### C-IDEALS OF LIE ALGEBRAS

### DAVID A. TOWERS

### Department of Mathematics, Lancaster University Lancaster LA1 4YF, England Email: d.towers@lancaster.ac.uk

#### Abstract

A subalgebra B of a Lie algebra L is called a *c-ideal* of L if there is an ideal C of L such that L = B + C and  $B \cap C \leq B_L$ , where  $B_L$  is the largest ideal of L contained in B. This is analogous to the concept of c-normal subgroup, which has been studied by a number of authors. We obtain some properties of c-ideals and use them to give some characterisations of solvable and supersolvable Lie algebras. We also classify those Lie algebras in which every one-dimensional subalgebra is a c-ideal.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 17B05, 17B20, 17B30, 17B50. Key Words and Phrases: Lie algebras, c-ideal, nilpotent, solvable, supersolvable, Frattini ideal.

# 1 Introduction

Throughout L will denote a finite-dimensional Lie algebra over a field F. If B is a subalgebra of L we define  $B_L$ , the *core* (with respect to L) of B to be the largest ideal of L contained in B. We say that a subalgebra B of L is a *c-ideal* of L if there is an ideal C of L such that L = B + C and  $B \cap C \leq B_L$ . This is analogous to the concept of c-normal subgroup as introduced by Wang in [10]; this concept has since been further studied by a number of authors, including Li and Guo ([5] and [6]), Jehad ([4]), Wang ([11]), Wei ([12]) and Skiba ([7]).

The maximal subalgebras of a Lie algebra L and their relationship to the structure of L have been studied extensively. It is known that L is nilpotent if and only if every maximal subalgebra of L is an ideal of L. A further result is that every maximal subalgebra of L has codimension one in L if and only if L is supersolvable. In this paper we obtain some similar characterisations of solvable and supersolvable Lie algebras in terms of c-ideals.

A subalgebra B of L is a *retract* of L if there is an endomorphism  $\theta : L \to L$  such that  $\theta(b) = b$  for all  $b \in B$  and  $\theta(x) \in B$  for all  $x \in L$ . Such a map  $\theta$  is called a *retraction*. Then it is easy to see that ideals of L and retracts of L are c-ideals of L; in the case of retracts the kernel of the retraction is an ideal that complements B. If F has characteristic zero then every Levi factor of L is a c-ideal of L.

In section one we give some basic properties of c-ideals; in particular, it is shown that c-ideals inside the Frattini subalgebra of a Lie algebra Lare necessarily ideals of L. In section two we first show that all maximal subalgebras of L are c-ideals of L if and only if L is solvable. It is further shown that, over a field of characteristic zero or over an algebraically closed field of characteristic p > 5, L has a solvable maximal subalgebra that is a c-ideal if and only if L is solvable. Finally we have that if all maximal nilpotent subalgebras of L are c-ideals, or if all Cartan subalgebras of L are c-ideals and F has characteristic zero, then L is solvable.

In section three we show that if every maximal subalgebra of each maximal nilpotent subalgebra of L is a c-ideal of L then L is supersolvable. If each of the maximal nilpotent subalgebras of L has dimension at least two then the assumption of solvability can be removed. Similarly if the field has characteristic zero and L is not three-dimensional simple then this restriction can be removed. In the final section we classify those Lie algebras in which every one-dimensional subalgebra is a c-ideal.

If A and B are subalgebras of L for which L = A + B and  $A \cap B = 0$ we will write  $L = A \oplus B$ . The ideals  $L^{(k)}$  and  $L^k$  are defined inductively by  $L^{(1)} = L^1 = L$ ,  $L^{(k+1)} = [L^{(k)}, L^{(k)}]$ ,  $L^{k+1} = [L, L^k]$  for  $k \ge 1$ . If A is a subalgebra of L, the *centralizer* of A in L is  $C_L(A) = \{x \in L : [x, A] = 0\}$ .

# 2 Preliminary results

First we give some basic properties of c-ideals.

**Lemma 2.1** (i) If B is a c-ideal of L and  $B \le K \le L$  then B is a c-ideal of K.

(ii) If I is an ideal of L and  $I \leq B$  then B is a c-ideal of L if and only if B/I is a c-ideal of L/I.

#### Proof.

- (i) Suppose that B is a c-ideal of L and  $B \leq K \leq L$ . Then there is an ideal C of L with L = B + C and  $B \cap C \leq B_L$ . It follows that  $K = (B + C) \cap K = B + C \cap K$ , where  $C \cap K$  is an ideal of K and  $B \cap C \cap K \leq B_L \cap K \leq B_K$ , and so B is a c-ideal K.
- (ii) Suppose first that B/I is a c-ideal of L/I. Then there is an ideal C/I of L/I such that L/I = B/I + C/I and  $(B/I) \cap (C/I) \leq (B/I)_{L/I} = B_L/I$ . It follows that L = B + C, where C is an ideal of L and  $B \cap C \leq B_L$ , whence B is a c-ideal of L.

Suppose conversely that I is an ideal of L with  $I \leq B$  such that B is a c-ideal of L. Then there is an ideal C of L such that L = B + C and  $B \cap C \leq B_L$ . Now L/I = B/I + (C+I)/I, where (C+I)/I is an ideal of L/I and  $(B/I) \cap (C+I)/I = (B \cap (C+I))/I = (I+B \cap C)/I \leq B_L/I = (B/I)_{L/I}$ , so B/I is a c-ideal of L/I.

The Frattini subalgebra of L, F(L), is the intersection of all of the maximal subalgebras of L. The Frattini ideal,  $\phi(L)$ , of L is  $F(L)_L$ . The next result shows, in particular, that c-ideals inside the Frattini subalgebra of a Lie algebra L are necessarily ideals of L.

**Proposition 2.2** Let B, C be subalgebras of L with  $B \leq F(C)$ . If B is a *c*-ideal of L then B is an ideal of L and  $B \leq \phi(L)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that L = B + K and  $B \cap K \leq B_L$ . Then  $C = C \cap L = C \cap (B + K) = B + C \cap K = C \cap K$  since  $B \leq F(C)$ . Hence  $B \leq C \leq K$ , giving  $B = B \cap K \leq B_L$  and B is an ideal of L. It then follows from [8, Lemma 4.1] that  $B \leq \phi(L)$ .

# **3** Some characterisations of solvable algebras

**Theorem 3.1** Let L be a Lie algebra over any field F. Then all maximal subalgebras of L are c-ideals of L if and only if L is solvable.

Proof. Let L be a non-solvable Lie algebra of smallest dimension in which maximal subalgebras are c-ideals of L. Then all proper factor algebras of Lare solvable, by Lemma 2.1 (ii). Suppose first that L is simple. Let M be a maximal subalgebra of L. Then M is a c-ideal so there is an ideal C of Lsuch that L = M + C and  $M \cap C \leq M_L = 0$ , as L is simple. This yields that C is a non-trivial proper ideal of L, a contradiction. If L has two minimal ideals  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ , then  $L/B_1$  and  $L/B_2$  are solvable and  $B_1 \cap B_2 = 0$ , so Lis solvable. Hence L has a unique minimal ideal B and L/B is solvable.

Suppose there is an element  $b \in B$  such that  $\operatorname{ad}_L b$  is not nilpotent. Let  $L = L_0 \oplus L_1$  be the Fitting decomposition of L relative to  $\operatorname{ad}_L b$ . Then  $L \neq L_0$  so let M be a maximal subalgebra of L containing  $L_0$ . As M is a c-ideal there is an ideal C of L such that L = M + C and  $M \cap C \leq M_L$ . Now  $L_1 \leq B$  so  $B \leq M_L$ . It follows that  $M_L = 0$  whence  $M = L_0$  and  $B = C = L_1$ . But  $b \in M \cap B = 0$ . Hence every element of B is ad-nilpotent, yielding that B is nilpotent and so L is solvable, a contradiction.

Now suppose that L is solvable and let M be a maximal subalgebra of L. Then there is a  $k \geq 2$  such that  $L^{(k)} \leq M$ , but  $L^{(k-1)} \not\leq M$ . We have that  $L^{(k-1)}$  is an ideal of L,  $L = M + L^{(k-1)}$  and  $M \cap L^{(k-1)} \leq M_L$ , so M is a c-ideal of L.

**Theorem 3.2** Let L be a Lie algebra over a field F of characteristic zero. Then L has a solvable maximal subalgebra that is a c-ideal of L if and only if L is solvable.

Proof. Suppose first that L has a solvable maximal subalgebra M that is a cideal of L. We show that L is solvable. Let L be a minimal counter-example. Then there is an ideal K of L such that L = M + K and  $M \cap K \leq M_L$ . Now  $M_L = 0$ , since otherwise,  $L/M_L$  is solvable and  $M_L$  is solvable, whence L is solvable, a contradiction. It follows that  $L = M \oplus K$ . If R is the solvable radical of L then  $R \leq M_L = 0$ , so L is semisimple and  $L^2 = L$ . But  $L^2 \leq M^2 + K \neq L$ , a contradiction. The result follows.

The converse follows from Theorem 3.1.

For fields of characteristic p > 0 we have the following result.

**Theorem 3.3** Let L be a Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field F of characteristic greater than 5. Then L has a solvable maximal subalgebra that is a c-ideal of L if and only if L is solvable.

Proof. Suppose first that L has a solvable maximal subalgebra M that is a c-ideal of L. We show that L is solvable. Let L be a minimal counterexample. Then, as above,  $L = M \oplus K$  and K is a minimal ideal of L. We follow the contents of [13]: M defines a filtration in which  $L_0 = M$ ,  $L_{i+1} = \{x \in L_i : [x, L] = L_i\}$ . When  $L_1 = 0$  this filtration is called *short*; otherwise it is *long*. Suppose first that it is short. Then, as in the first two paragraphs of the proof of [13, Theorem 2.2],  $L = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_p} L_i$ ,  $M = L_0$  and  $L_i$ is an irreducible M-submodule of L for each  $i \neq 0$ . Moreover, since K is an ideal of L,  $K = \bigoplus_{0 \neq i \in \mathbb{Z}_p} L_i$ . Let S be the subalgebra generated by  $L_1$ . Then S is spanned by commutators  $c(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = [x_1, [x_2, \ldots, [x_{n-1}, x_n]]$  with  $x_i \in L_1$  and  $n \geq 1$ . Now  $c(x_1, \ldots, x_p) \in M \cap K = 0$  for all  $x_1, \ldots, x_p \in L_1$ , so S is nilpotent. Also M idealizes S, so M + S is a subalgebra of L, whence L = M + S and S is an ideal of L. It follows that K = S is nilpotent and L is solvable, a contradiction.

Now suppose that the filtration is long. Then the nilradical, N, of M acts nilpotently on K, by [13, Proposition 2.5]. Let  $C = C_K(N)$ . Then  $C \neq 0$  and M + C is a subalgebra of L. It follows that L = M + C, whence K = C. But this means that N is an ideal of L, so that  $N \subseteq M_L = 0$ . We conclude that M = 0, a contradiction.

The converse follows from Theorem 3.1 as before.

**Theorem 3.4** Let L be a Lie algebra over any field F, such that all maximal nilpotent subalgebras of L are c-ideals of L. Then L is solvable.

*Proof.* Let N be the nilradical of L and let  $x \notin N$ . Then  $x \in B$  for some maximal nilpotent subalgebra B of L, and there is an ideal C of L such that L = B + C and  $B \cap C \leq B_L$ . Clearly  $x \notin B_L \leq N$ , so  $x \notin C$ . Moreover,  $L/C \cong B/(B \cap C)$  is nilpotent. So if  $x \notin N$ , there is an ideal C of L such that  $x \notin C$  and L/C is nilpotent.

So let  $x_1 \notin N$  and let  $C_1$  be such an ideal with  $x_1 \notin C_1$  and  $L/C_1$ nilpotent. If  $C_1 \leq N$  we have finished. If not, then choose  $x_2 \in C_1 \setminus N$ and let  $C_2$  be such an ideal with  $x_2 \notin C_2$  and  $L/C_2$  nilpotent. Clearly dim  $(C_1 \cap C_2) < \dim C_1$ . If  $C_1 \cap C_2 \not\leq N$ , choose  $x_3 \in (C_1 \cap C_2) \setminus N$ . Continuing in this way we find ideals  $C_1, \ldots, C_n$  of L such that  $C_1 \cap \ldots \cap C_n \leq N$  and  $L/C_i$  is nilpotent for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Since  $L/(C_1 \cap \ldots \cap C_n)$  is solvable, the result follows.

**Theorem 3.5** Let L be a Lie algebra, over a field F of characteristic zero, in which every Cartan subalgebra of L is a c-ideal of L. Then L is solvable.

Proof. Suppose that every Cartan subalgebra of L is a c-ideal of L, and that L has a non-zero Levi factor S. Let H be a Cartan subalgebra of Sand let B be a Cartan subalgebra of its centralizer in the solvable radical of L. Then C = H + B is a Cartan subalgebra of L (see [3]) and there is an ideal K of L such that L = C + K and  $C \cap K \leq C_L$ . Now there is an  $r \geq 2$ such that  $L^{(r)} \leq K$ . But  $S \leq L^{(r)} \leq K$ , so  $C \cap S \leq C \cap K \leq C_L$  giving  $C \cap S \leq C_L \cap S = 0$ , a contradiction. It follows that S = 0 and hence that L is solvable.

**Note:** If  $L^{\infty} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} L^i$  is abelian then the converse to the above theorem holds, by Theorem 4.4.1.1 of [14].

### 4 Some characterisations of supersolvable algebras

First we need some preliminary results concerning maximal nilpotent subalgebras of Lie algebras.

**Lemma 4.1** Let L be a Lie algebra over any field F, let A be an ideal of L and let U/A be a maximal nilpotent subalgebra of L/A. Then U = C + A, where C is a maximal nilpotent subalgebra of L.

Proof. If  $A \leq \phi(U)$  then  $U/\phi(U)$  is nilpotent, whence U is nilpotent, by Theorem 6.1 of [8] and the result is clear. So suppose that  $A \not\leq \phi(U)$ . Then U = A + M for some maximal subalgebra M of U. If we choose B to be minimal with respect to U = A + B, then  $A \cap B \leq \phi(B)$ , by Lemma 7.1 of [8]. Also  $U/A \cong B/(A \cap B)$  is nilpotent, which yields that B is nilpotent. If we now choose C to be the biggest nilpotent subalgebra of U such that U = A + C, it is easy to see that C is a maximal nilpotent subalgebra of L. **Lemma 4.2** Let L be a Lie algebra, over any field F, in which every maximal subalgebra of each maximal nilpotent subalgebra of L is a c-ideal of L, and let A be a minimal abelian ideal of L. Then every maximal subalgebra of each maximal nilpotent subalgebra of L/A is a c-ideal of L/A.

Proof. Suppose that U/A is a maximal nilpotent subalgebra of L/A. Then U = C + A where C is a maximal nilpotent subalgebra of L by Lemma 4.1. Let B/A be a maximal subalgebra of U/A. Then  $B = B \cap (C + A) = B \cap C + A = D + A$  where D is a maximal subalgebra of C with  $B \cap C \leq D$ . Now D is a c-ideal of L so there is an ideal K of L with L = D + K and  $D \cap K \leq D_L$ .

If  $A \leq K$  we have L/A = (D+K)/A = ((D+A)/A) + (K/A) = (B/A) + (K/A), and  $(B/A) \cap (K/A) = (B \cap K)/A = ((D+A) \cap K)/A = (D \cap K + A)/A \leq (D_L + A)/A \leq (B/A)_{L/A}$ .

If  $A \not\leq K$ , we have  $A \cap K = 0$ . Then (A + K)/K is a minimal ideal of L/K, which is nilpotent, so dimA = 1 and  $LA \leq A \cap K = 0$ . It follows that  $A \leq C$  and B = D. We have L = B + K and  $B \cap K \leq B_L$ , so L/A = (B/A) + ((K+A)/A) and  $(B/A) \cap ((K+A)/A) = (B \cap (K+A))/A = (B \cap K + A)/A \leq (B_L + A)/A \leq (B/A)_{L/A}$ .

**Lemma 4.3** Let L be a Lie algebra, over any field F, in which every maximal subalgebra of each maximal nilpotent subalgebra of L is a c-ideal of L, and suppose that A is a minimal abelian ideal of L and M is a core-free maximal subalgebra of L. Then A is one dimensional.

*Proof.* We have that  $L = A \oplus M$  and A is the unique minimal ideal of L, by Lemma 1.4 of [9]. Let C be a maximal nilpotent subalgebra of L with  $A \leq C$ . If C = A, choose B to be a maximal subalgebra of A, so that A = B + Fa and  $B_L = 0$ . Then B is a c-ideal of L so there is an ideal K of L with L = B + K and  $B \cap K \leq B_L = 0$ . But now L = A + K = K, giving B = 0 and dimA = 1.

So suppose that  $C \neq A$ . Then  $C = A + M \cap C$ . Let B be a maximal subalgebra of C containing  $M \cap C$ . Then B is a c-ideal of L, so there is an ideal K of L with L = B + K and  $B \cap K \leq B_L$ . If  $A \leq B_L \leq B$  we have  $C = A + M \cap C \leq B$ , a contradiction. Hence  $B_L = 0$  and  $L = B \oplus K$ . Now  $C = B + C \cap K$  and  $B \cap C \cap K = B \cap K = 0$ . As C is nilpotent this means that  $\dim(C \cap K) = 1$ . But  $A \leq C \cap K$ , so  $\dim A = 1$ , as required.

We can now prove our main result.

**Theorem 4.4** Let L be a solvable Lie algebra, over any field F, in which every maximal subalgebra of each maximal nilpotent subalgebra of L is a c-ideal of L. Then L is supersolvable.

*Proof.* Let L be a minimal counter-example and let A be a minimal abelian ideal of L. Then L/A satisfies the same hypotheses, by Lemma 4.2. We thus have that L/A is supersolvable, and it remains to show that dimA = 1.

If there is another minimal ideal I of L, then  $A \cong (A+I)/I \leq L/I$ , which is supersolvable and so dimA = 1. So we can assume that A is the unique minimal ideal of L. Also, if  $A \leq \phi(L)$  we have that  $L/\phi(L)$  is supersolvable, whence L is supersolvable, by Theorem 7 of [2]. We therefore further assume that  $A \not\leq \phi(L)$ . It follows that  $L = A \oplus M$ , where M is a core-free maximal subalgebra of L. The result now follows from Lemma 4.3.

If L has no one-dimensional maximal nilpotent subalgebras, we can remove the solvability assumption from the above result.

**Corollary 4.5** Let L be a Lie algebra, over any field F, in which every maximal nilpotent subalgebra has dimension at least two. If every maximal subalgebra of each maximal nilpotent subalgebra of L is a c-ideal of L, then L is supersolvable.

*Proof.* Let N be the nilradical of L and let  $x \notin N$ . Then  $x \in C$  for some maximal nilpotent subalgebra C of L. Since dimC > 1, there is a maximal subalgebra B of C with  $x \in B$ . Now there is an ideal K of L with L = B + K and  $B \cap K \leq B_L \leq C_L \leq N$ . Clearly  $x \notin K$ , since otherwise  $x \in B \cap K \leq N$ . Moreover, L/K is nilpotent. We have shown that if  $x \notin N$  there is an ideal K of L with  $x \notin K$  and L/K nilpotent. Proceeding as in Theorem 3.4 we see that L is solvable. The result then follows from Theorem 4.4.

If L has a one-dimensional maximal nilpotent subalgebra then we can also remove the solvability assumption from Theorem 4.4 provided that the underlying field F has characteristic zero and L is not three-dimensional simple.

**Corollary 4.6** Let L be a Lie algebra over a field F of characteristic zero. If every maximal subalgebra of each maximal nilpotent subalgebra of L is a c-ideal of L, then L is supersolvable or three-dimensional simple. *Proof.* If every maximal nilpotent subalgebra of L has dimension at least two then L is supersolvable, by Corollary 4.5. So we need only consider the case where L has a one-dimensional maximal nilpotent subalgebra, Fx say.

Suppose first that L is semisimple, so  $L = S_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus S_n$ , where  $S_i$  is a simple ideal of L for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let n > 1. If  $x \in S_i$  then choosing  $s \in S_j$  with  $j \neq i$  we have that Fx + Fs is a two-dimensional abelian subalgebra, which contradicts the maximality of Fx. If  $x \notin S_i$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , then x has non-zero projections in at least two of the  $S_k$ 's, say  $s_i \in S_i$  and  $s_j \in S_j$ . But then  $Fx + Fs_i$  is a two-dimensional abelian subalgebra, a contradiction again. It follows that L is simple. But then Fx is a Cartan subalgebra of L, which yields that L has rank one and thus is three dimensional.

So now let L be a minimal counter-example. We have seen that L is not semisimple, so it has a minimal abelian ideal A. By Lemma 4.2, L/A is supersolvable or three-dimensional simple. In the former case, L is solvable and so supersolvable, by Theorem 4.4. In the latter case,  $L = A \oplus S$  where S is three-dimensional simple, and so a core-free maximal subalgebra of L. It follows from Lemma 4.3 that dimA = 1. But now  $C_L(A) = A$  or L. In the former case  $S \cong L/A = L/C_L(A) \cong Inn(A)$ , a subalgebra of Der(A), which is impossible. Hence  $L = A \oplus S$ , where A and S are both ideals of L, and again L has no one-dimensional maximal nilpotent subalgebras.

# 5 One-dimensional c-ideals

First we note that one-dimensional c-ideals are easy to classify.

**Proposition 5.1** Let L be a Lie algebra over any field F. Then the onedimensional subalgebra Fx of L is a c-ideal of L if and only if

- (i) Fx is an ideal of L; or
- (ii)  $x \notin L^2$ .

*Proof.* Let Fx be a c-ideal of L. Then there is an ideal K of L such that L = Fx + K and  $Fx \cap K \leq (Fx)_L$ . But  $Fx \cap K = Fx$  or 0. The former implies that Fx is an ideal of L, and the latter implies that  $x \notin L^2 \leq K$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $x \notin L^2$ . Then there is a subspace K of L of codimension one in L such that  $L^2 \leq K$  and  $x \notin K$ . Clearly  $L = Fx \oplus K$  and K is an ideal of L, whence Fx is a c-ideal of L.

We shall denote by Z(L) the *centre* of L; that is  $Z(L) = \{x \in L : [x, y] = 0$  for all  $y \in L\}$ . The *abelian socle* of L, AsocL, is the sum of the minimal abelian ideals of L. We say that L is *almost abelian* if  $L = L^2 \oplus Fx$ , where  $L^2$  is abelian and [x, y] = y for all  $y \in L^2$ .

**Theorem 5.2** Let L be a Lie algebra over any field F. Then all onedimensional subalgebras of L are c-ideals of L if and only if

- (i)  $L^3 = 0$ ; or
- (ii)  $L = A \oplus B$ , where A is an abelian ideal of L and B is an almost abelian ideal of L.

*Proof.* Suppose that all one-dimensional subalgebras of L are c-ideals of L. First note that the one-dimensional ideals are inside AsocL. If Fx is not an ideal of L then there is an ideal M of L such that L = Fx + M and  $Fx \cap M \leq (Fx)_L = 0$ , so Fx is complemented by an ideal of codimension one in L.

Now let A be a minimal ideal of L and let  $a \in A$ . If  $A \neq Fa$  then there is an ideal M of codimension one in L which complements Fa. But this implies that  $M \cap A = 0$ , whence A = Fa, a contradiction. It follows that all minimal ideals are one dimensional. Put Asoc $L = Fa_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus Fa_r$ . Suppose that  $[x, a_i] = \lambda a_i$ ,  $[x, a_j] = \mu a_j$  and  $\lambda \neq \mu$ . Then  $F(a_i + a_j)$  is not an ideal of L, and so there is an ideal M of L with  $L = F(a_i + a_j) \oplus M$ . Clearly one of  $a_i, a_j$  does not belong to M: suppose  $a_i \notin M$ . Then  $L = Fa_i \oplus M$  and  $a_i \in Z(L)$ . Hence Asoc $L = Z \oplus D$ , where Z = Z(L) and  $[x, a] = \lambda_x a$  for all  $a \in D$  and  $\lambda_x \neq 0$ .

Let  $\Lambda : L \to F$  be given by  $\Lambda(x) = \lambda_x$ . This is a one-dimensional representation of L. Hence, either Im  $\Lambda = 0$ , in which case D = 0, or else  $L = \text{Ker } \Lambda \oplus Fx$  and  $\lambda_x = 1$ . Put  $L = Z \oplus D \oplus C \oplus Fx$ , where  $C \subseteq \text{Ker } \Lambda$ .

If  $y \notin \operatorname{Asoc} L$  then Fy is complemented by an ideal M and  $L^2 \leq M$ , so  $y \notin L^2$ . This yields that  $L^2 \leq \operatorname{Asoc} L$ . Clearly  $D \leq L^2$ . If  $L^2 \leq Z$  then  $L^3 = 0$  and we have case (i). So suppose that  $D \neq 0$  and let  $a \in D$ . If there is an element  $z \in Z \cap L^2$ , then F(z + a) is not an ideal of L and so  $z + a \notin L^2$ , a contradiction. Hence  $L^2 = D$ .

Let  $c \in C$ . If [x, c] = 0 then Fc is an ideal of L and  $c \in C \cap \operatorname{Asoc} L = 0$ . So suppose  $[x, c] \neq 0$ . Then  $[x, c] \in D$  so [x, c - [x, c]] = 0. This implies that F(c - [x, c]) is an ideal of L, whence  $c - [x, c] \in \operatorname{Asoc} L$ . But now  $c \in C \cap \operatorname{Asoc} L = 0$ . Hence C = 0 and L is as described in (ii). Now suppose that  $L^3 = 0$ . If  $x \in L^2$  then Fx is an ideal of L. If  $x \notin L^2$  there is a subspace M of codimension one in L containing  $L^2$  such that  $x \notin M$ . This implies that Fx is a c-ideal of L.

Finally suppose that L is as in (ii): say  $L = Z \oplus A \oplus Fx$  where Z = Z(L), A is abelian and [x, a] = a for all  $a \in A$ . Let  $z + a + \alpha x \in L$ . If  $z \neq 0$  then choosing  $M = Z_1 \oplus A \oplus Fx$  where  $Z = Z_1 \oplus Fz$  shows that  $F(z + a + \alpha x)$ is a c-ideal of L. So suppose z = 0. If  $\alpha = 0$  then Fa is an ideal of L. If  $\alpha \neq 0$  then choosing  $M = Z \oplus A$  shows that  $F(a + \alpha x)$  is a c-ideal of L.

# References

- [1] Barnes, D. W.: Nilpotency of Lie algebras. Math. Z. 79, 237–238 (1962).
- Barnes, D. W.: On the cohomology of soluble Lie algebras. Math. Z. 101, 343–349 (1967).
- [3] Dixmier, J.: Sous-algèbres de Cartan et décompositions de Levi dans les algèbres de Lie (French). Trans. Roy. Soc. Canada Sect. III (3) 50, 17–21 (1956).
- [4] Jehad, J.: Some conditions for solubility. Math. J. Okayama Univ. 42, 1-5 (2000).
- [5] Li, D., Guo, X.: The influence of c-normality on the structure of finite groups. J. Pure and Applied Algebra 150, 53–60 (2000).
- [6] Li, D., Guo, X.: The influence of minimal subgroups on the structure of finite groups, II. Comm. Algebra 26, 1913–1922 (1998).
- [7] Skiba, A. N.: A note on c-normal subgroups of finite groups. Algebra and Discrete Math. 3, 85–95 (2005).
- [8] Towers, D. A.: A Frattini theory for algebras. Proc. London Math. Soc.
  (3) 27, 440–462 (1973).
- [9] Towers, D. A.: On Lie algebras in which modular pairs of subalgebras are permutable. J. Algebra 68, 369–377 (1981).
- [10] Wang, Y.: C-normality of groups and its properties. J. Algebra 180, 954–965 (1996).
- [11] Wang, Y.: The influence of minimal subgroups on the structure of finite groups. Acta Math. Sinica 16, 63–70 (2000).

- [12] Wei, H.: On c-normal maximal and minimal subgroups of Sylow subgroups of finite groups. *Comm. Algebra* 29, 2193–2200 (2001).
- [13] Weisfeiler, B.: On subalgebras of simple Lie algebras of characteristic p > 0'. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **286**, 471–503 (1984).
- [14] Winter, D. J.: Abstract Lie algebras. M.I.T. Press, Cambridge, Mass. (1972).