







High-fidelity time- and nonlinear frequency-domain analysis of unsteady loads of floating offshore wind turbines in yawed wind

M.S. Campobasso^a, A. Ortolani^a, F. Papi^b, J. Drofelnik^{c,} G. Persico^d, A. Bianchini^b

- a. School of Engineering, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK
- b. Department of Industrial Engineering, University of Florence, Italy
- c. Pipistrel Vertical Solutions d.o.o., Ajdovcina, Slovenia
- d. Department of Energy, Politecnico di Milano, Milano, Italy

4th Wind Energy Science Conference 23rd-26th May 2023











Background and Motivation

- Floating offshore wind turbines (FOWTs) enable tapping into stronger and more predictable wind.
- Appealing option for countries with limited shallow waters.
- FOWT development typically uses low-fidelity tools.
- Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) contributes to understanding FOWT aerodynamics [1,2].

Study's aims: investigate FOWT yawed aerodynamics and demonstrate potential of harmonic balance CFD for generating data for low-fidelity model improvements.



1. Ortolani, Persico, Drofelnik, Jackson, Campobasso, *Cross-comparative analysis of loads and power of pitching floating offshore wind turbine rotors using frequency-domain Navier-Stokes CFD and blade element momentum theory*, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, **1618(5**), ref. 052016,, 2020.

2. Ortolani, Papi, Bianchini, Persico, Drofelnik, M.S. Campobasso, *Multi-fidelity Analyses of Rotor Loads of Floating Offshore Wind Turbines with Wind/Wave Misalignment*, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, **2265**(4), ref. 042010, 2022.









Outline

- Numerical methods
- Kinematics of FOWT rotor grid for pitching in yawed wind
- Results
- Summary









Numerical methods

- AERODYN <u>Blade Element Momentum Theory</u> (BEMT) libraries used in NREL OpenFAST wind turbine code. <u>Dynamic inflow used</u>.
- ANSYS FLUENT incompressible code.
- Lancaster University massively parallel incompressible time- and <u>nonlinear harmonic balance</u> (frequency-domain) ARCTIC code [3], twin of COSA compressible code [4,5].

3. A. Cavazzini, M.S. Campobasso. M. Marconcini, R. Pacciani, A. Arnone, *Harmonic balance Navier-Stokes analysis of tidal stream turbine wave loads, Recent Advances in CFD for Wind and Tidal Offshore Turbines*, Springer Tracts in Mechanical Engineering, E. Ferrer and A. Montlaur editors, Springer International Publishing, 2019.

4. J. Drofelnik, A. Da Ronch, M.S. Campobasso, *Harmonic balance Navier-Stokes aerodynamic analysis of horizontal axis wind turbines in yawed wind*, Wind Energy, **21**(7), 2018, pp. 515-530.

5. M.S. Campobasso, J. Drofelnik, F. Gigante, *Comparative Assessment of the Harmonic Balance Navier-Stokes Technology* for Horizontal and Vertical Axis Wind Turbine Aerodynamics, Comput. Fluids, **136**, 2016, pp. 354-370.









Harmonic balance ARCTIC/COSA solvers

• For given N_h and ω , express sought periodic solution as

$$\mathbf{U}(t) = \hat{\mathbf{U}}_o + \sum_{n=1}^{N_H} (\hat{\mathbf{U}}_{2n-1} \cos(\omega n t) + \hat{\mathbf{U}}_{2n} \sin(\omega n t))$$

FEATURES

- Reduces analysis of time-periodic flows by 30 to 50 times over conventional time-domain method due to:
 - Treating unsteady problem as steady: no physical transient.
 - Enabling use of multi-frequency periodicity BCs
- Captures flow nonlinearity quite well.
- RAM memory increases by factor (2N_h+1), but explicit CFD is not RAM-demanding







64



Parallelization

- High parallel efficiency of computing section, enabling large simulations to be run in a few hours.
- Strong scalability test:
 - HB4 plunging wing analysis.
 - 67,108,864-cell 16,384-blocks.



- Efficient parallel handling of all large I/O files⁶.
 - Supports CGNS and TECPLOT, enabling interfacing with common grid generation and post-processing software

6. A. Jackson, M.S. Campobasso, J. Drofelnik, *Load balance and parallel I/O: optimising COSA for large simulation*, Computers and Fluids, Vol. 173, 2018, pp. 206-215.









Kinematics of FOWT rotor grids

Tower angular position: <u>Grid displacements:</u>

$$x_{tp}$$

$$= x_{rt}$$

$$y_{tp} = y_{rt} - (z_{rt} - z_{pc})s_{tp} + (y_{rt} - y_{pc})(c_{tp} - 1)$$

$$z_{tp} = z_{rt} + (z_{rt} - z_{pc})(c_{tp} - 1) + (y_{rt} - y_{pc})s_{tp}$$

Grid velocities:

$$\begin{split} \dot{x}_{tp} &= \dot{x}_{rt} \\ \dot{y}_{tp} &= \dot{\theta}_{tp} \left[-(z_{rt} - z_{pc})c_{tp} - (y_{rt} - y_{pc})s_{tp} \right] + \dot{y}_{rt}c_{tp} \\ \dot{z}_{tp} &= \dot{\theta}_{tp} \left[-(z_{rt} - z_{pc})s_{tp} + (y_{rt} - y_{pc})c_{tp} \right] + \dot{y}_{rt}s_{tp} \\ \end{split}$$
where:

$$\dot{\theta}_{tp} \quad \dot{\theta}_{tp} = \Theta_{tp}\Omega_{tp}\cos\left(\Omega_{tp}t + \phi_{tp}\right) \\ \dot{y}_{rt} &= \left[x_{0}c_{r} - y_{0}s_{r} \right]\Omega_{r} \\ \dot{y}_{rt} &= \left[x_{0}c_{r} - y_{0}s_{r} \right]\Omega_{r} \\ \end{split}$$

 $\theta_{tp} = \Theta_{tp} \sin \left(\Omega_{tp} t + \phi_{tp} \right)$











Results: NREL 5 MW turbine

- V_∞=11 m/s
- Ω_r: -12 RPM (0.2 Hz)
- Rotor tilt: 5°
- $\phi_{tp}=0^{\circ}$, $\beta=0^{\circ}$ and $\beta=20^{\circ}$
- $\Theta_{tp} = 1^{\circ}$; $\Omega_{tp} = 0.1$ Hz and $\Omega_{tp} = 0.04$ Hz
- y_{PC}=-90 m, z_{PC}=5 m

Analysis codes

- Time-domain and harmonic balance ARCTIC
- FLUENT
- NREL OpenFAST

<u>CFD grid</u>

- FLUENT: about 10 M cells.
- ARCTIC: about 12 M cells.













Results: rotor power and thrust at Ω_{tp} =0.1 Hz

 $\beta=0^{\circ}, \Theta_{tp}=1^{\circ}$





- All 3 CFD codes are in good reciprocal agreement
 - At β=0° BEMT overpredicts peak CFD (HB4) T by 4.6%.
- At β=20° BEMT underpredicts peak CFD P by 3.4%.
- <u>4 harmonics are sufficient to</u> capture flow nonlinearity

 β =20°, Θ_{tp} =1°













Results: blade power and thrust at Ω_{to} =0.1 Hz

 $\beta = 0^{\circ}, \Theta_{tp} = 1^{\circ}$





- All 3 CFD codes are in good reciprocal agreement
 - At β =0° BEMT overpredicts peak CFD (HB4) T by 3.5%.
- At β=20° peak difference of BEMT and CFD P is 31.4%.
- 4 harmonics are sufficient to capture flow nonlinearity

 β =20°, Θ_{tp} =1°













Results: blade out-of-plane bending moment at Ω_{tp} =0.1 Hz



- All 3 CFD codes are in good reciprocal agreement
- At β=0° BEMT overpredicts peak CFD BM by 6.5%.
- At β=20° BEMT overpredicts peak CFD BM by up to 6.8%.
- 4 harmonics are sufficient to capture flow nonlinearity









Results: rotor power and thrust at Ω_{tp} =0.04 Hz

 $\beta=0^{\circ}, \Theta_{tp}=1^{\circ}$





- All 3 CFD codes are in good reciprocal agreement
- At β=0° BEMT overpredicts peak CFD (HB4) T by 4.9%.
- At β=20° BEMT underpredicts peak CFD P by 3.4%.
- 8 harmonics are sufficient to capture flow nonlinearity

 $\beta = 20^{\circ}, \Theta_{tp} = 1^{\circ}$













Results: blade power and thrust at Ω_{to} =0.04 Hz

 $\beta = 0^{\circ}, \Theta_{tp} = 1^{\circ}$





- All 3 CFD codes are in good reciprocal agreement
 - At β=0° BEMT overpredicts peak CFD (HB4) T by 5.4%.
- At β=20° peak difference of BEMT and CFD P is 25.4%.
 - 10 harmonics are sufficient to capture flow nonlinearity
- <u>BEMT blade P less oscillatory</u> <u>than CFD at β=0°; BEMT P &</u> <u>T more oscillatory at β=20°</u>

 β =20°, Θ_{tp} =1°













Results: blade out-of-plane bending moment at Ω_{tp} =0.04 Hz



- All 3 CFD codes are in good reciprocal agreement
- At β=0° BEMT overpredicts peak CFD BM by 7.8%.
- At β=20° BEMT overpredicts peak CFD BM by up to 9.6%.
- <u>To capture BM nonlinearity at</u> β=20°, 10 harmonics are needed; FLUENT needs 6+ pitching cycles (30+ revs.).









Summary

- Navier-Stokes CFD and BEMT predictions of pitching FOWT rotor aerodynamics performed at low and high Ω_{to} with/without yaw error.
- Quantitative BEMT/CFD agreement at high Ω_{tp} is fair, with BEMT overpredicting rotor T by 4.6%, underpredicting rotor power by 3.4% and overpredicting peak BM by up to 7%.
- Quantitative BEMT/CFD agreement at low Ω_{tp} is TBC, with BEMT overpredicting T by 4.9%, underpredicting power by 3.4% and overpredicting peak BM by up to 9.6%.
- Largest qualitative differences are observed for misaligned wind, and agreement BEMT/CFD is worse at low Ω_{tp} .
- <u>Harmonic balance CFD reduces by 2x to 4x cost</u> of high-fidelity analysis, with benefit increasing as pitching frequency decreases.









COSA will be made opensource by end of 2023.

Thank you for your attention!

m.s.campobasso@lancaster.ac.uk

Any questions?









Results: blade power and thrust of all blades at Ω_{tp} =0.04 Hz



 All 3 CFD codes are in good reciprocal agreement