

1 **Title:** Fertilizer ammonium to nitrate ratios determine phosphorus uptake in young maize plants

2 **Authors:**

3 Ingeborg F. Pedersen<sup>1\*</sup>, Peter Sørensen<sup>1</sup>, Jim Rasmussen<sup>1</sup>, Paul J. A. Withers<sup>2</sup> and Gitte Holton

4 Rubæk<sup>1</sup>.

5 <sup>1</sup>Department of Agroecology, Faculty of Science and Technology, Aarhus University, Blichers Allé

6 20, PO box 50, 8830 Tjele, Denmark

7 <sup>2</sup>Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YQ, UK

8 \*Corresponding author

9 Ingeborg Frøsig Pedersen, [ifp@agro.au.dk](mailto:ifp@agro.au.dk), Tel.: +45 27141009

10 Running title: Effect of nitrogen form on phosphorus uptake in maize plants

11 Number of text pages: 19

12 Number of tables: 2

13 Number of figures: 4

14 **Key words:** Nitrogen form; Phosphorus; Rhizosphere; Soil acidification; Starter fertilizer; *Zea mays*

15 **Abstract**

16 We investigated the interacting effects of inorganic nitrogen and the main inorganic phosphorus form  
17 in dairy manure (dicalcium phosphate,  $\text{CaHPO}_4$ ) on growth, nutrient uptake and rhizosphere pH of  
18 young maize plants.

19 In a pot experiment three levels of  $\text{CaHPO}_4$  (0, 167 and 500 mg P  $\text{pot}^{-1}$ ) were combined with nitrogen  
20 (637 mg N  $\text{pot}^{-1}$ ) applied at five  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}:\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  ratios (0:100, 25:75, 50:50, 75:25 and 100:0) and a  
21 nitrification inhibitor in a concentrated layer of a typical acid sandy soil from Denmark.  $^{15}\text{N}$ -labelled  
22  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  was applied to differentiate the role of nitrification and to partition nitrogen uptake derived  
23 from  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ .

24 Among treatments including nitrogen, shoot biomass, rooting and phosphorus uptake were  
25 significantly higher at the five-leaf stage, when  $\text{CaHPO}_4$  was applied with  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}:\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  ratios of  
26 50:50 and 75:25. In these treatments, rhizosphere pH dropped significantly in direct proportion with  
27  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  uptake. The fertilizers in the concentrated layer had a root inhibiting effect in treatments  
28 without phosphorus supply and in treatments with pure  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  or  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  supply.

29 Increased nitrogen uptake as  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  instead of  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  reduced rhizosphere pH and enhanced  
30 acquisition of applied  $\text{CaHPO}_4$  in young maize plants, which could have positive implications for the  
31 enhanced utilization of manure phosphorus.

32

## 33 **1 Introduction**

34 Strategies to increase the efficiency of recyclable phosphorus (P) use within regional and global  
35 agriculture is a key step towards the sustainable intensification of food production. One option towards  
36 greater P sustainability is to minimize the use of mineral fertilizer derived from phosphate rock. This  
37 to some extent may be achieved by increasing the utilization of P in livestock manure, which is the  
38 largest source of recyclable P in Europe (*Ott and Rechberger, 2012*). Indeed more effective recycling  
39 of P in livestock manure could potentially substitute a substantial part of mineral P fertilizer  
40 consumption, and aid the transition towards a circular economy for P (*Withers et al., 2015*). However,  
41 to achieve a greater integration of livestock manure nutrients on the farm, a better understanding is  
42 needed of how the availability of P in livestock manure is regulated in plant-soil systems, especially  
43 for the inorganic P forms that prevail in manures.

44 In northwest Europe, maize (*Zea mays* L.) for silage is an important crop on intensive dairy farms. The  
45 P applied with dairy manure often fully matches the P exported from the field with the crop, but in  
46 Danish maize production 10-15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of mineral P fertilizer is routinely placed near the seed at  
47 sowing (starter P fertilizer) in addition to non-positioned injection of dairy slurry (*Knudsen, 2010*).  
48 Starter fertilizer is widely used for maize in many other regions including other northwest European  
49 countries (e.g. *Schröder et al., 1997*). This starter fertilizer is considered necessary because a lack of P  
50 in the early growing stages can compromise the final crop yield (*Barry and Miller 1989; Grant et al.,*  
51 *2001*). However, long-term application of P above crop P demand can lead to P accumulation in soil,  
52 which enhances the eutrophication risk in downstream waterbodies (*Kronvang et al., 2009*). A reliance  
53 on placed soluble inorganic fertilizer for starter nutrients reflects its immediate availability to plants,  
54 but these starter nutrients could potentially be supplied by the dairy manure if the inorganic nutrients  
55 contained in dairy manure can be equally relied upon to satisfy crop nutrient demands during the early  
56 growth stages. This crop demand could be satisfied, for instance, by placement of injected cattle slurry  
57 (e.g. *Schröder et al., 2015*), but the interacting effects of placed inorganic nitrogen (N) and P present  
58 in cattle slurry that could affect the availability of injected slurry P must be clarified. Since P is taken  
59 up by plants as inorganic orthophosphate from the soil solution, the inorganic P forms in animal

60 manures are more readily available to plants than organic P forms and inorganic P forms constitute up  
61 to 92 percent of total P in dairy manure (*Sharpley and Moyer, 2000*). Dicalcium phosphate ( $\text{CaHPO}_4$ ,  
62 DCP) constitutes more than half of the inorganic P in dairy manure, and the solubility of DCP is  
63 strongly dependent on solution pH among other factors (*Güngör et al., 2007; Pagliari, 2014*). As  
64 manure also contains nutrients other than P, most notably N, nutrient interactions after addition to the  
65 soil may affect P availability in the silage maize cropping system. It is unclear though how the supply  
66 of ammonium N ( $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ ), which is the dominant form of inorganic N in dairy slurry (*Webb et al.,*  
67 *2013*) affects the short-term availability of DCP.

68 Previous studies have shown that rhizosphere pH decreases when plants are supplied with  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ ,  
69 whereas rhizosphere pH increases when the plants are supplied with nitrate N ( $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ ) (*Riley and*  
70 *Barber, 1971*). Such pH changes in the rhizosphere may influence the availability of inorganic P  
71 present in dairy manure, through pH controls on P speciation, precipitation and sorption processes. For  
72 highly soluble mineral P, *Jing et al. (2010)* showed that the combination of localized supply of P with  
73  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  improved maize growth and root proliferation on a calcareous soil. A recent meta-analysis by  
74 *Nkebiwe et al. (2016)* also concluded that placement of  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  in combination with highly soluble P  
75 was more effective in increasing yield than placement of either  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  or soluble P alone across  
76 various crop types. However, it has not previously been studied if less soluble inorganic P forms  
77 present in dairy manure such as DCP also become more available to young maize plants, when the  
78 plants are supplied with a higher amount of  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  relative to the  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  supply, or if the high  
79 application rate of  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  normally applied in slurry could form an unfavorable environment for root  
80 growth.

81 To provide a better mechanistic understanding of the interaction between inorganic N form and DCP  
82 via pH changes in the rhizosphere, we mimicked the addition of inorganic N and DCP in dairy manure  
83 in a pot trial with maize. We hypothesized that growth and P-uptake in young maize plants would be  
84 improved when a higher proportion of  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  was applied relative to  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  due to increased plant  
85 availability of DCP induced by a pH decline in the rhizosphere, when N was taken up as  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ . The  
86 aim was to determine the effect of increasing  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}:\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  application ratios on pH in the

87 rhizosphere compared to the bulk soil and to study how such pH changes in the rhizosphere affect the  
88 availability of DCP, and whether high  $\text{NH}_4\text{N}$  concentrations in the soil restrict root growth.

## 89 **2 Materials and methods**

### 90 **2.1 Experimental details**

91 Maize was grown in cylindrical 1.9 L pots in a full factorial experiment with four replicates that  
92 included three levels of P in DCP (0, 167 and 500 mg P pot<sup>-1</sup>) and five  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}:\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  ratios (0:100,  
93 25:75, 50:50, 75:25 and 100:0 applied at a total rate of 637 mg N pot<sup>-1</sup>, Table 1) in all combinations  
94 with a nitrification inhibitor 3,4-dimethylpyrazole phosphate (DMPP) to reduce the conversion of  
95  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  to  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ . The  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  additions were labelled with <sup>15</sup>N to quantify the amount of  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  in  
96 soil at harvest derived from the  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  fertilizer due to nitrification, and to quantify the amount of N  
97 in plants derived from  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  fertilizer. Additionally three reference treatments (0N treatments) for  
98 each P level were tested to study the plant growth response and soil pH development without N  
99 application. One reference treatment with 100%  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  and no maize plants, and one with 100%  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$   
100 and no DMPP were also included to test the influence of plant growth, nitrification and DMPP,  
101 respectively on soil pH and N dynamics.

102 The 1.9 L pots (inner diameter 103 mm) contained a coarse sandy topsoil (5-15 cm) collected from  
103 Jyndevad Experimental Station, Southern Denmark. The soil was sieved (5 mm), mixed and filled in  
104 pots to a height of 23.5 cm. The coarse sandy soil, which is a common soil type of Danish agricultural  
105 land with maize cropping, had 3% clay (<2  $\mu\text{m}$ ), 4% silt (2-20  $\mu\text{m}$ ), 91% sand (20  $\mu\text{m}$  to 2 mm),  
106 1.69% carbon and 0.13% N. The soil classifies as Orthic Haplohumod (USDA Soil Taxonomy  
107 System). The gravimetric water content at field capacity under pot conditions defined by *Kirkham*  
108 (2004) was 28%. At the start of the experiment, the coarse sandy soil had a pH ( $\text{CaCl}_2$ ) of 5.4, and  
109 Olsen-P content of 21 mg P kg<sup>-1</sup> (defined as a soil with medium P fertility in *Jordan-Meille et al.*,  
110 (2012)). The Olsen-P test is the official soil-P test used on all soil types in Denmark and is widely used  
111 across Europe on a range of soils, including acid sandy soils (*Jordan-Meille et al.*, 2012). Initially, the  
112 soil contained 2 mg  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  and 8 mg  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  kg<sup>-1</sup> dry soil. The soil was carefully packed into the pots  
113 in three separate layers: 1568 g of soil was packed into a lower soil layer equivalent to 14.5 cm height,

114 432 g of soil enriched with the N and P fertilizer treatments constituted the middle soil layer  
115 equivalent to 4 cm height, and 502 g of soil equivalent to 5 cm height constituted the upper soil layer  
116 (Fig. 1a). A nylon mesh (mesh size=8 mm) separated the middle enriched soil layer from the lower  
117 and upper soil layer to be able to identify the middle layer at harvest. In total 2.5 kg soil was packed  
118 into each pot at a bulk density of 1.3 g cm<sup>-3</sup>.

119 (Figure 1)

120 The N and P (and DMPP) treatments were mixed into the middle soil layer only in order to simulate a  
121 concentrated slurry injection band in forms and concentrations that mimicked the form of N (NH<sub>4</sub>-N)  
122 and P (DCP) most abundant in dairy slurry. Application of the fertilizers in a concentrated layer  
123 simulated placed and injected fertilizer. DCP was applied as dry powder at a rate of 0, 167 or 500 mg  
124 P pot<sup>-1</sup> corresponding to 0, 15 and 45 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> based on a plant density of 90,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> (75 cm  
125 distance between rows and 15 cm distance within rows). The rate of 15 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> represents  
126 recommended agronomic practice in Denmark, and the rate of 45 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> was chosen to avoid  
127 potential P limitation to plant growth. The N fertilizer was applied in increasing proportions of NH<sub>4</sub>-N  
128 relative to the amount of NO<sub>3</sub>-N. The total N application rate was 637 mg N pot<sup>-1</sup> corresponding to 57  
129 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> based in a plant density of 90,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>. The N application rate was based on a typical  
130 NH<sub>4</sub>-N:P ratio in cattle slurry for the P level of 15 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>. NO<sub>3</sub>-N was applied as potassium nitrate  
131 (KNO<sub>3</sub>), and <sup>15</sup>N-labelled NH<sub>4</sub>-N as ammonium sulphate ((NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>; 5.7% atom% <sup>15</sup>N). To prevent  
132 microbial oxidation of ammonium, Vizura ® (BASF, Ludwigshafen, Germany) was added at a rate of  
133 1% of total N applied in all treatments except the reference treatment with 100% NH<sub>4</sub>-N and 167 mg P  
134 pot<sup>-1</sup>. The stock solution consisted of 10% (w/w) DMPP (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>11</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>P) in 40% phosphoric acid (w/w).  
135 This stock solution was mixed with the (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> fertilizer solutions and with demineralized water in  
136 the 0% NH<sub>4</sub>-N treatments adding 9 mg P pot<sup>-1</sup> from DMPP and phosphoric acid (equivalent to 5.1 and  
137 1.7% of P application in the 167 and 500 mg P pot<sup>-1</sup> treatments, respectively). Additional nutrients K,  
138 S and Mg were applied as solutions to the lower soil layer ten days before sowing at rates per pot of:  
139 804 mg K (as K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), 39 mg Mg (as MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and 381 mg S (as K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and MgSO<sub>4</sub>), based on the P:K  
140 ratio in cattle slurry. Other nutrients were applied to all pots by later surface irrigation 15 days after

141 sowing at a rate per pot of 2.9 mg Mn, 2.1 mg Zn, 0.4 mg B, 1.2 mg Cu, 0.03 mg Co and 0.5 mg Mo.  
142 These additional nutrients were added to eliminate other nutrient effects than P and N.  
143 Maize seeds of an early developing maize hybrid (cv. Emblem, FAO 180; Limagrain) with an average  
144 weight of 345 mg were pre-germinated and transplanted at 3 cm depth into the pots containing soil  
145 prewetted to 50% field capacity. The pots were then placed in a climate-controlled chamber at a daily  
146 average temperature of 15 °C with a daily amplitude from 11 to 19 °C for the first 10 days, a mean  
147 temperature increase of 0.1 °C day<sup>-1</sup> after 10 days, and a relative mean air humidity of 75%. The  
148 plants were grown in 16 h photoperiods with light intensities ranging from 170 to 1060 μmol photons  
149 m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> to mimic Danish growing conditions in spring. The pots were irrigated with demineralized  
150 water to a water content of 60% of field capacity during the first 21 days of growth, and then to 65%  
151 from 21 days of growth until harvest (34 days). The position of the pots in the climate chamber was  
152 randomly changed every fourth day to minimize any positional effects.

## 153 **2.2 Plant and soil measurements**

154 The maize plants were harvested destructively by cutting stems 1 cm above soil surface 55 days after  
155 sowing. The harvest date was based on the establishment of a clear difference between the P-levels in  
156 chlorophyll content indices, plant height and leaf area at the five-leaf stage. The soil column was  
157 removed intact using a hydraulic pusher and cut into three layers (upper, middle, lower) using a knife.  
158 For each layer, the bulk soil and rhizosphere soil were sampled separately immediately after  
159 separation of the column. The rhizosphere soil was defined as the soil adhering to the roots. Root and  
160 rhizosphere soil were separated by placing the roots on a 2 mm sieve and gently tapping on the side of  
161 the sieve and collecting the soil passing the sieve. Bulk soil was defined as the remaining soil after  
162 sampling of roots and adhering soil, and was sieved to 4 mm. All soils were kept at 2 °C until analyses  
163 were performed two to three days after harvest. Sub-samples of the bulk soil were oven-dried for 24 h  
164 at 105 °C. The roots from each layer were washed with deionized water right after separation from the  
165 rhizosphere soil. The maize seed was included in the upper root layer. The shoots and roots were  
166 oven-dried at 60 °C to constant weight (min 48 h) for determination of dry matter (DM) and ground to  
167 a fine powder in a ball-mill prior to analysis.

168 **2.3 Analytical methods**

169 Soil pH was measured by glass electrode in 0.01 M CaCl<sub>2</sub> suspensions (1:2.5, w/w). NH<sub>4</sub>-N and NO<sub>3</sub>-  
170 N in soil were determined by flow colorimetry (Autoanalyzer III, Bran + Luebbe GmbH, Norderstedt,  
171 Germany) after shaking fresh soil immediately after sampling with 2 M KCl for 30 minutes (1:4,  
172 w/w). To study the rate of nitrification, the amount of <sup>15</sup>N-NH<sub>4</sub> and <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub> was determined in the  
173 soil extract by sequential diffusion analyses (Sørensen and Jensen, 1991). Electrical conductivity was  
174 measured in the supernatant after shaking 1 g of soil in 50 ml of deionized water for 1 h at 20 °C  
175 followed by centrifugation for 10 min at 1831 x g (20 °C).  
176 Total N in shoots and roots and <sup>15</sup>N enrichment of shoots, roots and soil extracts were determined at  
177 the UC Davis Stable Isotope Facility (UC Davis, CA, USA) using a PDZ Europa ANCA-GSL  
178 elemental analyser interfaced to a PDZ Europe 20-20 isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Sercon Ltd.  
179 Cheshire, UK). The P concentration in shoot and root tissue was determined by digesting 300 mg dried  
180 plant material in 3 ml H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (9.7 M) and 6 ml HNO<sub>3</sub> (14.3 M) under pressure in a microwave. In case  
181 of less material than 300 mg, a minimum 100 mg was digested. The P concentration in the diluted  
182 digest was determined by ICP-OES (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). All soil and plant  
183 results are expressed on an oven-dry basis.

184 **2.4 Calculations and statistical analysis**

185 Total P uptake (PU) and N uptake (NU) was calculated from DM weights and the P and N  
186 concentration in the shoot and root tissue, respectively. The concentration of protons in 0.01 M CaCl<sub>2</sub>  
187 soil suspension was calculated as  $[H^+] = 10^{-soil\ pH(0.01M\ CaCl_2)}$ .

188 Percentage of N in plant derived from NH<sub>4</sub>-N fertilizer ( $N_{plant}dfNH_4$ ) was calculated as:

189 
$$N_{plant}dfNH_4 = \frac{{}^{15}N_{excess\ plant}}{{}^{15}N_{excess\ fertilizer}} \times 100$$

190 where <sup>15</sup>N<sub>excess</sub> in plant was calculated as the atom% <sup>15</sup>N in the labelled plant minus the atom% <sup>15</sup>N of  
191 treatments with 100% NO<sub>3</sub>-N supply, and <sup>15</sup>N<sub>excess</sub> fertilizer is the atom% excess of the added NH<sub>4</sub>-N  
192 fertilizer (5.3 atom% excess). The quantity of N in plant derived from NH<sub>4</sub>-N fertilizer ( $QN_{plant}dfNH_4$ )  
193 was calculated from NU and  $N_{plant}dfNH_4$ .

194 The amount of NO<sub>3</sub>-N in bulk soil in the lower and middle soil layer derived from NH<sub>4</sub>-N fertilizer  
195 (NO<sub>3</sub>dfNH<sub>4</sub>) at harvest was calculated as:

$$196 \quad NO_3dfNH_4 = {}^{15}N_{excess}NO_3 \times NO_3-N \text{ in soil}$$

197 where the <sup>15</sup>N<sub>excess</sub> of NO<sub>3</sub>-N is the <sup>15</sup>N atom% in the soil extract minus the <sup>15</sup>N atom% of treatments  
198 with 100% NO<sub>3</sub>-N supply, and NO<sub>3</sub>-N in soil is the total amount of NO<sub>3</sub>-N in the middle and lower  
199 soil layer (mg N).

200 Statistical analysis was conducted using R version 3.2.3 (*R Development Core Team*, 2015). Data  
201 normality was verified using the Shapiro-Wilk statistics. Data was logtransformed in cases where  
202 homoscedasticity was not obtained from the raw data. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was  
203 used to study the effect of NH<sub>4</sub>-N:NO<sub>3</sub>-N ratio on N and P concentrations in shoots, root and shoot  
204 DM yields, NU and PU for each P level. To perform multiple comparisons between the NH<sub>4</sub>-N:NO<sub>3</sub>-N  
205 ratios within each P level the Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) test was used. A paired *t*-  
206 test was used to test the difference in pH between the rhizosphere and the bulk soil. An unpaired *t*-test  
207 was used to test if soil pH differed in treatments with a nitrification inhibitor and without plant,  
208 respectively compared to the corresponding treatment with a nitrification inhibitor. Simple linear  
209 regression analysis was used to study the relationship between the concentration of protons in the  
210 rhizosphere and the amount of N in shoot derived from the NH<sub>4</sub>-N fertilizer, and between the  
211 concentration of protons in the bulk soil and the amount of NO<sub>3</sub>-N in soil deriving from NH<sub>4</sub>-N  
212 fertilizer in each layer. Significance was declared at the  $P \leq 0.05$  level of probability.

### 213 **3 Results**

#### 214 **3.1 Root and shoot biomass**

215 The root and shoot DM yield in the 0N treatments was significantly higher in treatments receiving 167  
216 and 500 mg P pot<sup>-1</sup> compared to 0 mg P pot<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 2), indicating that the plants benefitted from P  
217 supply despite the medium soil P status (Olsen-P content of 21 mg P kg<sup>-1</sup>).

218 (Figure 2)

219 The plants receiving N but not P benefitted slightly from increasing NH<sub>4</sub>-N:NO<sub>3</sub>-N ratio, but the DM  
220 yield was much lower than in the reference treatments without N (Fig. 2a).

221 When 167 and 500 mg P pot<sup>-1</sup> was added, shoot DM yield and root DM yield in the middle and lower  
222 soil layer were highest in treatments with a NH<sub>4</sub>-N:NO<sub>3</sub>-N ratio of 50:50 and 75:25 compared to the  
223 other NH<sub>4</sub>-N:NO<sub>3</sub>-N ratios (Fig. 2). The similarity in DM yields between treatments with an  
224 application rate of 167 mg P pot<sup>-1</sup> and 500 mg P pot<sup>-1</sup> showed that a rate of 167 mg P pot<sup>-1</sup> was  
225 sufficient to meet the crop P demands.

226 Treatments applied with only NO<sub>3</sub>-N irrespective of the P level had a significantly lower shoot DM  
227 yield than the other NH<sub>4</sub>-N:NO<sub>3</sub>-N ratios, and the root growth was limited in the lower layer. The root  
228 and shoot DM yield also decreased, when only NH<sub>4</sub>-N was applied compared to a NH<sub>4</sub>-N:NO<sub>3</sub>-N ratio  
229 of 75:25, although this was only significant in treatments with a P supply of 167 mg P pot<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 2a).

230 Treatments with only NH<sub>4</sub>-N supply had also clearly visible toxicity symptoms as foliar burn and  
231 chlorosis of the leaf tips (Fig. 1b, *right*).

### 232 **3.2 P and N uptake**

233 Total PU was significantly higher in treatments with NH<sub>4</sub>-N:NO<sub>3</sub>-N ratios of 50:50 and 75:25  
234 receiving 167 mg P pot<sup>-1</sup> than the other NH<sub>4</sub>-N:NO<sub>3</sub>-N ratios, and the same tendency was seen in  
235 treatments with a P supply of 500 mg pot<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1). In the 0N treatments, the P concentration and  
236 total PU were higher when P was applied compared to the 0N treatment without P supply (Table 1).  
237 The NU in 0N treatments did not differ among the P levels (Table 1), and was higher (+10 mg pot<sup>-1</sup>)  
238 than the initial amount of inorganic N in the soil at sowing, indicating that endosperm N and  
239 mineralized soil organic N contributed to NU during growth. The N shoot concentration in 0N  
240 treatments ranged from 1.2% to 1.7% of DM, whereas it ranged from 5.2% to 6.0% across treatments  
241 applied with N and was not significantly different between the NH<sub>4</sub>-N:NO<sub>3</sub>-N ratios (Table 1).

242 (Table 1)

### 243 **3.3 pH and inorganic N in soil**

244 Soil pH generally decreased with increasing proportion of NH<sub>4</sub>-N to NO<sub>3</sub>-N added with the  
245 rhizosphere soil generally having lower pH than the bulk soil (Fig. 3).

246 (Figure 3)

247 The amount of P applied was only a significant factor affecting soil pH in the middle layer, with  
248 higher soil pH in treatments receiving 167 and 500 mg P pot<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 3b), which could be due to the  
249 buffering effect of the DCP applied.

250 In bulk soil, pH in the 0N treatments was 5.4, 5.4 and 5.5 in the upper, middle and lower bulk soil  
251 layer, respectively across the three P application levels. pH in the 0N treatments did not change from  
252 the initial value (pH 5.4), whereas pH in the bulk soil declined in the upper and lower soil layer by as  
253 much as 0.5 pH units as the NH<sub>4</sub>-N:NO<sub>3</sub>-N ratio increased (Fig. 3a). pH in bulk soil with no maize  
254 plant and 100% NH<sub>4</sub>-N was not significantly different from the corresponding treatment with a maize  
255 plant (Table 2).

256 (Table 2)

257 Bulk soil pH in the middle layer was lower in the 100% NH<sub>4</sub>-N treatment without DMPP than the  
258 corresponding treatment with DMPP (Table 2), and similarly the amount of NO<sub>3</sub>-N in soil derived  
259 from the NH<sub>4</sub>-N fertilizer was higher in the treatment without DMPP than with DMPP (Table 1),  
260 indicating a higher nitrification rate in the treatment without DMPP. The relatively stable bulk soil pH  
261 in the middle layer with increasing proportion of NH<sub>4</sub>-N added (Fig. 3b) does also reflect a local  
262 inhibition of nitrification in the middle layer. In agreement with these findings, there was only a weak  
263 relationship between NO<sub>3</sub>-N derived from NH<sub>4</sub>-N fertilizer and the concentration of protons ( $R^2=0.34$ ,  
264  $P>0.05$ ) in the middle layer, where DMPP was applied.

265 A substantial amount of NH<sub>4</sub>-N found in the lower soil layer at harvest was derived from NH<sub>4</sub>-N  
266 fertilizer (from <sup>15</sup>N assay, Table 1), which indicates movement of NH<sub>4</sub>-N from the middle layer to the  
267 lower layer. The pH decline in the lower layer bulk soil, in response to the increasing proportion of  
268 NH<sub>4</sub>-N applied in the middle layer (Fig. 3a), could therefore be due to nitrification of NH<sub>4</sub>-N after  
269 transport from the middle layer. This was also reflected in the significant relationship between the  
270 NO<sub>3</sub>-N derived from the NH<sub>4</sub>-N fertilizer and the concentration of protons in the lower bulk soil layer  
271 without a local inhibition of the nitrification ( $R^2=0.95$ ,  $P<0.001$ ).

272 The pH decline in the upper layer bulk soil, in response to the increasing proportion of NH<sub>4</sub>-N applied  
273 (Fig. 3a) could also be due to nitrification of the NH<sub>4</sub>-N applied. We did not measure the amount of  
274 NH<sub>4</sub>-N in the upper soil layer at harvest, but we surmise that water evaporation from the soil surface

275 and movement of water to the upper soil layer due to root water uptake could induce flow transport of  
276  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  in the soil solution from the middle to the upper layer between irrigations.

277 Treatments with a  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}:\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  ratio of 25:75 or higher had a significantly lower soil pH in the  
278 rhizosphere compared to the bulk soil in the upper and lower soil layers (Fig. 3a). In the middle soil  
279 layer, the lower pH in the rhizosphere compared to the bulk soil was in general only observed in  
280 treatments with P supply (Fig. 3b). In contrast, treatments with a  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}:\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  ratio of 0:100 had  
281 significantly higher pH in the rhizosphere in the upper and lower soil layer compared to the bulk soil.  
282 The pH in the rhizosphere was 5.5 in all three layers in the 0N treatments, and did not differ from the  
283 pH in the bulk soil.

## 284 **4 Discussion**

### 285 **4.1 Root distribution**

286 The low root biomass in the middle and lower soil layer when N fertilizer was applied as 100%  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$   
287 or 100%  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  or when no P was applied in combination with N (Fig. 2) indicated a general root  
288 inhibition caused by the N fertilizer applied to the middle soil layer.

289 The relatively high root biomass in treatments where 50% or 75% of the N supply was applied as  
290  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  combined with 167 or 500 mg P  $\text{pot}^{-1}$  may reflect a root growth promoting effect of plant  
291 available P in the middle and lower soil layer. This is in line with early studies by *Drew and Saker*  
292 (1978) who reported an increase in the number of lateral roots in barley in a P enriched zone. The lack  
293 of rooting in treatments applied with 100%  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  irrespective of the P level could be due to the  
294 inhibitory effect of high nitrate concentrations in the soil solution on root elongation of primary roots,  
295 which is also reported in other studies (e.g. *Tian et al.*, 2008).

296 Toxicity effects of pure  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  supply have been reported in previous studies (e.g. *Gerendás et al.*,  
297 1997). A toxic effect of 100%  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  supply has also been observed under conditions where pH was  
298 controlled (*Li et al.*, 2014), and could be due to several processes, such as energy requirements  
299 including energy costs for  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  efflux due to limited storage capacity of  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  in the plant (*Britto*  
300 *et al.*, 2001) and/or suppression of the photosynthetic rate due to reduced stomatal conductance (*Miller*  
301 *and Cramer*, 2005). It is recognized however, that the relatively better growth response observed in

302 the treatments applied with only  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  than treatments applied with only  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  (Fig. 2) is not in  
303 accordance with previous studies (e.g. *Cramer and Lewis*, 1993), but could be due to differences in the  
304 experimental conditions such as nutrient supply level and soil buffer capacity.

305 The lower DM yield and poor root growth in deeper layers in the treatments receiving N but not P  
306 compared to the reference treatments without N supply suggest that N application (no matter the  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ :  
307  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  ratio) formed an unfavorable environment in the middle soil layer when no P was applied.  
308 An unfavorable environment in the middle layer could be due to the high electrical conductivity  
309 (Table 1) caused by the high salt concentrations, which can reduce cell osmotic potential (*Bernstein*,  
310 1975) and hence result in poor plant growth. The lack of rooting into the lower layer could also  
311 indicate that there was no need to acquire N from the lower layer, because of a sufficient amount of  
312 available N in the middle layer.

313 The extensive rooting into the lower soil layer for the 0N treatments could reflect the plant's need to  
314 explore a larger soil volume for N due to limited N supply in combination with absence of a root-  
315 inhibiting layer, which was present in the treatments with N application. Limited N supply in the 0N  
316 treatments was also confirmed by low shoot N concentrations and shoot N:P ratios of <10 (Table 1).  
317 According to *Güsewell* (2004) a N:P ratio <10 can indicate N limited biomass production across  
318 various terrestrial plant species. Plant growth in the 0N treatments would therefore probably be  
319 compromised in the subsequent growing stages due to limited N supply.

#### 320 **4.2 Availability of P and N**

321 The increased P uptake (PU) in treatments with 50% and 75%  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  supply and P supply could be  
322 due to an increased solubility of DCP close to the root induced by the larger pH decrease in the  
323 rhizosphere. A balanced N and P supply was also reflected in shoot N:P ratios between 12 and 16 in  
324 these treatments (Table 1), suggesting that neither N nor P was limiting growth according to *Güsewell*  
325 (2004). The lower PU in treatments with 100%  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  supply despite decreasing soil pH again reflects  
326 the toxic effect of pure  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  on crop growth, which compromises the higher solubility of DCP  
327 induced by the pH decrease in the rhizosphere. The low PU and low P concentrations in shoots in  
328 treatments with 100%  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  and P supply could be because of the pH increase in the rhizosphere in

329 the middle layer (Fig. 3b), which makes the DCP less soluble (*Lindsay et al., 1989*) and hence less  
330 plant available combined with the poor root growth in the middle layer in these treatments. P shortage  
331 in treatments with 100% NO<sub>3</sub>-N supply irrespective of P supply, and in treatments with N but no P  
332 supply, was also reflected in their high shoot N:P ratios (Table 1).

333 The N concentrations in the plant tissues were high compared to other pot studies with maize and high  
334 N application rates (e.g. *Wu et al., 2005*), which suggest that there was sufficient N supply to the  
335 maize plants. The results also show that the plants were able to take up N from N fertilizer applied to  
336 the middle soil layer, despite the poor root growth in this layer.

337 The significant response to P supply in treatments without N supply can be related to the simple  
338 dissolution of DCP in an acid soil (*Lindsay et al., 1989*) rather than dissolution caused by treatment  
339 related pH decline. Moreover, the 0N treatment without P supply had a higher PU compared to  
340 treatments with N but no P (Table 1), because the inhibited root growth in the middle and lower layer  
341 in these latter treatments greatly restricted P uptake from the lower soil layer.

#### 342 **4.3 Linking NH<sub>4</sub>-N supply, rhizosphere acidification and maize growth**

343 The pH decrease in bulk soil of the lower layer was related to the nitrification of the NH<sub>4</sub>-N fertilizer,  
344 whereas the stable pH in bulk soil of the middle layer was due to a local inhibition of nitrification. The  
345 inhibitory effect on nitrification in the middle layer due to DMPP application is in line with previous  
346 work (e.g. *Kong et al., 2016*). The lack of pH difference in the bulk soil between the treatments with  
347 and without plants and pure NH<sub>4</sub>-N supply also supports that the pH change in the bulk soil was not  
348 plant-induced, but rather due to the nitrification of the NH<sub>4</sub>-N applied and possibly the mineralization  
349 of organic matter.

350 The lower soil pH recorded in the rhizosphere than in the bulk soil in treatments with a NH<sub>4</sub>-N:NO<sub>3</sub>-N  
351 ratio of 25:75 or higher suggests release of protons from the roots as a consequence of NH<sub>4</sub>-N plant  
352 uptake. The proton efflux may also be due to other pH regulating processes in the plant such as greater  
353 cation than anion uptake or production of organic acids in the plant containing a dissociating proton  
354 (*Raven, 1986*) in addition to any nitrification effect in the soil. This was confirmed by the significant  
355 linear relationship between the difference in proton concentration between the bulk and rhizosphere

356 soils and the amount of N in the shoot derived from the NH<sub>4</sub>-N fertilizer (<sup>15</sup>N labelled) in treatments  
357 supplied with NH<sub>4</sub>-N:NO<sub>3</sub>-N ratios from 0:100 to 75:25 (Fig. 4).

358 (Figure 4)

359 Treatments applied with only NH<sub>4</sub>-N did not follow the same pattern because of a restricted N uptake  
360 induced by a toxicity effect (Table 1). Hence, the additional soil acidification in the rhizosphere  
361 compared to the bulk soil can be attributed to the extrusion of H<sup>+</sup> to counter-balance the NH<sub>4</sub>-N  
362 uptake, and likewise the pH increase in the rhizosphere in treatments supplied with 100% NO<sub>3</sub>-N was  
363 due to the release of OH<sup>-</sup>/HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> by the roots as suggested by *Riley and Barber* (1971). The steeper pH  
364 decline with a NH<sub>4</sub>-N:NO<sub>3</sub>-N ratio of 25:75 (Fig. 3) could be due to preferential uptake of NH<sub>4</sub>-N (*Lee*  
365 *and Drew*, 1989). This was further supported by the percentage of N in the plant tissue derived from  
366 the NH<sub>4</sub>-N fertilizer being >25% at this specific NH<sub>4</sub>-N level (Table 1).

367 The small differences in pH between the rhizosphere soil and the bulk soil in treatments without N  
368 application indicate a minor importance of plant or microbial mediated acidifying processes in the  
369 rhizosphere, which are not coupled to N application, such as excretion of organic anions and  
370 associated protons (*Hinsinger et al.*, 2003). The lack of any pH drop in the rhizosphere in the middle  
371 layer in treatments receiving N but no P was most probably due to the adverse effect of these  
372 particular treatments on root growth and function.

373 The root induced pH change in the rhizosphere was also significant in the upper layer implying that  
374 proton release following NH<sub>4</sub>-N uptake may not only take place close to where N is taken up, but  
375 rather that the whole root system behaves evenly assuming that the highest N uptake took place in the  
376 middle soil layer. A study by *Taylor and Bloom* (1998) shows that the pH drop occurs along the entire  
377 root, when NH<sub>4</sub>-N is applied alone, whereas pH increases in the basal regions of primary root of the  
378 maize seedling and decreases in the elongation zone, when NO<sub>3</sub>-N is applied alone. However, further  
379 root studies of proton fluxes along the root in a system with placed fertilizers with high concentrations  
380 of N are needed to confirm this.

#### 381 **4.4 Implications for nutrient management in maize cropping systems**

382 The clear response to added P (whether N was added or not) reaffirms the benefits of starter P  
383 fertilizer to young maize plants even on a soil with a medium P status, where P limitation is not  
384 expected. Although this growth benefit may not always translate into extra yield at harvest, and the  
385 crop recovery of this added P is very low, it is clearly in the farmer's interest to optimize early plant  
386 development. Our study suggests that dairy slurry, which has a high proportion of  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  and DCP,  
387 could be a good source of both starter N and P to young maize plants due to the beneficial effect of  
388  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  supply and uptake on the availability of DCP due to acidification of the rhizosphere.  
389 Preventing nitrification of slurry  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  through the use of an inhibitor is likely to enhance this  
390 interaction between  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  and DCP in the rhizosphere, whilst at the same time maximizing the long-  
391 term availability of N by reducing the risk of  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  leaching. For example, *Westerschulte et al.*  
392 (2016) found in a field trial that addition of a nitrification inhibitor increased the  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  concentration  
393 in the slurry injection zone, which may ensure a higher uptake of  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  and hence an improved  
394 availability of DCP. It is recognized however, that it is unclear how the positive interacting effects  
395 between  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  uptake and DCP availability identified in the present study are affected by other  
396 components present in manure such as buffering compounds (*Sommer and Husted, 1995*), which could  
397 reduce the rhizosphere acidification if the slurry is placed below the maize row. Moreover, there was  
398 limited root growth and nutrient uptake due to N application in our study, but it is unclear whether this  
399 would occur when slurry is band applied at operational rates. The current application rate of slurry N  
400 to maize in Denmark is around  $120 \text{ kg NH}_4\text{-N ha}^{-1}$  (*Landbrugsstyrelsen, 2018*), which will correspond  
401 to a local application rate in the slurry injection zone of  $600 \text{ kg NH}_4\text{-N ha}^{-1}$  near the maize plant,  
402 assuming a 15 cm broad slurry band for each maize row with 75 cm distance. Few studies (e.g. *Sawyer*  
403 *and Hoeft, 1990*) report that slurry injection can cause an unfavorable environment for root growth,  
404 whereas other field studies (e.g. *Schröder et al., 1997*) do not report any root injuries in the  
405 concentrated slurry band. However, further work is needed to investigate if potentially toxicity effects  
406 from banded slurry applications and/or interactions with other components in the slurry such as  
407 buffering compounds could compromise the positive interacting effects between  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  supply and  
408 DCP availability on maize growth during early growth.

## 409 **5 Conclusions**

410 The major proportion of inorganic P in dairy manure is present as DCP (CaHPO<sub>4</sub>). Application of  
411 DCP increased the growth of young maize plants on a coarse sandy soil with a medium P status under  
412 typical Danish environmental conditions. Shoot DM yield and P uptake were significantly higher  
413 when DCP was applied in combination with N at NH<sub>4</sub>-N:NO<sub>3</sub>-N ratios of 50:50 and 75:25. This  
414 increased P uptake was explained by the release of protons into the rhizosphere as the proportion of  
415 NH<sub>4</sub>-N taken up by the plants increased, allowing enhanced dissolution of the DCP. Less root growth  
416 were apparent when NO<sub>3</sub>-N or NH<sub>4</sub>-N was the sole N source, or when N (all NH<sub>4</sub>-N:NO<sub>3</sub>-N ratios)  
417 was applied without P. The absence of the root-inhibiting layer in the treatments without N application  
418 explains the relatively high DM yields in these particular treatments. Fertilizer N form therefore had a  
419 major effect on P uptake and our results suggest that early growth of maize will benefit from the  
420 combined application of both NH<sub>4</sub>-N and DCP, if a substantial amount of the NH<sub>4</sub>-N is taken up  
421 before nitrification.

## 422 **Acknowledgement**

423 We wish to thank Rodrigo Labouriau for his help with the experimental design. We thank the  
424 technical staff, especially Tiina Pedersen, Margit Paulsen and Karin Dyrberg, in the Department of  
425 Agroecology, Aarhus University, Denmark, for technical assistance. We thank associate professor  
426 Lars Elsgaard for valuable comments on a previous version of the paper. The study was financially  
427 supported by the Ministry of Environment and Food of Denmark (Green Development and  
428 Demonstration Programme (GUDP) project “Gylle-IT”).

## 429 **References**

- 430 *Barry, D., Miller, M.* (1989): Phosphorus nutritional requirement of maize seedlings for maximum  
431 yield. *Agron. J.* 81, 95-99.
- 432 *Bernstein, L.* (1975): Effects of salinity and sodicity on plant growth. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 13, 295-  
433 312.

434 Britto, D.T., Siddiqi, M.Y., Glass, A.D.M., Kronzucker, H.J. (2001): Futile transmembrane  
435  $\text{NH}_4^+$  cycling: A cellular hypothesis to explain ammonium toxicity in plants. *Proceedings of*  
436 *the National Academy of Sciences*. 98, 4255-4258. doi: 10.1073/pnas.061034698.

437 Cramer, M., Lewis, O. (1993): The influence of nitrate and ammonium nutrition on the growth of  
438 wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) and maize (*Zea mays*) plants. *Ann. Bot.* 72, 359-365.

439 Drew, M. C., Saker, L. R. (1978): Nutrient supply and the growth of the seminal root system in barley  
440 III. Compensatory increases in growth of lateral roots, and in rates of phosphate uptake, in  
441 response to localized supply of phosphate. *J Exp Bot.* 29, 435-451.

442 Gerendás, J., Zhu, Z., Bendixen, R., Ratcliffe, R. G., Sattelmacher, B. (1997): Physiological and  
443 biochemical processes related to ammonium toxicity in higher plants. *Z. Pflanzenernähr.*  
444 *Bodenkd.* 160, 239-251.

445 Grant, C., Flaten, D., Tomasiewicz, D., Sheppard, S. (2001): The importance of early season  
446 phosphorus nutrition. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 81, 211-224.

447 Güngör, K., Jürgensen, A., Karthikeyan, K. (2007): Determination of phosphorus speciation in dairy  
448 manure using XRD and XANES spectroscopy. *J. Environ. Qual.* 36, 1856-1863.

449 Güsewell, S. (2004): N : P ratios in terrestrial plants: variation and functional significance. *New*  
450 *Phytol.* 164, 243-266. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2004.01192.x

451 Hinsinger, P., Plassard, C., Tang, C., Jaillard, B. (2003): Origins of root-mediated pH changes in the  
452 rhizosphere and their responses to environmental constraints: A review. *Plant Soil* 248, 43-59.  
453 doi:10.1023/a:1022371130939

454 Jing, J., Rui, Y., Zhang, F., Rengel, Z., Shen, J. (2010): Localized application of phosphorus and  
455 ammonium improves growth of maize seedlings by stimulating root proliferation and  
456 rhizosphere acidification. *Field Crops Res.* 119, 355-364. doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2010.08.005

457 Jordan-Meille, L., Rubæk, G.H., Ehlert, P., Genot, V., Hofman, G., Goulding, K., Recknagel, J.,  
458 Provolò, G., Barraclough, P. (2012): An overview of fertilizer-P recommendations in Europe:  
459 soil testing, calibration and fertilizer recommendations. *Soil Use Manage.* 28, 419-435.

460 Landbrugsstyrelsen (2018): Vejledning om gødsknings- og harmoniregler. Planperioden 1. august  
461 2018 til 31. juli 2019. 1. revision, maj 2018. *Miljø- og Fødevarerministeriet.*

462 [https://lbst.dk/fileadmin/user\\_upload/NaturErhverv/Filer/Landbrug/Vejledning\\_om\\_goedskni](https://lbst.dk/fileadmin/user_upload/NaturErhverv/Filer/Landbrug/Vejledning_om_goedskni)  
463 [ngs- og harmoniregler 2018 2019 1version.pdf](https://lbst.dk/fileadmin/user_upload/NaturErhverv/Filer/Landbrug/Vejledning_om_goedskni)

464 *Kirkham, M. B.* (2004): Principles of soil and plant water relations. Academic Press, Burlington, USA

465 *Knudsen, L.* (2010): Økonomisk optimal anvendelse af startgødninger til majs. Plantekongres,  
466 Herning, Denmark, *Sammendrag af indlæg til Plantekongres*. 93-95.

467 *Kong, X., Duan, Y., Schramm, A., Eriksen, J., Petersen, S. O.* (2016): 3,4-Dimethylpyrazole phosphate  
468 (DMPP) reduces activity of ammonia oxidizers without adverse effects on non-target soil  
469 microorganisms and functions. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 105, 67-75.  
470 doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2016.03.018>

471 *Kronvang, B., Rubæk, G. H., Heckrath, G.* (2009): International phosphorus workshop: Diffuse  
472 phosphorus loss to surface water bodies—risk assessment, mitigation options, and ecological  
473 effects in river basins. *J. Environ. Qual.* 38, 1924-1929.

474 *Lee, R. B., Drew, M. C.* (1989): Rapid, reversible inhibition of nitrate influx in barley by ammonium.  
475 *J. Exp. Bot.* 40, 741-752. doi:10.1093/jxb/40.7.741

476 *Li, B., Li, G., Kronzucker, H. J., Baluška, F., Shi, W.* (2014): Ammonium stress in Arabidopsis:  
477 signaling, genetic loci, and physiological targets. *Trends Plant Sci.* 19, 107-114.  
478 doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2013.09.004>

479 *Lindsay, W. L., Vlek, P. L. G., Chien, S. H.* (1989): Phosphate minerals. In: Dixon JB, Weed SB (eds)  
480 Minerals in soil environments. SSSA Book Series, vol 1. Soil Sci. Soc. of Am. J., Madison,  
481 WI, pp 1089–1130. doi:10.2136/sssabookser1.2ed.c22

482 *Lynch, J. P., Ho, M. D.* (2005): Rhizoeconomics: Carbon costs of phosphorus acquisition. *Plant Soil*  
483 269, 45-56. doi:10.1007/s11104-004-1096-4

484 *Miller, A. J., Cramer, M. D.* (2005): Root nitrogen acquisition and assimilation. *Plant Soil* 274, 1-36.  
485 doi:10.1007/s11104-004-0965-1

486 *Nkebiwe, P. M., Weinmann, M., Bar-Tal, A., Müller, T.* (2016): Fertilizer placement to improve crop  
487 nutrient acquisition and yield: A review and meta-analysis. *Field Crops Res.* 196, 389-401.  
488 doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2016.07.018>

489 Ott, C., Rechberger, H. (2012): The European phosphorus balance. *Resour, Conserv and Recycl.* 60,  
490 159-172.

491 Pagliari, P. H. (2014): Variety and solubility of phosphorus forms in animal manure and their effects  
492 on soil test phosphorus. In: He Z, Zhang H (eds) *Applied manure and nutrient chemistry for*  
493 *sustainable agriculture and environment.* Springer, pp 141-161.

494 Petersen, J., Hansen, B., Sørensen, P. (2004): Nitrification of <sup>15</sup>N-ammonium sulphate and crop  
495 recovery of <sup>15</sup>N-labelled ammonium nitrates injected in bands. *Eur. J. Agron.* 21, 81-92.

496 R Development Core Team (2015): R: A language and environment for statistical computing.

497 Raven, J. A. (1986): Biochemical disposal of excess H<sup>+</sup> in growing plants? *New Phytol.* 104, 175-206.

498 Riley, D., Barber, S. A. (1971): Effect of ammonium and nitrate fertilization on phosphorus uptake as  
499 related to root-induced pH changes at the root-soil interface. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 35, 301-306.  
500 doi:10.2136/sssaj1971.03615995003500020035x

501 Sawyer, J. E., Hoef, R. G. (1990): Effect of injected liquid beef manure on soil chemical properties  
502 and corn root distribution. *J. Prod. Agric.* 3, 50-55. doi:10.2134/jpa1990.0050

503 Schroder, J., Ten, Holte, L., Brouwer, G. (1997): Response of silage maize to placement of cattle  
504 slurry. *Neth. J. Agric. Sci.* 45, 249-261.

505 Schröder, J. J., Vermeulen, G. D., van der Schoot, J. R., van Dijk, W., Huijsmans, J. F. M., Meuffels,  
506 G. J. H. M., van der Schans, D. A. (2015): Maize yields benefit from injected manure  
507 positioned in bands. *Eur. J. Agron.* 64, 29–36. doi:10.1016/j. eja.2014.12.011

508 Sharpley, A., Moyer, B. (2000): Phosphorus forms in manure and compost and their release during  
509 simulated rainfall. *J. Environ. Qual.* 29, 1462-1469.

510 Sommer, S., Husted, S. (1995): The chemical buffer system in raw and digested animal slurry. *J. Agric.*  
511 *Sci.* 124, 45-53

512 Sørensen, P., Jensen, E. S. (1991): Sequential diffusion of ammonium and nitrate from soil extracts to  
513 a polytetrafluoroethylene trap for <sup>15</sup>N determination. *Anal. Chim. Acta.* 252, 201-203.  
514 doi:10.1016/0003-2670(91)87215-s

515 Taylor, A., Bloom, A. (1998): Ammonium, nitrate, and proton fluxes along the maize root. *Plant, Cell*  
516 *Environ.* 21, 1255-1263.

517 Tian, Q., Chen, F., Liu, J., Zhang, F., Mi, G. (2008): Inhibition of maize root growth by high nitrate  
518 supply is correlated with reduced IAA levels in roots. *J. Plant Physiol.* 165, 942-951.  
519 doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2007.02.011>

520 Webb, J., Sørensen, P., Velthof, G., Amon, B., Pinto, M., Rodhe, L., Salomon, E., Hutchings, N.,  
521 Burczyk, P., Reid, J. (2013): An assessment of the variation of manure nitrogen efficiency  
522 throughout Europe and an appraisal of means to increase manure-N efficiency. In: Donald S  
523 (ed) *Advances in Agronomy*, Academic Press, pp 371-442.

524 Westerschulte, M., Federolf, C. P., Trautz, D., Broll, G., Olfs, H. W. (2016): Nitrogen dynamics  
525 following slurry injection in maize: soil mineral nitrogen. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys.* 107, 1-17.  
526 doi:[10.1007/s10705-016-9799-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10705-016-9799-5)

527 Withers, P. J. A., van Dijk, K. C., Neset, T. S. S., Nesme, T., Oenema, O., Rubæk, G. H., Schoumans,  
528 O. F., Smit, B., Pellerin, S. (2015): Stewardship to tackle global phosphorus inefficiency: The  
529 case of Europe. *Ambio* 44, 193-206. doi:[10.1007/s13280-014-0614-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-014-0614-8)

530 Wu, S. C., Cao, Z. H., Li, Z. G., Cheung, K. C., Wong, M. H. (2005): Effects of biofertilizer containing  
531 N-fixer, P and K solubilizers and AM fungi on maize growth: a greenhouse trial. *Geoderma*  
532 125, 155-166. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2004.07.003>.

533

534

535 **Figure captions**

536 **Figure 1.** a) Schematic view of the cylindrical pot separated in three layers; upper soil layer with  
537 maize seed (*red circle*), middle soil layer applied with N and P fertilizers and lower soil layer, b)  
538 photos of leaves in treatments applied with 167 or 500 mg P pot<sup>-1</sup> combined with a NH<sub>4</sub>-N:NO<sub>3</sub>-N  
539 ratio of (*from the left*) 0:0, 0:100, 50:50 and 100:0.

540 **Figure 2.** a) Shoot dry matter yield and b) root dry matter yield and the distribution of roots in the  
541 three soil layers at 5-leaf stage. Different letters denote significant differences between the three P  
542 application rates in combination with 0N application, and significant differences between the NH<sub>4</sub>-  
543 N:NO<sub>3</sub>-N ratios within each P-level (Tukey's HSD,  $P < 0.05$ ). There was no significant difference  
544 between the root dry matter yields for treatments receiving 0 mg P pot<sup>-1</sup>.

545 **Figure 3.** a) pH in bulk soil and rhizosphere at harvest for each soil layer across the three P application  
546 levels and b) pH in bulk soil and rhizosphere at harvest in the middle soil layer for each P application  
547 level (0, 167 and 500 mg P pot<sup>-1</sup>). P application level was only a significant variable in the middle soil  
548 layer. Asterisks (\*) indicate a significant difference between the pH in the rhizosphere and bulk soil  
549 within the same NH<sub>4</sub>-N:NO<sub>3</sub>-N ratio (paired *t*-test,  $P < 0.05$ ). Error bars represent the standard  
550 deviations.

551 **Figure 4.** Relation between the amounts of N derived from the NH<sub>4</sub>-N fertilizer ( $QN_{\text{plant}}dfNH_4$ ) in  
552 whole plant and the difference in concentration of protons [H<sup>+</sup>] in 0.01 M CaCl<sub>2</sub> soil suspension  
553 between the bulk soil and the rhizosphere for each soil layer. Treatments with 100% NH<sub>4</sub>-N supply  
554 (open symbols) were not included in the statistical analysis. The solid lines represent the simple linear  
555 regression for each layer. Upper layer:  $R^2=0.76$ ,  $P < 0.05$ , Middle layer:  $R^2=0.81$ ,  $P < 0.05$ , Lower layer:  
556  $R^2=0.65$ ,  $P < 0.05$ .

557

558

559

560 **Table 1.** Treatment effects on plant and soil at harvest. Plant measurements at harvest: N and P concentration (conc.) in shoot, N:P ratio in shoot, N uptake (NU) in  
561 whole plant, percentage of N in plant derived from NH<sub>4</sub>N fertilizer (NdfNH<sub>4</sub>N) and P uptake (PU) in whole plant. Soil measurements at harvest: amount of NO<sub>3</sub>N  
562 derived from NH<sub>4</sub>N fertilizer (NO<sub>3</sub>NdfNH<sub>4</sub>N) in middle and lower soil layer, amount of NH<sub>4</sub>N in lower layer derived from NH<sub>4</sub>N fertilizer (NH<sub>4</sub>NdfNH<sub>4</sub>N) and the  
563 electrical conductivity (EC) in middle soil layer with nutrient application. Different letters denote significant differences between the three P application rates in  
564 combination with 0N application, and significant differences between NH<sub>4</sub>N:NO<sub>3</sub>N ratios within each P-level (Tukey's HSD, *P*<0.05).  
565

Treatment		Plant at harvest					Soil at harvest			
P-level	NH <sub>4</sub> N:NO <sub>3</sub> N ratio	N conc.	P conc.	N:P ratio	NU	NdfNH <sub>4</sub> N	PU	NO <sub>3</sub> NdfNH <sub>4</sub> N	NH <sub>4</sub> NdfNH <sub>4</sub> N lower layer	EC middle layer
mg pot <sup>-1</sup>		% of shoot DM			mg pot <sup>-1</sup>	%	mg pot <sup>-1</sup>	-----mg pot <sup>-1</sup> -----		μs cm <sup>-1</sup>
0	0:0	1.69 <sup>a</sup>	0.16 <sup>b</sup>	10	35.9 <sup>a</sup>	-	3.8 <sup>b</sup>	-	-	8
167	0:0	1.23 <sup>b</sup>	0.25 <sup>a</sup>	5	36.3 <sup>a</sup>	-	7.4 <sup>a</sup>	-	-	16
500	0:0	1.22 <sup>b</sup>	0.26 <sup>a</sup>	5	36.5 <sup>a</sup>	-	8.4 <sup>a</sup>	-	-	22
0	0:100	5.39 <sup>a</sup>	0.12 <sup>b</sup>	45	33.4 <sup>b</sup>	0	0.7 <sup>c</sup>	0.0	0.0	62
0	25:75	6.04 <sup>a</sup>	0.13 <sup>b</sup>	46	46.4 <sup>ab</sup>	26	1.1 <sup>b</sup>	28.3	15.2	77
0	50:50	5.99 <sup>a</sup>	0.13 <sup>b</sup>	45	55.3 <sup>a</sup>	41	1.3 <sup>b</sup>	46.7	51.8	83
0	75:25	6.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.14 <sup>b</sup>	42	61.7 <sup>a</sup>	56	1.5 <sup>ab</sup>	55.7	75.0	97
0	100:0	5.56 <sup>a</sup>	0.22 <sup>a</sup>	25	49.5 <sup>a</sup>	83	2.0 <sup>a</sup>	66.0	117.3	96
167	0:100	5.57 <sup>a</sup>	0.12 <sup>c</sup>	46	33.7 <sup>c</sup>	0	0.8 <sup>c</sup>	0.0	0.0	83
167	25:75	5.47 <sup>a</sup>	0.19 <sup>b</sup>	30	65.0 <sup>b</sup>	28	2.4 <sup>b</sup>	29.0	23.7	91
167	50:50	5.39 <sup>a</sup>	0.34 <sup>a</sup>	16	157.0 <sup>a</sup>	46	10.1 <sup>a</sup>	42.3	31.5	106
167	75:25	5.44 <sup>a</sup>	0.34 <sup>a</sup>	16	148.3 <sup>a</sup>	60	9.5 <sup>a</sup>	51.4	74.4	118
167	100:0	5.62 <sup>a</sup>	0.37 <sup>a</sup>	15	73.0 <sup>b</sup>	86	4.5 <sup>b</sup>	60.1	81.5	117
167	100:0, no DMPP	5.87 <sup>a</sup>	0.36 <sup>a</sup>	20	66.0 <sup>b</sup>	87	3.8 <sup>b</sup>	103.2	56.4	140
167	100:0, no plant	-	-	-	-	-	-	65.7	81.6	118
500	0:100	5.19 <sup>a</sup>	0.14 <sup>c</sup>	36	39.9 <sup>b</sup>	0	1.1 <sup>c</sup>	0.0	0.0	90
500	25:75	5.25 <sup>a</sup>	0.30 <sup>b</sup>	19	79.2 <sup>ab</sup>	32	4.8 <sup>b</sup>	26.8	22.9	101
500	50:50	5.36 <sup>a</sup>	0.42 <sup>ab</sup>	13	148.6 <sup>a</sup>	45	12.0 <sup>ab</sup>	43.4	39.7	108
500	75:25	5.53 <sup>a</sup>	0.47 <sup>a</sup>	12	151.8 <sup>a</sup>	59	12.7 <sup>a</sup>	48.2	50.8	127
500	100:0	5.62 <sup>a</sup>	0.56 <sup>a</sup>	10	95.1 <sup>a</sup>	86	9.1 <sup>ab</sup>	63.0	108.5	124

566

**Table 2.** pH in bulk soil and rhizosphere for treatments with a nitrification inhibitor (With DMPP), without a nitrification inhibitor (No DMPP) and without a plant (No plant), respectively. The treatments had a NH<sub>4</sub>N:NO<sub>3</sub>N ratio of 100:0 and a P application rate of 167 mg P pot<sup>-1</sup>. Asterisks (\*) indicate a significant difference compared to the treatment with a nitrification inhibitor (with DMPP) within each column (unpaired t-test, *P*<0.05).

	pH in bulk soil			pH in rhizosphere		
	Lower	Middle	Upper	Lower	Middle	Upper
With DMPP	5.03	5.60	4.88	4.69	5.21	4.54
No DMPP	4.90	5.45*	4.54*	4.85	5.14	4.26*
No plant	5.08	5.67	5.00	-	-	-