et al., 2018).

Over the last 10 years, and following the pioneering work of Simpson and Raubenheimer 97 (2012), studies have employed geometric approaches to investigate the effects of dietary 98 macronutrient composition on insect physiology (Box 1). Protein and carbohydrates are two of 99 the main energy-yielding nutrients for insects, and the ratio of dietary protein to carbohydrates 100 has important effects on insect physiology, modulating longevity and reproduction (e.g. Lee et 101 al. 2008). There is a general trend for dietary protein being the primary regulatory 102 macronutrient of immunity in insect larval stages. When larvae do not have access to enough 103 104 protein, their immunity is usually negatively affected as well as their resistance to pathogenic bacteria, fungi or macro-parasites (Lee et al., 2006; Povey et al., 2009; Povey et al., 2014; 105 106 Tritschler et al., 2017; Danihlik et al., 2018, Wilson et al., 2019; Wilson et al., 2020; Gomezmoracho et al., 2021). The nutritional optima of larvae and adults can however differ 107 108 significantly. For instance, in Drosophila melanogaster, larvae require a diet high in protein to optimise growth, whereas a diet with a more balanced composition of protein and 109 carbohydrates optimises lifetime egg production in adults (Jang and Lee, 2018). This might 110 explain why the results of studies exploring the interactions between nutrition and immunity in 111 adult insects differ from the ones in larval stages (as seen in Table 1). Different nutritional 112 113 optima between adult and juvenile stages have been mainly observed in holometabolous insects (see Glossary) in which development includes a complete metamorphosis; nutritional optima 114 might be less marked between life stages of hemimetabolous insects (see Glossary), yet there 115 is a lack of data to fully support this conclusion. 116

117 In adult insects, the interaction between resistance and nutrition can also depend on the host species and the type of infection. When Drosophila females are infected with the bacterium 118 119 Micrococcus luteus, a carbohydrate-biased diet (i.e. a diet that contains a greater concentration 120 of carbohydrates than what naïve flies usually select) promotes the expression of antimicrobials 121 and survival after infection (Ponton et al., 2020). Similar results were observed in the Queensland fruit fly, Bactrocera tryoni. When infected with the bacterium Serratia 122 123 marcescens, adult females restricted to a carbohydrate-biased diet harbour a lower pathogen load during the first few hours post-infection than those on a balanced diet (i.e. a diet with 124 approximately similar concentrations of protein and carbohydrates; Dinh et al., 2019). In 125 contrast, in adult honeybees, an increase in total dietary protein lowers mortality after viral 126

infection but increases the infection load of microsporidia (see Glossary, Tritschler et al., 127 2017). There is no evidence of an increase in immuno-competence when the diet is rich in 128 protein, but a decrease in immune responses is seen when the diet does not contain protein (see 129 Brunner et al., 2014). Dietary fat (and not protein) also stimulates viral immunity in honeybees 130 (Alshukri and Al-Esawy, 2021). Diet diversity (i.e. when individuals are offered a choice 131 between different sources of food/nutrients) also influences immune parameters and resistance 132 to fungal pathogens in bees (Alaux et al., 2010; Foley et al., 2012, see also in other insect 133 species Vogelweith et al., 2016; Krams et al., 2017). Further, it has been shown that when 134 135 Bombus terrestris individuals are fed a diet with a low protein and a high carbohydrate concentration, they habour the greatest quantity of parasites but live the longest compared to 136 individuals fed a high-protein diet (Gomez-moraco et al., 2021). This result suggests that a 137 carbohydrate-biased diet in adult bees might increase their tolerance to infection (see Glossary; 138 Ayres and Schneider, 2012) but not their resistance to infection. This might illustrate that not 139 140 all immune parameters respond in the same way to the nutritional composition of the diet. For instance, Cotter et al. (2010, 2019) have shown that when caterpillars of the Egyptian cotton 141 142 leafworm, Spodoptera littoralis, are fed diets varying in their protein-to-carbohydrate ratio, functional immune traits – such as the lytic and phenoloxidase activities (see Glossary) – 143 144 increase as the protein content of the diet increases; however, immune gene expression was much less predictable in the study. The activation of immunity can also be costly through 145 146 causing self-damage or immunopathology (Sadd and Siva-Jothy, 2006), with the physiological costs carried across life stages (DeBlock and Stoks, 2008). Food availability might be an 147 148 important factor in mediating these effects (Stahlschmidt et al., 2015). More research is, however, needed to produce a clearer picture of how nutrition may decrease these negative 149 effects of immune activation. 150

Host nutrition not only influences immunity but it also influences the resources that are 151 available to pathogens (Smith and Holt, 1996). For example, some specific nutrients, such as 152 iron, are required by pathogens to develop, and host supply can limit their access. Pike at al. 153 (2019) have published a meta-analysis where they investigated how nutrition influences 154 155 pathogen virulence (see Glossary) across vertebrates and invertebrates. Their analysis suggests that host nutrition does not influence pathogen virulence; however, more research targeting 156 157 these specific questions is needed, since the resources required by the pathogens might be species/strain-specific. It is also important to note that most studies, where the dietary protein 158 159 composition is manipulated, solely used yeast as a main protein source. Yeast is however not

only a major source of amino acids, but also a source of other miscellaneous components. 160 Therefore, protein restriction or supplementation through modulating yeast intake 161 inadvertently causes variation in other elements in the diet (Bruce et al., 2013). Further, most 162 studies assume that the food provided to insects is standardized; however, this is not always 163 true. Laboratory experimental settings do not always use defined or holidic diets (see Glossary), 164 as these might be either too challenging to prepare or too expensive to use routinely. Food 165 deprivation is also not always well defined in protocols, and - in natural environments - insects 166 can find themselves in diverse, nutritionally challenging situations that would affect their life 167 168 history traits differently (see Zhang et al., 2019). To be able to compare the results of the different studies investigating the effects of nutritional manipulations on immunity and 169 resistance, protocols will need to be standardized. 170

171 The proximate mechanisms modulating innate immunity through the diet

The exploration of the proximate mechanisms of the interaction between metabolism and 172 immunity has produced some fascinating findings. Nunes et al. (2021) review the hormonal 173 regulation of cellular and humoral immunity in insects. The developmental hormones 20-174 hydroxyecdysone (20E) and juvenile hormone (JH) have an important role in insect immunity. 175 20E stimulates the proliferation, differentiation and action of haemocytes (see Glossary), 176 induces phagocytosis and nodulation (see Glossary) and increases the expression of 177 antimicrobial genes (which code for antimicrobial peptides; AMP), such as diptericin, cecropin 178 179 and attacin, through the ecdysone receptor (Schwenkeet al., 2015). 20E may also influence the 180 duration of immune responses (Garbuzov and Tatar, 2010). In Manduca sexta and Tenebrio molitor, JH modulates the prophenoloxise cascade and encapsulation (see Glossary) (Hiruma 181 182 and Riddiford, 1988; Rantala et al. 2003; Rolff and Siva-Jothy, 2002). Overall, 20E acts as a positive regulator of immunity, whereas JH acts as an immune suppressor (Nunes et al., 2021, 183 Schwenkeet al., 2015). Nutrient-sensing pathways, JH and 20E signaling may interact. 184 Blockage of the two highly evolutionarily conserved nutrient sensors, insulin-like signalling 185 pathway (IIS) and target of rapamycin (TOR, sensing amino acids level), induces a decrease in 186 JH biosynthesis (e.g. Abrisqueta et al., 2014 and Leyria et al., 2023) and in ecdysone production 187 188 (Kemirembe et al., 2012). Further, infections can also lead to a decrease in fat and glycogen stores through an impairment of insulin signaling decreasing JH biosynthesis (Dionne et al., 189 2006). The effects of JH on immunity may be highly dependent on the insect's developmental 190 stage, and the type of host and pathogen, with contrasting effects in different species (Amaro-191

Sánchez et al., 2023, Remolina and Hughes, 2008). More work is therefore needed to clearly
understand how nutrition and macronutrients modulate insect immunity through the JH and
20E pathways.

The central immunomodulators of insect immunity are molecules such as cytokines (i.e., 195 signaling proteins), DAMPs (i.e., damage-associated molecular pattern molecules), PAMPs 196 (i.e., pathogen-associated molecular patterns) and biogenic amines (i.e., nitrogen compounds). 197 Metabolic signaling pathways are also involved in the activation of systemic immunity 198 (Adamo, 2021; Lee and Lee, 2018, Figure 1). In both insect and mammalian systems, IIS 199 regulates the transcription factor forkhead box O (FOXO; Ahlers et al., 2019), with the insulin-200 201 FOXO pathway controlling glucose and lipid metabolism (see Figure 1 for more details). FOXO is associated with longevity and nutritional signaling, as it induces the insulin-like 202 203 receptor (InR, DiAngelo and Birnbaum, 2009). FOXO also regulates the expression of antimicrobial peptides in the fat body (see Glossary; Becker et al., 2010; Figure 1), and has 204 205 another immune role through its induction of RNA interference (RNAi)-specific genes that mediate antiviral immunity (Galiana-Arnous et al., 2006; Trammell and Goodman, 2019; 206 207 Wang et al., 2006).

Generally speaking, immunity against fungi and Gram-positive bacteria is mediated by the 208 Toll-Dif/Dorsal pathway and immunity against Gram-negative bacteria is mediated by the Imd-209 Relish pathway in insects, leading to the expression of antimicrobial peptide genes (see 210 211 Galenza and Foley, 2019 for details). Interestingly, Becker et al. (2010) have shown that 212 starvation can induce AMP production through the FOXO pathway, independently of the evolutionary conserved immune pathways Toll or Imd (Figure 1). This might illustrate that 213 214 when food is not available, some insects (at least Drosophila) show an anticipatory increase in AMP production (also see for early melanisation in Pan et al., 2018). Further, Toll-like receptor 215 216 activation induces the inactivation of the pathogen-induced phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)-217 Akt pathway (Figure 1). Pathogen-induced Toll activation is upstream of the insulin signaling inactivation (Lee and Lee, 2018). More investigation is still needed to clarify the exact 218 molecular relationship between the Toll and insulin signaling pathways during immune 219 220 responses (Lee and Lee, 2018)

Another signaling pathway involved in immunity against viruses, JAK/STAT, is connected to IIS, which might again indicate that insects have evolved immune mechanisms that are responsive to nutritional acquisition (see discussion in Trammell and Goodman, 2019). The regulatory hormone adipokinetic hormone (AKH) – which is also involved in physiological, metabolic and homeostatic processes, such as carbohydrate and lipid metabolism – also regulates innate immunity (Toprak, 2020). For instance, the principal role of AKH is to initiate lipid/carbohydrate mobilisation from the fat body, and its expression is elevated upon infection; this might induce immune responses (Ibrahim et al., 2017). There is some indication that AKH also activates the prophenoloxidase cascade, among other immune responses (Goldsworthy et al., 2003; Mullen and Goldsworthy, 2006).

Only a few studies have investigated the effects of the dietary nutrient balance on innate 231 immunity components. Studies have generally shown that the balance of dietary protein and 232 233 carbohydrates influences the expression of immune genes and other immune markers in naïve and infected insects with contrasting effects in larvae and adults (instance.g. Cotter et al., 2019; 234 Ponton et al., 2020). The number of studies is, however, too limited; more investigation is 235 needed to draw general conclusions. For instance, the two nutrient sensors TOR and AMPK 236 might respond not only to the concentration of circulating nutrients (with TOR being stimulated 237 and AMPK depressed either directly or indirectly by increasing concentrations of nutrients), 238 239 but to the amino acid/carbohydrate balance of the diet (Simpson and Raubenheimer, 2009). A low ratio of dietary protein to carbohydrates would decrease TOR activity, whereas a high 240 protein to carbohydrate ratio would increase TOR activity. Whether these responses are directly 241 242 linked to immune pathways needs to be confirmed. Finally, it is worth noting that although research to date has largely focused on the effects of nutrients on host immunity (i.e. 'top-243 down' regulation of pathogens), the nutrients may directly or indirectly impact the pathogen 244 through ('bottom-up') non-immunological mechanisms. For example, it has recently been 245 shown that dietary protein has a direct negative impact on the growth of bacteria in the 246 haemolymph by altering haemolymph solute concentration, creating a significant osmotic 247 stress for the pathogens (Wilson et al., 2020). 248

249

250 Self-medication

Insects have evolved medication behaviours that occur either in response to an active infection or to prevent an infection (prophylaxis). This is often referred to as 'self-medication', which is defined as animals using molecules such as secondary plant compounds or other non-nutritive substances with antiparasitic activity (de Roode et al., 2013; Raubenheimer and Simpson,

2009). Research investigating the role of macronutrients in nutritional immunology has also 255 demonstrated that self-medication can involve the modulation of macronutrient selection (i.e. 256 ingestion of a different ratio of macronutrients for infected individuals compared to non-257 infected ones) to compensate for the negative effects of the infection on fitness traits. (Abbott, 258 2014; Bashir-Tanoli and Tinsley, 2014; Galenza et al., 2016; Ponton et al., 2011; Povey et al., 259 260 2014). Self-medication can also stimulate immune responses. For example, modulation in macronutrient intake was measured in adult D. melanogaster, injected with the bacterium 261 Micrococcus luteus. Infected flies ingested a diet that was two times more concentrated in 262 carbohydrate during the first six days post-infection (Ponton et al., 2020), compared to non-263 infected flies. However, they decreased their total food intake. This shift in nutritional 264 behaviour increases the flies' survival after infection supposedly through an increase 265 expression of immune genes (Ponton et al., 2020). Furthermore, the diet selected by infected 266 flies is specifically beneficial during infection and is not optimal for non-infected individuals' 267 fitness (see discussion in de Rood and Hunter. 2019; Ponton et al. 2013; Ponton et al. 2011). 268 Similar self-medication responses have been observed in a range of insect-pathogen 269 interactions, including in larvae of moths from the genus Spodoptera infected with bacteria or 270 viruses. Details of these studies are given in Table 1. 271

272

273 The role of symbionts in the relationship between nutrition and immunity

Most insects have mutualistic relationships with microbes, which provide benefits to their hosts such as immune priming (see Glossary) and stimulating immunity against pathogens (Buchner, 1965; Snyder, et al., 2012), facilitating digestion (Rio et al., 2006, Simonet et al., 2016) and supplementing host diets with micronutrients (Chomicki et al., 2020; Gil et al., 2003). Host diet is a key driver of the abundance and diversity of symbionts, and can modulate the role of mutualistic microbes in immune responses. Here, we review empirical studies on the interaction between insect nutrition, immunity and the microbiota.

281 Gut microbiota

The digestive tract harbours a high diversity (i.e. a high number of strains/species) and quantity (i.e. high number of individuals) of microbes, and most of these microbes are dependent on their host's nutrition (see Engl et al., 2020; Harris et al., 2019 for a recent review; Herren et al., 2014; Mason, 2020; Pernice et al., 2014; Wilkinson et al., 2007; Wong et al., 2015). In wood-

feeding termites, changes in diet are accompanied by shifts in the dominance of protist species 286 (Tarayre et al., 2015), and in flies, the gut microbiota composition varies with plant and food 287 type, and diet shifts (see for instance Chandler et al., 2011, Fink et al., 2013; Vacchini et al., 288 2017). More recently, it has been shown that black soldier fly (Hermetia illucens) larvae fed a 289 high-fibre diet harbour a gut microbiota with greater bacterial richness (i.e. total number of 290 bacterial strains/species) and diversity (i.e, amount of bacteria from each of the bacterial 291 292 strains/species present) compared to individuals fed protein-rich and fat-rich diets (Greenwood et al., 2021). Although there is strong evidence that the nutritional conditions influence the gut 293 294 microbiota composition at both larval and adult stages, the physiological significance and fitness consequences of this variation remain to be fully understood (see Harris et al., 2019 for 295 discussion). 296

297 Microbiota diversity correlates with insect immunity and resistance to pathogens. In bumblebees, Mockler et al. (2018) found that individuals with high microbiota diversity have 298 lower infection loads of Crithidia bombi, which is a common bumblebee gut parasite. A more 299 diverse insect microbiota could intensify the competition experienced by pathogens when 300 301 colonising their insect hosts (Engel and Moran, 2013), and this may be the case for insects that acquire most of their microbes from the environment (Boissière et al., 2012; Hammer et al., 302 2017). Also, in honeybees, the gut bacterium Snodgrassella alvi enhances survival after 303 304 pathogen challenge, through an upregulation of antimicrobial genes (i.e. abaecin, apidecin and hymenoptaecin; Horak et al., 2020). The gut bacteria might also directly produce antimicrobial 305 compounds, such as antimicrobial peptides and antibiotics, involved in protecting the host 306 against infections (Daisley et al., 2020; Horak et al., 2020, see Jang and Kikuchi, 2020 for 307 review; Osborne et al., 2012). Although the microbiome influences immunity across a large 308 309 number of insect species, its influence in insects that have minimal symbiotic communities, such as lepidoptera (Hammer et al., 2017), needs to be investigated. 310

311 Intracellular symbionts

In addition to the gut microbiota, insects harbour specific bacterial symbionts that live intracellularly, mostly within specialised organs known as bacteriocytes (Douglas, 2011). Most intracellular symbionts have limited metabolic functions, with their growth and abundance being dependent on the host's nutrition (see Whittle et al 2021 for review). For instance, high levels of nitrogen in the diet of the pea aphid (*Acyrthosiphon pisum*), increase the density of the obligate bacterial symbiont *Buchnera aphidicola* (Wilkinson et al., 2007), whereas in the tsetse fly, thiamine dietary supplementation results in reduced numbers of the obligate symbiont *Wigglesworthia morsitans* (Snyder et al., 2012). Some insects may indeed reduce the supply of nutrients to their symbionts to provide additional nutrients to host functions (Whittle et al. 2021). However, it remains to be fully explored how the host nutritional conditions regulate intracellular symbiont populations based on how obligative or facultative (see Glossary) their relationship with the host is.

Intracellular symbionts can have a substantial impact on host immunity. For example, 324 mosquitoes are susceptible to viral infections due to their blood-feeding behaviour, and they 325 rely heavily on the anti-viral function of the symbiont Wolbachia (Lu et al., 2012; Osborne et 326 327 al., 2012). Mechanistically, Wolbachia induces an increase in immune gene expression (antimicrobial peptides, melanisation, Toll pathway constituents, C-type lectins, serine 328 329 proteases and transferrin) when mosquitoes are infected by pathogens such as dengue virus (Rancès et al., 2012). As another example, the pea aphid hosts an obligate primary symbiont, 330 but can also host one or more facultative endosymbionts (see Glossary) that can challenge the 331 immune system through increasing immune cell counts (i.e. granulocytes and plasmatocytes; 332 333 Laughton et al., 2016). The results above suggest that intracellular symbionts are generally, but not always (e.g. Graham et al., 2012; Sinotte et al., 2018) beneficial to the immunity of their 334 insect hosts. Indeed, the presence of symbionts at varying densities may involve a trade-off 335 336 between their impact on host immunity and metabolic resources (Whittle et al., 2021).

337 Fungal symbionts

Insects generally also have fungal symbionts, which may reside extra- or intra-cellularly 338 (Gibson and Hunter, 2010). Despite being neglected in many earlier studies on insect 339 symbioses, there has been an emerging interest in the role of insect-fungal symbioses in 340 immunity (Brentassi et al., 2017; Cappelli et al., 2014; Gibson and Hunter, 2010; Meriggi et 341 al., 2019; Tauber et al., 2019). Symbiotic fungi provide some developmental and survival 342 benefits to their hosts (Brentassi et al., 2017), and can produce antimicrobial molecules 343 (Cappelli et al., 2014). In the paper wasp (Polistes dominula) infected by Escherichia coli 344 bacteria, yeast strains reduced the E. coli load in foundresses but not in workers (Meriggi et al., 345 2019). Associated with their enhanced immunity, wasp foundresses with prior yeast treatment 346 show increased diversity and changes in the composition of their gut bacterial communities, 347 but without changes in their fungal communities (Meriggi et al., 2019). Further, when 348 349 honeybees are exposed to the pathogenic fungus Nosema ceranae, the growth of the bacterial

symbiont *Lactobacillus* Firm-5 is supported when young individuals are fed *Wickerhamomyces anomalus* yeast, which contributes to the honeybee's immune health (Tauber et al., 2019).
Fungi might have caste- and developmental stage-specific direct involvement in immunity, or
may be involved indirectly by supporting the growth of other important symbionts.

354 Symbionts as a link between insect host nutrition and immunity

355 Understanding the direct effect of symbionts on immunity and resistance to infection is challenging. The first challenge is to define a healthy composition of the symbiont communities 356 357 inhabiting the host. Studies usually compare the symbiont composition of treated animals to control ones, and, in parallel, measure some physiological and life history traits to inform on 358 the health status of the individuals. The composition of the symbiont communities also changes 359 with physiological parameters such as age and developmental stage (Wang et al., 2020), 360 implying that parameters need to be well controlled during experiments. Diet can influence the 361 composition of the symbiont communities. 16S rRNA sequencing of Hermetia illucens gut 362 microbiota showed, for instance, strong differences between soybean and insect diet groups in 363 both type and relative abundance of microbial species (Zhineng et al., 2021). Some recent 364 studies have attempted to explore the impact of insect diet on their immunity in relation to their 365 microbiota. In greater wax moth (Galleria mellonella) larvae, a more diverse diet is associated 366 with a higher number of Enterococci in the microbiota, which may be linked to a greater 367 expression of AMP genes (Krams et al., 2017). A greater expression level of AMPs is also 368 induced when soldier fly larvae have a high inhibition of Gram-negative bacteria linked to 369 370 high-protein diets (Vogel et al., 2018). In another study, Maes et al. (2016) demonstrated that aged diet (i.e. when hive frames were housed with 500 adult bees at 50% humidity and 35 °C 371 372 until bees reached an average of 14 and 21 days old) leads to a dysbiosis of the gut microbiota (see Glossary), involving a reduction in the numbers of the core symbiont, S. alvi, but an 373 374 increase in the amount of the pathogenic bacteria Frischella perrara and Parasacharibacter 375 apium (Cariveau et al. 2014; Engel et al. 2015). Gut dysbiosis in honeybees results in decreased 376 survival and colonisation by another pathogenic fungus, *Nosema*; this can be prevented by the core symbiont S. alvi taking up residence in the gut in early development (Maes et al., 2016). 377 378 Castelli et al. (2020) examined whether nutritional stress simultaneously affects honeybee gut microbiota, immunity and infection by Nosema. Honeybees fed a nutritionally poor diet (i.e. 379 Eucalyptus grandis pollen) showed a lower abundance of Lactobacillus Firm-4, Firm-5 and 380 Bifidobacterium spp. in their gut, and a higher abundance of Bartonella apis compared to bees 381

fed a polyfloral pollen. They also observed a decrease in the expression levels of vitellogenin
and genes associated with immunity (glucose oxidase, hymenoptaecin and lysozyme).

Work on the African armyworm, *Spodoptera exempta*, also shows the importance of the microbiota in immunity. When larvae of this species were brought into the laboratory and fed a semi-synthetic diet (i.e. a wheatgerm-based diet including a broad-spectrum antibiotic), their gut microbial diversity was reduced and this led to a reduction in their capacity to resist infection with the baculovirus *Spodoptera exempta* nucleopolyhedrovirus (Donkersley et al., 2023). The capacity to resist the virus was greatly improved when the gut microbiota was restored following a shift onto a diet supplemented with field-collected armyworm faeces.

391 Overall, studies on the interplay between nutrition, symbionts and infection in insects convincingly show that a healthy microbiota corresponds to effective immune responses, which 392 in turn depends on host dietary conditions. Immune responses of insects are affected by their 393 nutrition, with the microbiota modulating this interaction. Although high microbiota diversity 394 seems to have immunological benefits generally, this is not always the case (Yoon et al., 2019). 395 Within the symbionts inhabiting the insect body, multiple taxa may interact to either 396 compromise insect host immunity (Fast et al., 2020) or synergistically enhance the capability 397 of individuals to fight infections (Consuegra et al., 2020; Oliver et al., 2014; Xie et al., 2014), 398 making the combined transient and resident symbionts important. Apart from bacterial 399 communities and yeasts, other kingdoms such as viruses, archaea and protozoa might also be 400 important (Gurung et al., 2019) and may be influenced by nutrition. Only a small number of 401 402 studies in insects have investigated the combined effects of food quality on symbiont communities, immunity and resistance. Hence, the functional relationships between symbionts, 403 404 diet and infection remain to be fully explored.

405

406 How nutrition affects disease resistance throughout development and across generations

There is extensive evidence across taxa that diet quality and access to specific nutrients, both during early development and in the parental generation, affects the development and performance of the immune system. Nutrition in early development plays a key role in the maturation of the immune system (Calder et al., 2006). If nutritional needs are not met during the critical period of early development, the immune system may be ineffective upon infection 412 or even over-sensitised against innocuous and beneficial antigens (Calder et al., 2006; Nwaru
413 et al., 2010; Vassallo and Camargo Jr, 2010).

414 We know from studies on mammalian species that nutrition may also have effects on the immune system across generations. Individuals may be more susceptible to diseases if relatives 415 from previous generations have suffered from malnutrition (Barker, 2004; Bateson et al., 2004; 416 De Boo and Harding, 2006). In general, the effects of diet and nutrition during development or 417 across generations can be considered under two alternative (but not necessarily exclusive) 418 hypotheses. On the one hand, these could be seen as 'silver spoon effects', whereby high-419 quality diet enhances the immune response of the adult (in terms of developmental effects) or 420 421 offspring (for transgenerational effects; Monaghan, 2008). On the other hand, such effects can also be seen as a form of 'predictive adaptive response' (PAR) or 'immune priming', whereby 422 423 low-quality diet is indicative of a more pathogenic environment; thus, individuals exposed to a low-quality diet during development or in the parental generation show a heightened immune 424 425 response (Gluckman et al., 2005; Little et al., 2003). Whether empirical findings fit one hypothesis or another depends on the type of dietary manipulation, the immune assay 426 427 conducted and the life history of the species in question. Here, we highlight how studies in insects provide unique insights into the evolution and mechanisms by which nutrition affects 428 429 immunity through development and across generations. This section also proposes methods to 430 further test alternative hypotheses explaining the immunological impact of insect nutrition.

431 Nutrition impacts insect immunity during development

In insects, nutrition during juvenile stages has a significant impact on the development of adults (Koyama et al., 2013; Mirth and Shingleton, 2012; Nijhout, 2003; Nijhout et al., 2014). For example, when larvae of the greater wax moth are experimentally provided with a low-nutrition diet (i.e. diluted diet), they develop into adults with lower body mass and require longer to develop (Kangassalo et al., 2018). The immune development of insects is negatively affected by poor nutrition during larval development with affected adults showing a lower encapsulation strength (Kangassalo et al., 2018; Wilson et al., 2019).

Priming effects have also been observed, whereby larvae experience low nutritional conditions but, as a result, develop into adults with enhanced immune responses in anticipation of suboptimal conditions (Wang et al., 2016). For example, when mosquito larvae are reared with nutrient limitation caused by higher food competition, the resulting adults show reduced

immune responses (i.e. melanisation and antibacterial responses) when exposed to the 443 insecticide permethrin (Hauser and Koella, 2020). Such priming effects may be more prevalent 444 in holometabolous insects in comparison to hemimetabolous species, given that the larval stage 445 requires greater adaptive plasticity compared to the later adult stage, as larvae tend to show a 446 reduced ecological range relative to the adults. This means that they have less opportunity to 447 448 alter their nutritional state through their diet and modulate their immune system in this manner (English and Barreaux, 2020). Further, predicting the effect of larval diet in adults is likely 449 450 dependent on reproductive strategies (e.g. some adults do not feed, live for only a few days and 451 then die); further investigation is needed to explore this.

452 Transgenerational effects of nutrition on insect immunity

The experimental studies cited above highlight how nutrition in development is important for 453 later immunity at adulthood. However, these effects can also occur across generations in the 454 form of a carry-over or priming effect (Uller et al., 2013). Parental nutrition in insects affects 455 offspring immunity: poor nutrition has been shown to have negative effects across generations. 456 For example, in a study on Indian meal moths (Plodia interpunctella), offspring immune 457 reactivity is reduced when either parent received poor nutrition, with further reduction in 458 immunity when both parents receive poor nutrition (Triggs and Knell, 2012). By contrast, 459 parental nutrition effects can also influence offspring responses in potentially adaptive ways. 460 In the greater wax moth, a maternal low-nutrition diet increases the survival of offspring when 461 462 exposed to the pathogenic fungus Beauveria bassiana (Kangassalo et al., 2015). The results 463 from Kangassalo et al. (2015) support the hypothesis that poor parental nutrition is being used as an indication of the resources that are likely to be available to the offspring. If the offspring 464 465 have fewer resources to fuel their immune systems, then selection may favour parents that give their offspring an immunological 'helping hand'. This mechanism may also provide the 466 467 offspring with a predictive cue to allow them to adapt and maximise their fitness in a poor 468 environment (Uller et al., 2013).

When the parental diet also includes pathogenic agents, this can affect offspring immunity through a phenomenon known as 'transgenerational immune priming' (TGIP), which is likely to be an adaptive effect whereby parents prepare their offspring's immune system for encounters with common pathogens (e.g. Futo et al., 2017; Little et al., 2003; Moret, 2006). For example, when female larvae of the greater wax moth are provided diets containing pathogenic bacteria, the eggs deposited by the developed females show an induced expression of various immunity-related genes (Freitak et al., 2014). The transmission of dietary bacteria
was detected from the gut of mothers to their haemocoel, followed by the ovary and lastly in
the outer membrane of the laid eggs (Freitak et al., 2014).

In the context of TGIP, microbes that are consumed may be considered as nutritional 478 supplements, akin to probiotics in foods. These 'probiotics' are known to enhance immunity 479 across a wide range of insect orders (Grau et al., 2017; Maruščáková et al., 2020; Msaad 480 Guerfali et al., 2021; Rossoni et al., 2017). Ideally, 'dietary pathogens' and nutrition should be 481 482 studied separately: for example, in a study of cabbage looper moths (*Trichoplusia ni*), Shikano et al. (2015) separated the factors of dietary pathogens and nutritional quality in parental diets. 483 484 When moth offspring are fed the same bacterial pathogen than their parents, the offspring's resistance to the pathogen and antibacterial activity increase tremendously in comparison to 485 486 those of offspring whose parents are not exposed to the pathogen. This enhanced immunity is not observed when offspring are exposed to a pathogen that had not been encountered by their 487 parents (Shikano et al., 2015). By contrast, when parents consume diets of lower nutritional 488 quality, the nutritional stress tolerance of their offspring is increased, as is their resistance to 489 490 both pathogens (Shikano et al., 2015). Moreover, when both pathogenic and nutritional 491 stressors are applied, the cross-generation transfer of pathogen resistance takes precedence over nutritional stress tolerance (Shikano et al., 2015). Taken together, these results emphasise the 492 493 potential trade-offs between TGIP and the transfer of nutritional stress tolerance. This tradeoff may be environment dependent as, for example, TGIP would confer greater fitness 494 advantages in an environment where disease risk is high compared to a resource-depleted 495 environment. 496

497 The effects of parental diet and nutrition can be sex-specific, although the results from some studies can be complex. In some cases, the diet of one parent but not the other has an effect. In 498 499 the greater wax moth example discussed above (Kangassalo et al., 2015), the effects on 500 offspring survival during pathogen exposure are evident only when the maternal diet is 501 manipulated, but there was no effect of paternal diet. In a study by Zirbel and Alto (2018) on mosquitoes, both maternal and paternal effects were shown to interact and significantly 502 503 enhance the survival of their larval offspring when infected by the dengue virus. Specifically, there was a greater positive effect on offspring immunity when diets differed between fathers 504 and mothers (which received a low and high quantity of food, respectively), compared to 505 offspring from parents that were both fed a high quantity of food (Zirbel and Alto, 2018). In 506

the cabbage looper moth, TGIP has been shown to be provided by not just mothers, but also by 507 fathers (Freitak et al., 2009). When both parents are reared on diets containing bacteria, 508 offspring upregulate their immune enzymatic activities, immune protein expression and 509 immune gene transcription when immune-challenged by a bacterial injection (Freitak et al., 510 2009). Most insects provide relatively little paternal care and resources to their offspring; 511 fathers may compensate for this by priming their sperm following bacterial exposure, to 512 transmit epigenetic factors that enhance the offspring's resistance to diseases and parasitism 513 514 (Ashe and Whitelaw, 2007).

Although studies have shown both positive and negative effects of parental diet on offspring 515 516 immunity, there are also several studies showing no effect. For example, in the Queensland fruit fly, there was no significant effect of either parental diet on offspring immunity when the 517 offspring were infected by the pathogenic bacterium Serratia marcescens (Dinh et al., 2021). 518 Given the mixed results and interpretations, the transgenerational effects of insect nutrition on 519 520 immunity can indeed be complex and depend on factors determining the mechanistic link between nutrition and immunity (e.g. the type of diet manipulation and infection assay) and the 521 522 potential adaptive nature of such effects (e.g. whether a diet cue in the parental generation indicates a likely pathogen threat). Hence, future studies may further explore the effects of 523 524 parental diet on insect immunity across several generations, focusing on a broad range of 525 immune-related measurements.

526 In summary, insect nutrition impacts immunity both developmentally and across generations, 527 although the effects are complex. To elucidate the mechanisms and test potential adaptive explanations, further experimental studies could incorporate diverse experimental systems 528 529 exhibiting a high likelihood of adaptive effects, such as insects with short generation times and those that display a clear link between infection with a pathogen and the subsequent 530 531 measurement of pathogen load. As a result, comparative work could be carried out to identify 532 general patterns of insect nutrition on immunity, while highlighting the life history of different insects and types of nutritional manipulation and immune assay across empirical studies. As 533 also discussed above, dietary microbes seem to closely interact with the insect host microbiota 534 535 to affect immunity (Ponton et al., 2011; Tetreau et al., 2019). Given the crucial role of the microbiota, future studies may investigate whether developmental or parental nutrition effects 536 act directly on the immune system, or whether the immune system operates indirectly through 537 nutritional effects on the microbiota. 538

539 Nutritional immunology and sociality

Animals do not exist in isolation, and how they interact with other individuals can impact: i) their nutrition, through how and what they eat; ii) their immunity, through variable exposure to types and abundances of parasites, and through the direct or environmental social transfer of immune molecules (Masri and Cremer, 2014); and iii) their microbiome, which plays a role in both nutrition and immunity (see above), through direct or indirect transfer of symbionts.

Insects cover all levels of sociality; from solitary, where adults interact only during mating, through various forms of semi-social and social interactions, including species with biparental care and cooperative breeders, through to fully eusocial, where there is a strict division of labour, sterile castes and overlapping generations (West et al., 2006). In addition, many species, although not social in the evolutionary sense, occur at high densities, in aggregates or gregarious groups, where many individuals gather to access resources or increase survival.

551 Parasite transmission is typically density dependent (McCallum et al., 2001); therefore, sociality can influence the level of individual exposure to parasites. In solitary individuals, the 552 553 risk of parasite exposure is usually stochastic, but it is likely to be lower than that experienced by social individuals due to less frequent interactions with conspecifics (except for 'solitary' 554 555 species that occur at very high densities, as commonly it occurs in many lepidoptera (e.g. viruses in African armyworm; Rose et al., 2000). Beyond parasites, an organism's sociality can 556 557 also impact the microbiota they are exposed to at various life stages. The exchange and maintenance of symbionts through sociality facilitates the sharing of characteristic and 558 beneficial microbiota, including those required for nutrition and pathogen defense (Engel et al., 559 2012). Although solitary individuals still have some methods and opportunities to transfer 560 microbial symbionts (discussed in Engel and Moran, 2013; Voulgari-Kokota et al., 2019), they 561 are comparatively limited. 562

The social environment in which an individual lives and grows will also influence how it forages, and the type of food it consumes. In solitary species, individuals may be entirely independent in their choice of food (e.g. Auerbach and Simberloff, 1989), or constrained in their dietary choices (Austin and Gilbert, 2021). Social species will always control offspring diet to some degree but offspring can signal their needs (Hendriksma et al., 2019; Lihoreau et al., 2015; Royle et al., 2012; Dussutour and Simpson, 2008; Kraus et al., 2019; Pernal and Currie, 2002). These differing levels of nutritional control mean that the ability of an individual to adjust its intake in response to infection may be dependent on changing the foraging
behaviour of others (but see Simpson and Raubenheimer, 2012 for ingestional mechanisms to
modify the nutrients digested, absorbed and assimilated).

573 Sociality can also play a role in an individual's immunological investment. First, in 574 'outbreaking' species, where population density fluctuates across time and space, many species 575 have evolved plastic investment responses, whereby immunity is ramped up in the presence of 576 conspecifics to combat the increased risk of parasite transmission; this is known as density-577 dependent prophylaxis (DDP, Wilson and Cotter, 2009; 2013; Wilson and Reeson, 1998). We 578 might therefore expect that group-living species would invest more heavily in their immune 579 systems than those that typically live alone (but see Wilson et al., 2003).

As discussed above, solitary species have been shown to alter their intake of nutrients to fight 580 infections, but how would such a response be achievable on a collective scale? We know that 581 within social insect systems there is often some form of feedback between the larvae and the 582 adults in terms of nutritional requirements (especially in progressively provisioning systems 583 where adults feed larvae directly after hatching until complete development). Honeybee 584 individuals, for example, show the ability to forage differentially to make up for nutrient 585 deficiencies (Hendriksma and Shafir, 2016). Adult social bees also show different foraging 586 587 behaviours when infected by certain parasites (e.g. Ferguson et al., 2018; Table 1), and both honeybees and bumblebees can adapt at the colony level to changes in nutrient requirements 588 589 (Hendriksma et al., 2019, see also in ants: Dussutour and Simpson, 2009). It stands to reason, 590 then, that social insects could also alter their colony-level foraging behaviour in response to infection, because an individual's nutrition has a direct impact on its ability to respond 591 592 immunologically (Danihlik et al., 2018). Furthermore, nutrition can affect group-level defenses (Kay et al., 2014). Thus, there is scope for colonies to self-medicate, not simply altering 593 594 individual-level immune responses, but social immune responses too. More research is needed 595 to understand how sociality may interact with the interplay between immunity and nutrition in 596 invertebrates.

597

598 Conclusion and perspectives

599 For a long time, the immune system of insects has been considered as non-complex and non-600 specific. Yet, studies in recent decades have shown that insect immunity can be trained and

primed (see for recent review Lanz-Mendoza and Contreras-Garduno, 2021), and that it is 601 influenced by a variety of biotic and abiotic factors. In this Review, we have shown that the 602 nutritional environment of insects is an essential factor influencing their immunity and 603 resistance/tolerance to infections. It is interesting to note that although the metabolic pathways 604 and innate immunity are highly conserved across invertebrates, their interactions can be highly 605 specific to individual groups/species. This is certainly due to the large diversity of social forms, 606 life cycles and environments in which insects live, leading to specific responses that may or 607 may not be evolutionarily adaptive. Investigating the factors that interact with the interplay 608 609 between nutrition and resistance gives a more comprehensive and integrative understanding of the complex field of nutritional immunology. In the future, pathogen exposure, nutrition, 610 immune function, sociality and the gut microbiome should be considered individually and in 611 combination when attempting to understand an individual's nutritional immunology. The next 612 research exploring the depths, intricates and mechanisms of these components and their 613 impacts in more detail will advance our understanding of nutritional immunology. 614

In terms of the bigger picture, understanding how changes in the environment influence the 615 616 fitness costs associated with infections is essential when deploying conservation measures for insect populations that have been steeply declining in the last few years (Seibold et al., 2019). 617 For instance, the recent development of natural probiotic treatments (i.e. using native 618 619 microbiome strains) is a promising route for protecting insect health and, more particularly, pollinators' health (Motta et al., 2022). The development of this field of research directly results 620 from knowledge regarding the interactions between metabolism, gut microbiota, immunity, 621 resistance and the food sources that insects forage on. Other fields that may benefit from a 622 greater understanding of nutritional immunological interactions include novel approaches to 623 insect pest management (e.g. Qadri et al., 2020; Xu et al. 2020, 2022), disease vector control 624 (Caragata et al., 2013; Kho et al., 2016; Hoffmann et al., 2011), insect conservation (van Rijn 625 and Wackers, 2016; Vaudo et al., 2015;), and insects as food and feed (Qian et al., 2022; 626 Rumpold and Schluter, 2013). In this Review, we have focused our discussion on a few factors 627 influencing the interaction between nutrition and resistance; however, other environmental 628 629 conditions - such as temperature and pesticides- might also be of importance to nutrition and resistance, and further discussion is needed. The field of eco-immunology may, in the future, 630 631 offer solutions to help insect populations to survive our changing world.

633 Funding

- 634 Tan Y.X. PhD scholarship is supported by the Australian Department of Agriculture, Fisheries
- and Forestry and Macquarie University. Forster C. PhD scholarship is supported by Macquarie
- 636 University.
- 637

638 **Table and Figure legend**

Table 1: List of the studies that have investigated the effects of nutritional manipulationimmune traits, resistance and tolerance to infection in insects.

641 Figure 1: Framework of some of the proximate mechanisms modulating innate

- 642 **immunity through the diet.** Akt, Protein kinase B; Dif, Dorsal-related immunity factor;
- 643 FOXO, Forkhead box; ILS, Insulin-like signaling; Imd, Immune deficiency signaling; InR,
- Insulin-like receptor; MEF2, Myocyte enhancer factor-2; TOR, Target of rapamycin; S6K, S6
- 645 kinase; Toll, Toll signaling pathway. Not all connections between molecules and pathways
- 646 are shown on the figure.

Table 1

| Model system | Immune challenge or immune measurements | Developmental stage | Nutritional treatment (how the diet was manipulated) | Results | Reference |
|--|--|------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|
| Hymenoptera | | | | | |
| | Challenged naturally with deformed wing virus (DWV) and infection with the microsporidian Nosema ceranae | Adult | Diet with or without pollen | Diet with pollen decreased mortality due to DWV but increase the number of <i>Nosema</i> spores. | Tritschler et al., 2017 |
| | Measure immuno- competence, no infection | Adult | Mono or polyfloral pollen diet | Pollen diversity but not quantity increased immuno- competence levels. | Alaux et al., 2010 |
| European honeybee, Apis millefera | Infection with the fungal parasites Aspergillus flavus, Aspergillus phoenicis and A. fumigatus | Larva | Mono or polyfloral pollen diet | Polyfloral pollens feeding enhanced resistance to parasitic fungi. | Foley et al., 2012 |
| | Infection with the deformed wing virus (DWV) | Adult | Dietary ratio of protein-to-fat | DWV concentration decreased as the fat content in the diet increased. | Alshukri and Al- Esawy, 2021 |
| | Immuno- competence, no infection | Adult | Dietary pollen composition | Lowest expression level of antimicrobials in bees fed no pollen and varied with pollen type. | Danihlik et al., 2018 |
| Common Eastern bumblebee, <i>Bombus</i> impatiens | Infection with the gut parasite Crithidia bombi | Adult | Dietary concentration of anabasine (a nectar alkaloid) | High-anabasine diet decreased parasitic load but did not influence survival time. | Anthony et al., 2015 |

| | Infection with the gut parasite <i>Crithidia bombi</i> | Adult | Diet varying in eight naturally occurring nectar chemicals | Secondary metabolites reduced parasitic load, but did not influence survival time. | Richardson et al., 2015 |
|---|---|-------------|---|---|-------------------------------|
| Buff-tailed bumblebee, | Infection with the microsporidia Nosema ceranae | Adult | Dietary protein- to-carbohydrate ratio (P:C) | Insects fed low P:C diet showed the highest parasite prevalence but lived the longest. | Gomez-moracho et al., 2021 |
| Bombus terrestris | Infection with the gut parasite Crithidia bombi | Adult | Dietary pollen concentration | Insects fed no pollen showed reduced immune responses to infection. | Brunner et al., 2014 |
| Lepidoptera | | | | | |
| Monarch butterfly <i>, Danaus</i> plexippus | Infection with the protozoan Ophryocystis elektroscirrha | Caterpillar | Fed two milkweed species that differed in their levels of cardenolides: toxic chemicals involved in predator defence | Parasite infection, replication and virulence were affected by host plant species. Greater reduction in lifespan on low-cardenolide vs. the high- cardenolide host plant. | de Roode et al., 2008 |
| Fall armyworm, Spodoptera frugiperda; beet armyworm, Spodoptera exigua; corn earworm, Helicoverpa zea) | Infection with the bacterium Serratia marcescens | Caterpillar | Total quantity of nutrients | Low nutrient diet increased mortality from infection for beet armyworm and corn earworm but not for fall armyworm. | Kangassalo et al., 2015 |
| African armyworm, Spodoptera exempta | Infection with the bacterium <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> . | Caterpillar | Dietary protein and carbohydrate ratio (P:C) | Survival after infection increased with increasing P:C. Increase in antibacterial activity, phenoloxidase | Povey et al., 2009 |

| | | | | activity and protein levels in the haemolymph as dietary protein level increased. | |
|--|--|-------------|--|--|---------------------|
| African armyworm, Spodoptera exempta | Infection with the nucleopolyhedrov irus SpexNPV | Caterpillar | Dietary protein and carbohydrate ratio (P:C) | Survival after infection increased with increasing P:C. Increase in antibacterial activity, phenoloxidase activity, haemocyte density and protein levels in the haemolymph as dietary protein level increased. | Povey et al., 2014 |
| | Infection with the nucleopolyhedrov irus SlittMNPV | Caterpillar | Dietary protein and carbohydrate ratio (P:C) | Greater influence of dietary protein on resistance and constitutive immunity function than carbohydrate. | Lee et al., 2006 |
| Egyptian cotton leafworm, Spodoptera littoralis | Infection with the bacterium <i>Micrococcus lysodeikticus</i> (lyophilised cells) | Caterpillar | Dietary protein and carbohydrate ratio (P:C) | Neither constitutive or induced immune responses were limited by the total quantity of nutrients consumed and different immune traits responded differently to variation in P:C (diet quality). | Cotter et al., 2010 |
| | Infection with live or dead bacterium Xenorhabdus nematophila | Caterpillar | Dietary protein and carbohydrate ratio (P:C) | Immune gene expression peaked at moderate protein and high carbohydrate after infection. Gene expression and functional immune | Cotter et al., 2019 |

| | | | | responses were generally only correlated on diets with relatively high levels of protein. | |
|---|---|-------------|--|---|----------------------------|
| | Infection with the bacterium Xenorhabdus nematophila | Caterpillar | Dietary protein and carbohydrate ratio (P:C) | Bacteria grew slower and caterpillars died slower on high protein diets. | Wilson et al., 2020 |
| Greater wax moth, <i>Galleria</i> <i>mellonella</i> | Immuno- competence, no infection | Caterpillar | Diets of high and average nutritional quality | Greatest encapsulation response on the low-energy food. | Krams et al., 2015 |
| Tobacco budworm, Heliothis virescens | Infection with Autographa californica multiple nucleopolyhedrov irus AcMNPV | Caterpillar | Dietary ascorbic acid concentration | Larvae fed an ascorbic acid-free diet showed signs of infection much earlier than larvae fed control levels of ascorbic acid, as well as greater mortality post-infection. | Popham and Shelby, 2009 |
| Cabbage looper, Trichoplusia ni | Infection with Autographa californica multiple nucleopolyhedrov irus AcMNPV | Caterpillar | Dietary selenium concentration | Larvae fed selenium in the final instars were more resistant to viral infection than larvae not fed selenium. | Popham et al., 2005 |
| Tobacco hornworm, Manduca sexta | Infection with the bacterium Serratia marcescens or the fungus Beauveria bassiana | Caterpillar | Food limitation | Food limitation altered immunity and reduced resistance to bacteria but appeared to have less effect on resistance to fungal infection. | Adamo et al., 2016 |
| | Immuno- competence, no infection | Caterpillar | Variation in dietary protein and carbohydrate content | Immune components varied in response to interactions between carbohydrates, | Wilson et al., 2019 |

| | | | | protein, and intake, but protein reductions had the largest impacts—mostly detrimental. | |
|--|--|--------------------------|---|---|----------------------------|
| Anicia checkerspot, Euphydryas anicia | Immuno- competence, no infection | Caterpillar | Plant secondary metabolites | Plant secondary metabolites in the diet decreased immuno- competence. | Kelly and Bowers, 2018 |
| Grapevine pest, Eupoecilia ambiguella | Infection with the heat-killed bacterium Arthrobacter globiformis | Caterpillar | Addition of berries from different grape varieties in diet | Total concentration of haemocytes was influenced by grape variety. Grape variety affected differently the concentration of specific haemocytes. | Vogelweith et al., 2016 |
| Monarch butterfly <i>, Danaus</i> plexippus | Infection with the protozoan parasite, Ophryocystis elektroscirrha | Caperpillar and adult | Food restriction | Food restriction lowered haemocyte concentration at larval stage and phenoloxidase activity at larval and adult stage. Food restriction did not increase parasite infection. | McKay et al., 2016 |
| Greater wax moth <i>, Galleria</i> <i>mellonella</i> | Immuno- competence, no infection | Caterpillar | Dietary nutritional value and diversity | Parasitic load and expression of some antimicrobials increased in response to a diverse diet, which in turn decreased encapsulation rate. | Krams et al., 2017 |

| Velvetbean caterpillar, Anticarsia gemmatalis | Infection with the virus <i>Baculovirus anticarsia</i> | Caterpillar | Plant-based vs. artificial diet | More haemocytes and greater survival post-infection for larvae fed the artificial diet. | Costantin et al., 2022 |
|--|--|-----------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| Indian meal moth <i>, Plodia</i> interpunctella | Immuno- competence, no infection | Caterpillar | Diet restriction and four diets including walnut, pistachio, pea and raisin, and artificial diets. | Declining total haemocyte count and phenoloxidase activity with increasing starvation duration. The type of diet also influenced the number of immune cells and phenoloxidase activity. | Ebrahimi and Ajamhassani, 2020 |
| Diptera | | | | | |
| Mosquito, Anopheles coluzzii | Infection with Plasmodium berghei | Larva and adult | Three fish larval diets | Composition of the larval diet influenced the prevalence and intensity of the infection in adults. | Linenberg et al., 2016 |
| Mosquito, Aedes aegypti | Infection with the filarial nematode, Brugia malayi | Adult | Nutrient limitation | Following a fructose diet susceptibility to <i>B. malayi</i> decreased with age; however, after frequent blood meals, the decline in susceptibility with age was considerably less. | Ariani et al., 2015 |
| Vinegar fly, Drosophila melanogaster | infection with the bacterium Providencia rettgeri | Adult | Dietary sugar quantity | Genotype-by-diet interactions shaped tolerance but not resistance. Diet- dependent positive | Howich and Lazzaro, 2014 |

| | | | | relationship between resistance and tolerance. | |
|---|---|-----------------|--|--|------------------------------|
| | Infection with bacterium Micrococcus luteus | Adult | Dietary protein- to-carbohydrate ratio (P:C) | Survival post- infection and antimicrobial expression in naïve flies greater when flies were fed a low P:C (carbohydrate- biased diet). | Ponton et al., 2020 |
| | Infection with bacterium Pectobacterium carotovorum | Larva and adult | Food restriction | Dietary protein restriction induced a decrease in survivorship post- infection and antimicrobials. | Meshrif et al., 2022 |
| | Infection with the bacteria Escherichia coli and Lactococcus lactis | Adult | Protein restriction | Diet did not affect resistance to any bacterial strain. Evidence for diet-induced and time- dependent variation in host tolerance to <i>E.</i> <i>coli</i> , but not to <i>L.</i> <i>lactis.</i> | Kutzer and Armitage, 2016 |
| | Infection with the bacterium Providencia rettgeri | Adult | High-glucose versus low- glucose diet | Elevated dietary glucose resulted in higher pathogen loads. | Unckless et al., 2015 |
| Queensland fruit fly, Bactrocera tryoni | Infection with the bacterium Serratia marcescens | Adult | Protein-to- carbohydrate ratio (P:C) | Flies fed a carbohydrate- biased diet had a greater survival post-infection and a lower bacterial load. | Dinh et al., 2019 |

| Black soldier fly, Hermetia illucens | Infection with the bacteria Escherichia coli, Micrococcus Iuteus, Pseudomonas fluorescens and Bacillus subtilis (added to the diet) | Larva | The addition of sulfonated lignin, cellulose, chitin, brewer's grains or sunflower oil in the diet | Highest levels of antimicrobials expression on diets supplemented with protein or sunflower oil. | Vogel et al., 2018 |
|---|--|-------|---|---|----------------------------|
| Orthoptera | | | | | |
| Australian plague locust, Chortoicetes terminifera | Challenge with fungus Metarhizium acridum | Adult | Protein-to- carbohydrate ratio (P:C) | Highest survival but lowest haemolymph protein, haemocyte density and antimicrobial activity on low P:C diet. | Graham et al., 2014 |
| Coleoptera | | | | | |
| Burrying beetle, Nicrophorus vespilloides | Infection with the bacterium Photorhabdus Iuminescens | Adult | Fat-to-protein ratio (F:P) | Survival much higher on diets with high F:P; phenoloxidase activity increased with increasing dietary fat. | Miller and Cotter, 2017 |

651 Box 1

652 The Geometric Framework for Nutritional Immunology

There is now clear evidence that the diet composition influences the immune state and the 653 resistance to infection. Dietary manipulations have typically focused on modulating the 654 quantity of single food components, which results in not only varying the caloric content but 655 also the ratio of nutrients in the diet, simultaneously. Hence, these protocols do not allow 656 measuring the effects of specific food components or their ratios on immunity. There is 657 however growing evidence that taking into account the interactive effects of diet components 658 is important to give a better ecological understanding of how nutrition interacts with 659 immunity and resistance to infection (Cotter et al., 2019, 2011; Simpson & Raubenheimer, 660 2012). This can be achieved by manipulating the ratio of nutrients in experimental diets (at a 661 constant caloric content), and measuring the effects of imbalanced foods, where the ratio of 662 ingested nutrients deviates from the usual diet ingested by the organisms (the so-called intake 663 664 target, Simpson and Raubenheimer, 2012).

666 Glossary

- 667 <u>Cellular immunity</u>: Immune responses mediated by cell responses, including nodulation,
 668 encapsulation and phagocytosis.
- 669 <u>Dysbiosis</u>: An imbalance or a disruption in the gut microbial community. Dysbiosis includes
- both a gain or loss of community members and a change in the relative abundance of thedifferent members.
- 672 <u>Encapsulation</u>: A cellular immune response used against pathogens. This immune response is
- activated when the pathogens are too large to be engulfed. Haemocytes (immune cells) react
- by creating a multi-layered cellular capsule around the foreign body. <u>Endosymbionts</u>:
- 675 Symbionts that are found inside host cells or speciliazed organs sometimes called bacteriome.
- 676 <u>Facultative symbionts</u>: Symbionts that are not generally required for the survival or
- 677 reproduction of their host. These symbionts can play beneficial, neutral or detrimental roles678 in shaping the biological and ecological traits of their hosts.
- 679 Fat body: A dynamic tissue that is involved in multiple metabolic functions. One of these
- functions is to store and release energy in response to the energy demands of the insect.
- 681 <u>Haemocytes</u>: Immune effector cells that participate in cellular and humoral defenses.
- Hemimetabolous insects: Insects that transition directly from larva to adult, without a pupal
 stage.
- 684 <u>Holidic diets</u>: Diets that consist of entirely chemically defined ingredients.
- Holometabolous insects: Insects that transition from larva to adult through a metamorphosis
 (i.e. pupal stage).
- 687 <u>Humoral immunity</u>: Immune responses mediated by molecules, including the production of
- antimicrobial peptides, activation of prophenoloxidase (proPO) and production of reactiveoxygen species.
- 690 <u>Immune priming:</u> The ability to resist infections if previously exposed to a sub-lethal
- 691 inoculum or a stress event.<u>Innate immunity:</u> Innate immune responses are the first line of
- 692 defence against intruding pathogens. The mechanisms of innate immunity are highly
- 693 evolutionary conserved between invertebrates and vertebrates.
- 694 <u>Lytic activity</u>: Immune response driven by proteolytic and hydrolytic enzymes that hydrolyse695 peptide bonds.
- <u>Micro-nutrient</u>: Nutrients that are usually needed by the body in very small quantities, such as
 vitamins and minerals.
- Macro-nutrient: Nutrients that are usually needed by the body in large quantities, such as
 carbohydrates, fat and proteins.
- 700 <u>Microsporidia:</u> Microsporidia form a group of spore-forming unicellular
- 701 parasites.<u>Nodulation</u>: An immune process that involves the aggregation of cells to entrap
- 702 microorganisms.
- 703 <u>Obligate symbionts:</u> Symbionts essential for the survival and/or reproduction of their host.

- Pathogen virulence: The ability of a pathogen to infect and/or to cause damage to its host
 tissues.
- 706 <u>Phagocytosis</u>: An immune cellular process where large particles are ingested and eliminated.
- 707 <u>Phenoloxidase activity</u>: A defense system that ultimately leads to the melanization of
- 708 pathogens and damaged tissues.
- 709 <u>Tolerance to infection</u>: A defence strategy that does have a direct negative effect on the host
- 710 pathogen load, but relies on the control of the mechanisms involved on tissue damage during711 an infection.

712 **References**

Abbott, J. (2014). Self-medication in insects: current evidence and future perspectives. *Ecol. Entomol.* 39(3), 273-280.

Abrisqueta, M., Süren-Castillo, S. and Maestro, J.L. (2014). Insulin receptor-mediated
nutritional signalling regulates juvenile hormone biosynthesis and vitellogenin production in
the German cockroach. *Insect Biochem Mol. Biol.* 49, 14-23.

- Adamo, S.A., Davies, G., Easy, R., Kovalko, I. and Turnbull, K.F. (2016). Reconfiguration of
 the immune system network during food limitation in the caterpillar *Manduca sexta*. J. Exp. *Biol.* 219(5), 706-718.
- Adamo, S.A. (2021). How insects protect themselves against combined starvation and
 pathogen challenges, and the implications for reductionism. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B: Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 255, 110564.
- Ahlers, L.R., Trammell, C.E., Carrell, G.F., Mackinnon, S., Torrevillas, B.K., Chow, C.Y.,
- Luckhart, S. and Goodman, A.G. (2019). Insulin potentiates JAK/STAT signaling to broadly inhibit flavivirus replication in insect vectors. *Cell Rep.* 29(7), 1946-1960.
- Alaux, C., Ducloz, F., Crauser, D. and Le Conte, Y. (2010). Diet effects on honeybee
 immunocompetence. *Biol. Lett.* 6, 562-565.
- Alshukri, B.M. and Al-Esawy, M.T. (2021). Reduced deformed wing virus of *Apis mellifera L*. nurses by high fat diets under laboratory conditions. *J. Plant Protect. Res.* 61.
- 731 Amaro-Sánchez, T., Ruiz-Guzmán, G., Hernández-Martínez, S., Krams, I., Rantala, M.J. and
- 732 Garduño, J.C. (2023). Effect of juvenile hormone on phenoloxidase and hemocyte number:
- The role of age, sex, and immune challenge. *Comp. Biochem. Physio. B: Biochem. Mol. Biol.*110827.
- Anthony, W.E., Palmer-Young, E.C., Leonard, A.S., Irwin, R.E. and Adler, L.S. (2015).
 Testing dose-dependent effects of the nectar alkaloid anabasine on trypanosome parasite loads
 in adult bumble bees. *PLoS One* 10, 0142496.
- Ariani, C.V., Juneja, P., Smith, S., Tinsley, M.C. and Jiggins, F.M. (2015). Vector competence
 of *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes for filarial nematodes is affected by age and nutrient limitation. *Exp. Gerontol.* 61, 47-53.
- Ashe, A. and Whitelaw, E. (2007). Another role for RNA: a messenger across generations. *Trends Genet. 23*(1), 8-10.
- Auerbach, M. and Simberloff, D. (1989). Oviposition site preference and larval mortality in a
 leaf-mining moth. *Ecol Entomol* 14, 131-140.
- Austin, A. J. and Gilbert, J. D. (2021). Solitary bee larvae prioritize carbohydrate over protein
 in parentally provided pollen. *Func. Ecol.* 35, 1069-1080.

- Ayres, J.S. and Schneider, D.S. (2012). Tolerance of infections. Ann. Rev. Immun. 30, 271-747 294. 748
- Barker, D.J.P. (2004). The developmental origins of adult disease. J. Am. Coll. Nutri. 23, 588S-749 750 595S.
- Bashir-Tanoli, S. and Tinsley, M.C. (2014). Immune response costs are associated with 751 changes in resource acquisition and not resource reallocation. Funct. Ecol. 28(4), 1011-1019. 752
- Bateson, P., Barker, D., Clutton-Brock, T., Deb, D., D'Udine, B., Foley, R.A., Gluckman, P., 753 Godfrey, K., Kirkwood, T., Lahr, M.M. and McNamara, J. (2004). Developmental plasticity 754 and human health. Nature 430, 419-421. 755
- Becker, T., Loch, G., Beyer, M., Zinke, I., Aschenbrenner, A.C., Carrera, P., Inhester, T., 756 Schultze, J.L. and Hoch, M. (2010). FOXO-dependent regulation of innate immune 757 homeostasis. Nature 463(7279), 369-373. 758
- Boissière, A., Tchioffo, M.T., Bachar, D., Abate, L., Marie, A., Nsango, S.E., Shahbazkia, 759
- H.R., Awono-Ambene, P.H., Levashina, E.A., Christen, R. and Morlais, I. (2012). Midgut 760
- 761 microbiota of the malaria mosquito vector Anopheles gambiae and interactions with
- Plasmodium falciparum infection. PLoS Pathog. 8(5), e1002742. 762
- Boggs, C. L. (2016). The fingerprints of global climate change on insect populations. Curr. 763 Op. Ins. Sci. 17, 69–73. 764
- Brentassi, M.E., Maciá, A., de la Fuente, D., Cano, M.E. and Marino de Remes Lenicov, A.M. 765 766 (2017). Food quality affects wing-form, demographic traits and number of yeast-like symbionts (YLS) in the planthopper vector, Delphacodes kuscheli (Hemiptera: Delphacidae). Stud. 767 Neotrop. 52(1), 25-36. 768
- Bruce, K.D., Hoxha, S., Carvalho, G.B., Yamada, R., Wang, H-D., Karayan, P., He, S., 769 Brummel, T., Kapahi, P. and Ja, W.W. (2013). High carbohydrate-low protein consumption 770 maximizes Drosophila lifespan. Exp. Gerontol. 48, 1129-1135. 771
- Brunner, F.S., Schmid-Hempel, P. and Barribeau, S. M. (2014). Proc. R. Soc. B 281, 20140128. 772
- Buchner, P. (1965). Endosymbiosis of animals with plant microorganisms. New York, NY: 773 774 Interscience Publishers.
- Calder, P. C., Krauss-Etschmann, S., Jong, E. C. de, Dupont, C., Frick, J.-S., Frokiaer, H., 775
- Heinrich, J., Garn, H., Koletzko, S., Lack, G., Mattelio, G., Renz, H., Sangild, P. T., 776
- Schrezenmeir, J., Stulnig, T. M., Thymann, T., Wold, A. E. and Koletzko, B. (2006). Early 777 nutrition and immunity – progress and perspectives. Br. J. Nutr 96(4), 774–790.
- 778
- Cappelli, A., Ulissi, U., Valzano, M., Damiani, C., Epis, S., Gabrielli, M.G., Conti, S., 779 Polonelli, L., Bandi, C., Favia, G. and Ricci, I. (2014). A Wickerhamomyces anomalus killer 780
- 781 strain in the malaria vector Anopheles stephensi. PloS One 9(5), e95988.
- Caragata, E.P., Rancès, E., Hedges, L.M., Gofton, A.W., Johnson, K.N., O'Neill, S.L. and 782
- McGraw, E.A. (2013). Dietary cholesterol modulates pathogen blocking by Wolbachia. PLoS 783 Pathog. 9(6), e1003459. 784

- Cariveau, D.P., Elijah Powell, J., Koch, H., Winfree, R. and Moran, N.A. (2014). Variation in
 gut microbial communities and its association with pathogen infection in wild bumble bees
 (Bombus). *ISME J* 8(12), 2369-2379.
- Castelli, L., Branchiccela, B., Garrido, M., Invernizzi, C., Porrini, M., Romero, H., Santos, E.,
 Zunino, P. and Antúnez, K. (2020). Impact of nutritional stress on honeybee gut microbiota,
 immunity, and Nosema ceranae infection. *Microb. Ecol.* 80, 908-919.
- Chandler, J.A., Morgan Lang, J., Bhatnagar, S., Eisen, J.A. and Kopp, A. (2011). Bacterial
 communities of diverse Drosophila species: ecological context of a host-microbe model
 system. *PLoS Genet.* 7(9), e1002272.
- Chapman, R.F. (2013). The insects: structure and function. Fifth edition. Cambridge universitypress.
- Chomicki G., Werner, G.D., West, S.A. and Kiers, E.T. (2020). Compartmentalization drives
 the evolution of symbiotic cooperation. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 375, 20190602.
- Consuegra, J., Grenier, T., Akherraz, H., Rahioui, I., Gervais, H., Da Silva, P. and Leulier, F.
 (2020). Metabolic cooperation among commensal bacteria supports Drosophila juvenile
 growth under nutritional stress. *Iscience* 23(6), 101232.
- Cooper, D. and Eleftherianos, I. (2017). Memory and Specificity in the Insect Immune System:
 Current Perspectives and Future Challenges. *Front. Immunol.* 8, 539.
- Costantin, E.C., Viol, D.L., Del Puppo, N.P. and Elliot, S.L. (2022). Realism in Immune
 Ecology Studies: Artificial Diet Enhances a Caterpillar's Immune Defense but Does Not Mask
 the Effects of a Plastic Immune Strategy. *Front. Ins. Sci.* 1, 20.
- Cotter, S. C., Simpson, S. J., Raubenheimer, D. and Wilson, K. (2011). Macronutrient balance
 mediates trade-offs between immune function and life history traits. *Funct. Ecol.* 25, 186–198.
- Cotter, S.C., Reavey, C.E., Tummala, Y., Randall, J.L., Holdbrook, R., Ponton, F., Simpson,
 S.J., Smith, J.A. and Wilson, K. (2019). Diet modulates the relationship between immune gene
 expression and functional immune responses. *Ins. Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 109, 128-141.
- B11 Daisley, B.A., Chmiel, J.A., Pitek, A.P., Thompson, G.J. and Reid, G. (2020). Missing
 microbes in bees: how systematic depletion of key symbionts erodes immunity. *Trends*Microbiol. 28(12), 1010-1021.
- Danihlík, J., Škrabišová, M., Lenobel, R., Šebela, M., Omar, E., Petřivalský, M., Crailsheim,
 K. and Brodschneider, R. (2018). Does the pollen diet influence the production and expression
 of antimicrobial peptides in individual honey bees? *Insects* 9, 79.
- B17 DeBlock, M. and Stoks, R. (2008). Short-term larval food stress and associated compensatory
 growth reduce adult immune function in a damselfly. *Ecol. Entomol.* 33(6), 796-801.
- Boo, H.A. and Harding, J.E. (2006). The developmental origins of adult disease (Barker)
 hypothesis. *ANZJOG* 46(1), 4-14.

- De Roode, J.C. and Hunter, M.D. (2019). Self-medication in insects: when altered behaviors of infected insects are a defense instead of a parasite manipulation. *Curr. Op. Ins. Sci.* 33, 1-6.
- Be Roode, J.C., Lefèvre, T. and Hunter, M.D. (2013). Self-medication in animals. *Science*,
 340(6129), 150-151.
- De Roode, J.C., Pedersen, A.B., Hunter, M.D. and Altizer, S. (2008). Host Plant Species
 Affects Virulence in Monarch Butterfly Parasites. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 77, 120–26.
- BiAngelo, J.R. and Birnbaum, M.J. (2009). Regulation of fat cell mass by insulin in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 29, 6341–52.
- Dinh, H., Nguyen, B., Morimoto, J., Lundback, I., Kumar, S.S. and Ponton, F. (2021).
 Transgenerational effects of parental diet on offspring development and disease resistance in
 flies. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 9, 606993.
- Dinh, H., Mendez, V., Tabrizi, S.T. and Ponton, F. (2019). Macronutrients and infection in
 fruit flies. *Ins. Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 110, 98-104.
- Bionne, M.S., Pham, L.N., Shirasu-Hiza, M. and Schneider, D.S. (2006). Akt and FOXO
 dysregulation contribute to infection-induced wasting in Drosophila. *Curr. Biol.* 16(20), 19771985.
- B37 Donkersley, P., Rice, A., Graham, R.I. and Wilson, K. (2023). Gut microbial community
 supplementation and reduction modulates African armyworm susceptibility to a baculovirus.
 FEMS *Microb. Ecol.* 99(1), fiac147.
- B40 Douglas, A.E. (2011). Lessons from studying insect symbioses. *Cell Host Micr.* 10(4), 359367.
- B42 Dussutour, A. and Simpson, S. J. (2009). Communal nutrition in ants. *Curr. Biol.* 19, 740-744.
- Bussutour, A. and Simpson, S. J. (2008). Carbohydrate regulation in relation to colony growth
 in ants. *J. Exp. Biol.* 211, 2224-2232.
- Ebrahimi, M. and Ajamhassani, M. (2020). Investigating the effect of starvation and various
 nutritional types on the hemocytic profile and phenoloxidase activity in the Indian meal moth *Plodia interpunctella* (Hübner)(Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *Inv. Surv. J.* 175-185.
- Engel, M. and Grimaldi, D. (2004). New light shed on the oldest insect. *Nature* 427, 627–630.
- Engel, P., Bartlett, K.D. and Moran, N.A. (2015). The bacterium *Frischella perrara* causes
 scab formation in the gut of its honeybee host. *MBio* 6(3), e00193-15.
- Engel, P. and Moran, N.A. (2013). The gut microbiota of insects-diversity in structure and
 function. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 37(5), 699-735.
- Engel, P., Martinson, V. G. and Moran, N. A. (2012). Functional diversity within the simple
 gut microbiota of the honey bee. *PNAS* 109, 11002-11007.

- Engl, T., Schmidt, T.H., Kanyile, S.N. and Klebsch, D. (2020). Metabolic cost of a nutritional
 symbiont manifests in delayed reproduction in a grain pest beetle. *Insects* 11(10), 717.
- English, S. and Barreaux, A.M. (2020). The evolution of sensitive periods in development:
 insights from insects. *Curr. Op. Behav. Sci.* 36, 71-78.
- Fast, D., Petkau, K., Ferguson, M., Shin, M., Galenza, A., Kostiuk, B., Pukatzki, S. and Foley,
 E. (2020). Vibrio cholerae-symbiont interactions inhibit intestinal repair in Drosophila. *Cell rep.* 30(4), 1088-1100.
- Ferguson, J. A., Northfield, T. D. and Lach, L. (2018). Honey bee (*Apis mellifera*) pollen
 foraging reflects benefits dependent on individual infection status. *Microbial Ecol.* 76, 482491.
- Fink, C., Staubach, F., Kuenzel, S., Baines, J. F. and Roeder, T. (2013). Noninvasive analysis
 of microbiome dynamics in the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*79: 6984–6988.
- Foley, K., Fazio, G., Jensen, A.B. and Hughes, W.O. (2012). Nutritional limitation and
 resistance to opportunistic Aspergillus parasites in honey bee larvae. *J. Invert. Pathol.* 111, .6873.
- Freitak, D., Heckel, D.G. and Vogel, H. (2009). Dietary-dependent trans-generational immune
 priming in an insect herbivore. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* 276(1667), 2617-2624.
- Freitak, D., Schmidtberg, H., Dickel, F., Lochnit, G., Vogel, H. and Vilcinskas, A. (2014). The
 maternal transfer of bacteria can mediate trans-generational immune priming in insects. *Virulence* 5(4), 547-554.
- Futo, M., Sell, M.P., Kutzer, M.A. and Kurtz, J. (2017). Specificity of oral immune priming in
 the red flour beetle *Tribolium castaneum*. *Biol. Lett.* 13(12), 20170632.
- Galenza, A. and Foley, E. (2019). Immunometabolism: insights from the Drosophila model. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* 94, 22-34.
- Galenza, A., Hutchinson, J., Campbell, S.D., Hazes, B. and Foley, E. (2016). Glucose
 modulates Drosophila longevity and immunity independent of the microbiota. Biol. Open 5(2),
 165-173.
- Garbuzov, A. and Tatar, M. (2010). Hormonal regulation of Drosophila microRNA let-7 and
 miR-125 that target innate immunity. *Fly* 4, 306-311.
- Gibson, C.M. and Hunter, M.S. (2010). Extraordinarily widespread and fantastically complex:
 comparative biology of endosymbiotic bacterial and fungal mutualists of insects. *Ecol. Lett.*13(2), 223-234.
- Gil, R., Silva, F.J., Zientz, E., Delmotte, F., González-Candelas, F., Latorre, A., Rausell, C.,
 Kamerbeek, J., Gadau, J., Hölldobler, B. and Van Ham, R.C. (2003). The genome sequence of
- *Blochmannia floridanus*: comparative analysis of reduced genomes. *PNAS* 100, 9388-9393.

- Gluckman, P.D., Hanson, M.A. and Spencer, H.G. (2005). Predictive adaptive responses and
 human evolution. *TREE* 20(10), 527-533.
- Goldsworthy, G., Chandrakant, S. and Opoku-Ware, K. (2003). Adipokinetic hormone
 enhances nodule formation and phenoloxidase activation in adult locusts injected with bacterial
 lipopolysaccharide. J. Ins. Physiol. 49(8), 795-803.
- Gómez-Moracho, T., Durand, T., Pasquaretta, C., Heeb, P. and Lihoreau, M. (2021). Artificial
 diets modulate infection rates by *Nosema ceranae* in bumblebees. *Microorganisms* 9(1), 158.
- Graham, R.I., Deacutis, J.M., Pulpitel, T., Ponton, F., Simpson, S.J. and Wilson, K. (2014).
 Locusts increase carbohydrate consumption to protect against a fungal biopesticide. *J. Ins. Physiol.* 69, 27-34.
- Graham, R.I., Grzywacz, D., Mushobozi, W.L. and Wilson, K. (2012). Wolbachia in a major
 African crop pest increases susceptibility to viral disease rather than protects. *Ecol. Lett.* 15, 993-1000.
- Greenwood, M.P., Hull, K.L., Brink-Hull, M., Lloyd, M. and Rhode, C. (2021). Feed and host
 genetics drive microbiome diversity with resultant consequences for production traits in mass reared black soldier fly (*Hermetia illucens*) larvae. *Insects* 12(12), 1082.
- Gurung, K., Wertheim, B. and Falcao Salles, J. (2019). The microbiome of pest insects: it is
 not just bacteria. *Entomol. Exp. App.* 167(3), 156-170.
- Grau, T., Vilcinskas, A. and Joop, G. (2017). Probiotic Enterococcus mundtii isolate protects
 the model insect *Tribolium castaneum* against *Bacillus thuringiensis*. *Front. Microbiol.* 8,
 1261.
- Halsch, C.A., Shapiro, A.M., Fordyce, J.A., Nice, C.C., Thorne, J.H., Waetjen, D.P. and
 Forister, M.L. (2021). Insects and recent climate change. *PNAS* 118(2), e2002543117.
- Hammer, T.J., Janzen, D.H., Hallwachs, W., Jaffe, S.P. and Fierer, N. (2017). Caterpillars lack
 a resident gut microbiome. *PNAS* 114(36), 9641-9646.
- Harris, E.V., de Roode, J.C. and Gerardo, N.M. (2019). Diet-microbiome-disease:
 Investigating diet's influence on infectious disease resistance through alteration of the gut
 microbiome. *PLoS Pathog.* 15(10), e1007891.
- Hauser, G. and Koella, J.C. (2020). Larval exposure to a pyrethroid insecticide and competition
 for food modulate the melanisation and antibacterial responses of adult *Anopheles gambiae*. *Sci. rep.* 10(1), 1-8.
- Hendriksma, H. P., Toth, A. L. and Shafir, S. (2019). Individual and colony level foraging
 decisions of bumble bees and honey bees in relation to balancing of nutrient needs. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 7, 177.
- Hendriksma, H. P. and Shafir, S. (2016). Honey bee foragers balance colony nutritional
 deficiencies. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 70, 509-517.

- Herren, J.K., Paredes, J.C., Schüpfer, F., Arafah, K., Bulet, P. and Lemaitre, B. (2014). Insect
 endosymbiont proliferation is limited by lipid availability. *Elife* 3, e02964.
- Hiruma, K. and Riddiford, L.M. (1988). Granular phenoloxidase involved in cuticular
 melanization in the tobacco hornworm: regulation of its synthesis in the epidermis by juvenile
 hormone. *Dev. Biol.* 130(1), 87-97.
- Hoffmann, A.A., Montgomery, B.L., Popovici, J., Iturbe-Ormaetxe, I., Johnson, P.H., Muzzi,
 F., Greenfield, M., Durkan, M., Leong, Y.S., Dong, Y. and Cook, H. (2011). Successful
 establishment of Wolbachia in Aedes populations to suppress dengue transmission. *Nature*476(7361), 454-457.
- Horak, R.D., Leonard, S.P. and Moran, N.A. (2020). Symbionts shape host innate immunity in
 honeybees. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* 287(1933), 20201184.
- Howick, V.M. and Lazzaro, B.P. (2014). Genotype and diet shape resistance and tolerance
 across distinct phases of bacterial infection. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 14, 1-13.
- Ibrahim, E., Hejníková, M., Shaik, H.A., Doležel, D. and Kodrík, D. (2017). Adipokinetic
 hormone activities in insect body infected by entomopathogenic nematode. *J. Insect. Physiol.*98, 347-355.
- Jang, S. and Kikuchi, Y. (2020). Impact of the insect gut microbiota on ecology, evolution, and
 industry. *Curr. Op. Ins. Sci.* 41, 33-39.
- Jang, T. and Lee, K.P. (2018). Comparing the impacts of macronutrients on life-history traits
- 946 in larval and adult Drosophila melanogaster: the use of nutritional geometry and chemically
- 947 defined diets. J. Exp. Biol. 221(21), p.jeb181115.
- Kangassalo, K., Valtonen, T.M., Sorvari, J., Kecko, S., Pölkki, M., Krams, I., Krama, T. and
 Rantala, M.J. (2018). Independent and interactive effects of immune activation and larval diet
 on adult immune function, growth and development in the greater wax moth (*Galleria mellonella*). J. Evol. Biol. 31(10), 1485-1497.
- Kangassalo, K., Valtonen, T.M., Roff, D., Pölkki, M., Dubovskiy, I.M., Sorvari, J. and Rantala,
 M.J. (2015). Intra-and trans-generational effects of larval diet on susceptibility to an
 entomopathogenic fungus, *Beauveria bassiana*, in the greater wax moth, *Galleria mellonella*.
 J. Evol. Biol. 28, 1453-1464.
- Kay, A. D., Bruning, A. J., van Alst, A., Abrahamson, T. T., Hughes, W. O. and Kaspari, M.
 (2014). A carbohydrate-rich diet increases social immunity in ants. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* 281, 20132374.
- Kelly, C.A. and Bowers, M.D. (2018). Host plant iridoid glycosides mediate herbivoreinteractions with natural enemies. *Oecologia 188*, 491-500.
- 961 Kemirembe, K., Liebmann, K., Bootes, A., Smith, W.A. and Suzuki, Y. (2012). Amino acids
- and TOR signaling promote prothoracic gland growth and the initiation of larval molts in the tobacco hornworm *Manduca sexta*. *PLoS ONE* 7(9): e44429.

- Kho, E.A., Hugo, L.E., Lu, G., Smith, D.D. and Kay, B.H. (2016). Effects of larval nutrition
 on Wolbachia-based dengue virus interference in *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae). *J. Med. Entomol.* 53(4), 894-901.
- Koyama, T., Mendes, C.C. and Mirth, C.K. (2013). Mechanisms regulating nutritiondependent developmental plasticity through organ-specific effects in insects. *Front. Physiol.* 4,
 263.
- Krams, I.A., Kecko, S., Jõers, P., Trakimas, G., Elferts, D., Krams, R., Luoto, S., Rantala, M.J.,
 Inashkina, I., Gudrā, D. and Fridmanis, D. (2017). Microbiome symbionts and diet diversity
 incur costs on the immune system of insect larvae. *J. Exp. Biol.* 220(22), 4204-4212.
- Krams, I., Kecko, S., Kangassalo, K., Moore, F.R., Jankevics, E., Inashkina, I., Krama, T.,
 Lietuvietis, V., Meija, L. and Rantala, M.J. (2015). Effects of food quality on trade-offs among
 growth, immunity and survival in the greater wax moth *Galleria mellonella*. *Ins. Sci.* 22, 431439.
- 977 Kraus, S., Gómez-Moracho, T., Pasquaretta, C., Latil, G., Dussutour, A. and Lihoreau, M.
- 978 (2019). Bumblebees adjust protein and lipid collection rules to the presence of brood. *Curr*.
- 979 *Zool.* 65, 437-446.
- Kutzer, M.A. and Armitage, S.A. (2016). The effect of diet and time after bacterial infection
 on fecundity, resistance, and tolerance in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Ecol. Evol.* 6, 4229-4242.
- Lanz-Mendoza, H. and Contreras-Garduño, J. (2022). Innate immune memory in invertebrates:
 Concept and potential mechanisms. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* 127, 104285.
- Laughton, A.M., Garcia, J.R. and Gerardo, N.M. (2016). Condition-dependent alteration of
 cellular immunity by secondary symbionts in the pea aphid, *Acyrthosiphon pisum. J. Insect. Physiol.* 86, 17-24.
- Lee, K.P., Simpson, S.J., Clissold, F.J., Brooks, R., Ballard, J.W.O., Taylor, P.W., Soran, N.
 and Raubenheimer, D. (2008). Lifespan and reproduction in Drosophila: new insights from
 nutritional geometry. *PNAS* 105(7), 2498-2503.
- Lee, K.P., Cory, J.S., Wilson, K., Raubenheimer, D. and Simpson, S.J. (2006). Flexible diet
 choice offsets protein costs of pathogen resistance in a caterpillar. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* 273(1588),
 823-829.
- 993 Leyria, J., Benrabaa, S., Nouzova, M., Noriega, F.G., Tose, L.V., Fernandez-Lima, F., Orchard,
- 994 I. and Lange, A.B. (2023). Crosstalk between Nutrition, Insulin, Juvenile Hormone, and
- Ecdysteroid Signaling in the Classical Insect Model, *Rhodnius prolixus*. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 24, 7.
- 996 Lee, K.A. and Lee, W.J. (2018). *Curr. Opin. Ins. Sci.* 29, 21–26.
- 297 Lihoreau, M., Buhl, J., Charleston, M. A., Sword, G. A., Raubenheimer, D. and Simpson, S. J.
- (2015). Nutritional ecology beyond the individual: a conceptual framework for integrating
 nutrition and social interactions. *Ecol. Lett.* 18, 273-286.

- Linenberg, I., Christophides, G.K. and Gendrin, M. (2016). Larval diet affects mosquito
 development and permissiveness to Plasmodium infection. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 1-10.
- Little, T.J., O'Connor, B., Colegrave, N., Watt, K. and Read, A.F. (2003). Maternal transfer of
 strain-specific immunity in an invertebrate. *Curr. Biol.* 13(6), 489-492.

Lu, P., Bian, G., Pan, X. and Xi, Z. (2012). Wolbachia induces density-dependent inhibition to
dengue virus in mosquito cells. *PLoS Neglect. Trop. Dis.* 6(7), e1754.

- McKay, A.F., Ezenwa, V.O. and Altizer, S (2016). Consequences of Food Restriction for
 Immune Defense, Parasite Infection, and Fitness in Monarch Butterflies. *Physiol. Biochem. Zool.* 89:5, 389-401.
- Meshrif, W.S., Elkayal, S.H., Soliman, M.A., Seif, A.I. and Roeder, T. (2022). Metabolic and
 immunological responses of *Drosophila melanogaster* to dietary restriction and bacterial
 infection differ substantially between genotypes in a population. *Ecol. Evol.* 12, e8960.
- 1012 Maes, P.W., Rodrigues, P.A., Oliver, R., Mott, B.M. and Anderson, K.E. (2016). Diet-related
- 1013 gut bacterial dysbiosis correlates with impaired development, increased mortality and Nosema
- 1014 disease in the honeybee (*Apis mellifera*). *Mol. Ecol* 25(21), 5439-5450.
- 1015 Maruščáková, I.C., Schusterová, P., Bielik, B., Toporčák, J., Bíliková, K. and Mudroňová, D.
- 1016 (2020). Effect of application of probiotic pollen suspension on immune response and gut 1017 microbiota of honey bees (*Apis mellifera*). *Probiotics Antimicrob. Proteins* 12(3), 929-936.
- 1018 Mason, C.J. (2020). Complex relationships at the intersection of insect gut microbiomes and 1019 plant defenses. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 46(8), 793-807.
- Masri, L. and Cremer, S. (2014). Individual and social immunisation in insects. *Trends Immunol* 35, 471-482.
- 1022 McCallum, H., Barlow, N. and Hone, J. (2001). How should pathogen transmission be 1023 modelled? *TREE* 16, 295-300.
- Meriggi, N., Di Paola, M., Vitali, F., Rivero, D., Cappa, F., Turillazzi, F., Gori, A., Dapporto,
 L., Beani, L., Turillazzi, S. and Cavalieri, D. (2019). *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* induces
 immune enhancing and shapes gut microbiota in social wasps. *Front. Microbiol.* 10, 2320.
- Miller, C.V. and Cotter, S.C. (2017). Pathogen and immune dynamics during maturation are
 explained by Bateman's Principle. *Ecol. Entomol.* 42, 28-38.
- Mirth, C.K. and Shingleton, A.W. (2012). Integrating body and organ size in Drosophila: recent
 advances and outstanding problems. *Front. Endocri.* 3, 49.
- Mockler, B.K., Kwong, W.K., Moran, N.A. and Koch, H. (2018). Microbiome structure
 influences infection by the parasite *Crithidia bombi* in bumble bees. *App. Environ. Microbiol.*84(7), e02335-17.
- 1034 Monaghan, P. (2008). Early growth conditions, phenotypic development and environmental 1035 change. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 363(1497), 1635-1645.

- Moret, Y. (2006). Trans-generational immune priming: specific enhancement of the
 antimicrobial immune response in the mealworm beetle, *Tenebrio molitor*. Proc. R. Soc. B.
 273(1592), 1399-1405.
- 1039 Motta, E.V., Powell, J.E., Leonard, S.P. and Moran, N.A. (2022). Prospects for probiotics in 1040 social bees. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 377(1853), 20210156.
- Msaad Guerfali, M., Charaabi, K., Hamden, H., Djobbi, W., Fadhl, S., Mosbah, A. and Cherif,
 A. (2021). Probiotic based-diet effect on the immune response and induced stress in irradiated
 mass reared *Ceratitis capitata* males (Diptera: Tephritidae) destined for the release in the sterile
 insect technique programs. *Plos one* 16(9), e0257097.
- Mullen, L.M. and Goldsworthy, G.J. (2006). Immune responses of locusts to challenge with
 the pathogenic fungus Metarhizium or high doses of laminarin. *J. Insect. Physiol.* 52(4), 389398.
- 1048 Nijhout, H.F. (2003). The control of body size in insects. Dev. Biol. 261(1), 1-9.
- Nijhout, H.F., Riddiford, L.M., Mirth, C., Shingleton, A.W., Suzuki, Y. and Callier, V. (2014).
 The developmental control of size in insects. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Dev. Biol.* 3(1), 113-134.
- Nunes, C., Sucena, É. and Koyama, T. (2021). Endocrine regulation of immunity in insects. *FEBS J.* 288(13), 3928-3947.
- Nwaru, B.I., Ahonen, S., Kaila, M., Erkkola, M., Haapala, A.M., Kronberg-Kippilä, C.,
 Veijola, R., Ilonen, J., Simell, O., Knip, M. and Virtanen, S.M. (2010). Maternal diet during
 pregnancy and allergic sensitization in the offspring by 5 yrs of age: a prospective cohort study. *Pediatr. Allergy Immunol.* 21(1-Part-I), 29-37.
- 1057 Oliver, K.M., Smith, A.H. and Russell, J.A. (2014). Defensive symbiosis in the real world–
 1058 advancing ecological studies of heritable, protective bacteria in aphids and beyond. *Func. Ecol.*1059 28(2), 341-355.
- Osborne, S.E., Iturbe-Ormaetxe, I., Brownlie, J.C., O'Neill, S.L. and Johnson, K.N. (2012).
 Antiviral protection and the importance of Wolbachia density and tissue tropism in *Drosophila simulans. App. Environ. Microbiol.* 78(19), 6922-6929.
- Osborne, S.E., Iturbe-Ormaetxe, I., Brownlie, J.C., O'Neill, S.L. and Johnson, K.N. (2012).
 Antiviral protection and the importance of Wolbachia density and tissue tropism in Drosophila
 simulans. *App. Environ. Microbiol.* 78(19), 6922-6929.
- Pan, Y., Lu, P., Zhu, F.F., Li, C.J., He, Y.Q. and Chen, K.P. (2018). *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B-Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 223, 50–57.
- Partridge, L., Piper, M.D. and Mair, W. (2005). Dietary restriction in Drosophila. *Mech. Ag. Dev.* 126(9), 938-950.
- Pernal, S. F. and Currie, R. W. (2002). Discrimination and preferences for pollen-based cues
 by foraging honeybees, *Apis mellifera L. Anim. Behav.* 63, 369-390.
- Pernice, M., Simpson, S.J. and Ponton, F. (2014). Towards an integrated understanding of gut
 microbiota using insects as model systems. *J. Ins. Physiol.* 69, 12-18.

- Pike, V.L., Lythgoe, K.A. and King, K.C. (2019). On the diverse and opposing effects of
 nutrition on pathogen virulence. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* 286(1906), 20191220.
- Ponton, F., Morimoto, J., Robinson, K., Kumar, S.S., Cotter, S.C., Wilson, K. and Simpson,
 S.J. (2020). Macronutrients modulate survival to infection and immunity in Drosophila. J.
 Anim. Ecol. 89, 460-470.
- Ponton, F., Wilson, K., Holmes, A.J., Cotter, S.C., Raubenheimer, D. and Simpson, S.J. (2013).
 Integrating nutrition and immunology: a new frontier. *J. Ins. Physiol.* 59, 130-137.
- Ponton, F., Lalubin, F., Fromont, C., Wilson, K., Behm, C. and Simpson, S.J. (2011). Hosts
 use altered macronutrient intake to circumvent parasite-induced reduction in fecundity. *Int. J. Parasitol.* 41(1), 43-50.
- Ponton, F., Wilson, K., Cotter, S.C., Raubenheimer, D. and Simpson, S.J. (2011). Nutritional
 immunology: a multi-dimensional approach. *PLoS Pathog.* 7, p.e1002223.
- Popham, H.J. and Shelby, K.S. (2009). Ascorbic acid influences the development and
 immunocompetence of larval *Heliothis virescens*. *Entomol. Exp. App.* 133(1), 57-64.
- Povey, S., Cotter, S.C., Simpson, S.J. and Wilson, K. (2014). Dynamics of macronutrient selfmedication and illness-induced anorexia in virally infected insects. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 83(1), 245255.
- Povey, S., Cotter, S.C., Simpson, S.J., Lee, K.P. and Wilson, K. (2009). Can the protein costs
 of bacterial resistance be offset by altered feeding behaviour? *J. Anim. Ecol.* 78(2), 437-446.
- Qian, L., Deng, P., Chen, F., Cao, Y., Sun, H. and Liao, H. (2022). The exploration and
 utilization of functional substances in edible insects: A review. *Food Product. Process. Nutri.*4(1), 1-15.
- Qadri, M., Short, S., Gast, K., Hernandez, J. and Wong, A.C.N. (2020). Microbiome innovation
 in agriculture: Development of microbial based tools for insect pest management. *Front. Sustain Food Syst.* 4, 547751.
- Rancès, E., Ye, Y.H., Woolfit, M., McGraw, E.A. and O'Neill, S.L. (2012). The relative
 importance of innate immune priming in Wolbachia-mediated dengue interference. *PLoS Pathog.* 8, e1002548.
- Rantala, M.J., Vainikka, A. and Kortet, R. (2003). The role of juvenile hormone in immune
 function and pheromone production trade-offs: a test of the immunocompetence handicap
 principle. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* 270, 2257-2261.
- Raubenheimer, D. and Simpson, S.J. (2009). Nutritional PharmEcology: doses, nutrients,
 toxins, and medicines. *Int. Comp. Biol.* 49(3), 329-337.
- Remolina, S.C. and Hughes, K.A. (2008). Evolution and mechanisms of long life and highfertility in queen honey bees. *Age* 30, 177-185.

- Richardson, L.L., Adler, L.S., Leonard, A.S., Andicoechea, J., Regan, K.H., Anthony, W.E.,
 Manson, J.S. and Irwin, R.E. (2015). Secondary metabolites in floral nectar reduce parasite
- 1110 Marson, J.S. and Hwin, R.E. (2013). Secondary metabolities in noral necta 1111 infections in bumblebees. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 282, 20142471.
- Rio, R.V.M, Wu, Y.N., Filardo G. and Aksoy S. 2006. Dynamics of multiple symbiont density
 regulation during host development: tsetse fly and its microbial flora. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 273, 805814.
- 1115 Rolff, J. and Siva-Jothy, M.T. (2002). Copulation corrupts immunity: a mechanism for a cost 1116 of mating in insects. *PNAS* 99(15), 9916-9918.
- Rose, J. W, Dewhurst, C. F. and Page, W.W. (2000). The African armyworm handbook: the
 status, biology, ecology, epidemiology and management of *Spodoptera exempta* (Lepidoptera:
 Noctuidae). Natural Resources Institute University of Greenwich.
- Royle, N. J., Smiseth, P. and Kölliker, M. (2012). The evolution of parental care: summary,
 conclusions and implications. In *The evolution of parental care*, pp. 327-345: Oxford
 University Press.
- 1123 Rossoni, R.D., Fuchs, B.B., De Barros, P.P., Velloso, M.D.S., Jorge, A.O.C., Junqueira, J.C.
- and Mylonakis, E. (2017). *Lactobacillus paracasei* modulates the immune system of *Galleria*
- *mellonella* and protects against *Candida albicans* infection. *PLoS One 12*(3), e0173332.
- Rumpold, B.A. and Schlüter, O.K. (2013). Nutritional composition and safety aspects of edible
 insects. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 57(5), 802-823.
- Sadd, B.M. and Siva-Jothy, M.T. (2006). Self-harm caused by an insect's innate immunity. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 273(1600), 2571-2574.
- Schulenburg, H., Boehnisch, C. and Michiels, N.K. (2007). How do invertebrates generate a
 highly specific innate immune response? *Mol. Immunol.* 44, 3338-3344.
- Schwenke, R.A., Lazzaro, B.P. and Wolfner, M.F. (2016). Reproduction-immunity trade-offs
 in insects. *Ann. Rev. Entomol.*, 61, 239-256.
- Shikano, I., Oak, M.C., Halpert-Scanderbeg, O. and Cory, J.S. (2015). Trade-offs between
 transgenerational transfer of nutritional stress tolerance and immune priming. *Func. Ecol.*29(9), 1156-1164.
- Seibold, S., Gossner, M.M., Simons, N.K., Blüthgen, N., Müller, J., Ambarlı, D., Ammer, C.,
 Bauhus, J., Fischer, M., Habel, J.C. and Linsenmair, K.E. (2019). Arthropod decline in
 grasslands and forests is associated with landscape-level drivers. *Nature* 574(7780), 671-674.
- Simpson, S.J. and Raubenheimer, D. (2009). Macronutrient balance and lifespan. Aging(Albany NY) 1(10), 875.
- Simpson, S. J. and Raubenheimer, D. (2012). *The nature of nutrition A unifying framework from animal adaptation to human obesity*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Simonet, P., Duport, G., Gaget, K., Weiss-Gayet, M., Colella, S., Febvay, G., Charles, H.,
 Viñuelas, J., Heddi, A. and Calevro, F. (2016). Direct flow cytometry measurements reveal a

- 1146 fine-tuning of symbiotic cell dynamics according to the host developmental needs in aphid 1147 symbiosis. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 19967.
- Sinotte, V.M., Freedman, S.N., Ugelvig, L.V. and Seid, M.A. (2018). *Camponotus floridanus*ants incur a trade-off between phenotypic development and pathogen susceptibility from their
 mutualistic endosymbiont Blochmannia. *Insects* 9(2), 58.
- Smith, V.H. and Holt, R.D. (1996). Resource competition and within-host disease dynamics. *TREE* 11, 386-389.
- 1153 Snyder, A.K., McLain, C. and Rio, R.V. (2012). The tsetse fly obligate mutualist 1154 Wigglesworthia morsitans alters gene expression and population density via exogenous 1155 nutrient provisioning. *App. Environ. Microbiol.* 78(21), 7792-7797.
- Stahlschmidt, Z.R., Acker, M., Kovalko, I. and Adamo, S.A. (2015). The double-edged sword
 of immune defence and damage control: do food availability and immune challenge alter the
 balance? *Func. Ecol.* 29(11), 1445-1452.
- Stein, A.D. and Lumey, L.H. (2000). The relationship between maternal and offspring birth
 weights after maternal prenatal famine exposure: the Dutch Famine Birth Cohort Study. *Hum. Biol.*, 641-654.
- Tarayre, C., Bauwens, J., Mattéotti, C., Brasseur, C., Millet, C., Massart, S., Destain, J.,
 Vandenbol, M., De Pauw, E., Haubruge, E. and Francis, F. (2015). Multiple analyses of
 microbial communities applied to the gut of the wood-feeding termite *Reticulitermes flavipes*fed on artificial diets. *Symbiosis* 65, 143-155.
- Tauber, J.P., Nguyen, V., Lopez, D. and Evans, J.D. (2019). Effects of a resident yeast from
 the honeybee gut on immunity, microbiota, and Nosema disease. *Insects* 10(9), 296.
- Tetreau, G., Dhinaut, J., Gourbal, B. and Moret, Y. (2019). Trans-generational immune priming
 in invertebrates: current knowledge and future prospects. *Front. Immunol.* 10, 1938.
- 1170 Toprak, U. (2020). The role of peptide hormones in insect lipid metabolism. *Front. Physiol.*1171 11, 434.
- 1172 Trammell, C.E. and Goodman, A.G. (2019). Emerging mechanisms of insulin-mediated 1173 antiviral immunity in Drosophila melanogaster. *Front. Immunol* 10, 2973.
- 1174 Triggs, A.M. and Knell, R.J. (2012). Parental diet has strong transgenerational effects on 1175 offspring immunity. *Funct. Ecol.* 26(6), 1409-1417.
- 1176 Tritschler, M., Vollmann, J.J., Yañez, O., Chejanovsky, N., Crailsheim, K. and Neumann, P.
 1177 (2017). Protein nutrition governs within-host race of honey bee pathogens. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 1-11.
- Tu, M.P., Yin, C.M. and Tatar M. (2002). Impaired ovarian ecdysone synthesis of *Drosophila melanogaster* insulin receptor mutants. *Ag, Cell.* 1, 158-160.
- Uller, T., Nakagawa, S. and English, S. (2013). Weak evidence for anticipatory parental effects
 in plants and animals. *J. Evol. Biol. 26*(10), 2161-2170.

Unckless, R.L., Rottschaefer, S.M. and Lazzaro, B.P. (2015). The complex contributions of
genetics and nutrition to immunity in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *PLoS Gen.* 11, e1005030.

Vacchini, V., Gonella, E., Crotti, E., Prosdocimi, E.M., Mazzetto, F., Chouaia, B., Callegari,
M., Mapelli, F., Mandrioli, M., Alma, A. and Daffonchio, D. (2017). Bacterial diversity shift
determined by different diets in the gut of the spotted wing fly *Drosophila suzukii* is primarily
reflected on acetic acid bacteria. *Env. Microbiol. Rep.* 9(2), 91-103.

- van Rijn, P.C. and Wäckers, F.L. (2016). Nectar accessibility determines fitness, flower choice
 and abundance of hoverflies that provide natural pest control. *J. App. Ecol.* 53(3), 925-933.
- Vassallo, M.F. and Camargo Jr, C.A. (2010). Potential mechanisms for the hypothesized link
 between sunshine, vitamin D, and food allergy in children. J. Allerg. Clin. Immunol. 126(2),
 217-222.
- 1193 Vogel, H., Müller, A., Heckel, D.G., Gutzeit, H. and Vilcinskas, A. (2018). Nutritional
 1194 immunology: diversification and diet-dependent expression of antimicrobial peptides in the
 1195 black soldier fly *Hermetia illucens*. Dev. Comp. Immunol. 78, 141-148.
- 1196 Vogelweith, F., Moret, Y., Monceau, K., Thiéry, D. and Moreau, J. (2016). The relative
 abundance of hemocyte types in a polyphagous moth larva depends on diet. *J. Ins. Physiol.* 88:
 1198 33-39.
- Vaudo, A.D., Tooker, J.F., Grozinger, C.M. and Patch, H.M. (2015). Bee nutrition and floral
 resource restoration. *Curr. Op. Insec. Sci.* 10, 133-141.
- Voulgari-Kokota, A., McFrederick, Q. S., Steffan-Dewenter, I. and Keller, A. (2019). Drivers,
 diversity, and functions of the solitary-bee microbiota. *Trend Microbiol.* 27, 1034-1044.
- Wang, Y., Kaftanoglu, O., Brent, C.S., Page Jr, R.E. and Amdam, G.V. (2016). Starvation
 stress during larval development facilitates an adaptive response in adult worker honey bees
 (Apis mellifera L.). J. Exp. Biol. 219(7), 949-959.
- Wang, S., Wang, L., Fan, X., Yu, C., Feng, L. and Yi, L. (2020). An Insight into Diversity and
 Functionalities of Gut Microbiota in Insects. *Curr Microbiol* 77, 1976-1986.
- 1208 West, S. A., Gardner, A. and Griffin, A. S. (2006). Altruism. Curr. Biol. 16, R482-R483.
- Whittle, M., Barreaux, A.M., Bonsall, M.B., Ponton, F. and English, S. (2021). Insect-host
 control of obligate, intracellular symbiont density. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* 288(1963), 20211993.
- Wilkinson, T.L., Koga, R. and Fukatsu, T. (2007). Role of host nutrition in symbiont
 regulation: impact of dietary nitrogen on proliferation of obligate and facultative bacterial
 endosymbionts of the pea aphid *Acyrthosiphon pisum*. *App. Environ. Microbiol.* 73(4), 13621366.
- Zirbel, K.E. and Alto, B.W. (2018). Maternal and paternal nutrition in a mosquito influences
 offspring life histories but not infection with an arbovirus. *Ecosphere 9*(10), e02469.

- Wilson, K., Holdbrook, R., Reavey, C.E., Randall, J.L., Tummala, Y., Ponton, F., Simpson,
 S.J., Smith, J.A. and Cotter, S.C., 2020. Osmolality as a novel mechanism explaining diet
 effects on the outcome of infection with a blood parasite. *Curr. Biol.* 30(13), 2459-2467.
- Wilson, J.K., Ruiz, L. and Davidowitz, G. (2019). Dietary protein and carbohydrates affect
 immune function and performance in a specialist herbivore insect (*Manduca sexta*). *Phys. Biochem. Zool.* 92, 58-70.
- Wilson, K. and Cotter, S. C. (2013). Host–Parasite interactions and the evolution of immune
 defense. In *Advances in the Study of Behavior*, vol. 45, pp. 81-174: Elsevier.
- Wilson, K. and Cotter, S. C. (2009). Density-dependent prophylaxis in insects. *Phenotypic plasticity of insects: mechanisms and consequences*, 381-420.
- Wilson, K., Knell, R., Boots, M. and Koch-Osborne, J. (2003). Group living and investment in
 immune defence: an interspecific analysis. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 72, 133-143.
- Wilson, K. and Reeson, A. F. (1998). Density-dependent prophylaxis: evidence from
 Lepidoptera-baculovirus interactions? *Ecol. Entomol.* 23, 100-101.
- Wong, A.C.N., Luo, Y., Jing, X., Franzenburg, S., Bost, A. and Douglas, A.E. (2015). The host
 as the driver of the microbiota in the gut and external environment of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *App. Environ. Microbiol.* 81(18), 6232-6240.
- Xie, J., Butler, S., Sanchez, G. and Mateos, M. (2014). Male killing Spiroplasma protects
 Drosophila melanogaster against two parasitoid wasps. *Heredity* 112(4), 399-408.
- 1236 Xu, P., Yang, L., Tang, X., Li, T., Graham, RI., Wu, K. and Wilson, K. (2020). Novel partiti1237 like viruses are conditional mutualistic symbionts in their normal lepidopteran host, African
 1238 armyworm, but parasitic in a novel host, Fall armyworm. *PLoS Pathog.* 16(6), e1008467.
- Xu, P., Rice, A. Li, T.; Wang, J. Yang, X., He Y., Graham, R.I. and Wilson, K. (2022). Partitilike viruses from African armyworm increase larval and pupal mortality of a novel host: the
 Egyptian cotton leafworm. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 78(4), 1529-1537.
- Ye, Y.H., Woolfit, M., Rancès, E., O'Neill, S.L. and McGraw, E.A. (2013). Wolbachiaassociated bacterial protection in the mosquito *Aedes aegypti*. *PLoS Neglect. Trop. Dis.* 7(8),
 e2362.
- 1245 Yoon, S.A.A., Harrison, J.G., Philbin, C.S., Dodson, C.D., Jones, D.M., Wallace, I.S., Forister,
- 1246 M.L. and Smilanich, A.M. (2019). Host plant-dependent effects of microbes and 1247 phytochemistry on the insect immune response. *Oecologia* 191(1), 141-152.
- 1248 Zhang, D.W., Xiao, Z.J., Zeng, B.P., Li, K. and Tang, Y.L. (2019). Front. Physiol. 10, 8.
- Zhineng, Y., Ying, M., Bingjie, T., Rouxian, Z. and Qiang, Z. (2021). Intestinal microbiota and
 functional characteristics of black soldier fly larvae (*Hermetia illucens*). *Ann. Microbiol.* 71,
 1-9.