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#### Abstract

The periodic Benjamin-Ono equation is an autonomous Hamiltonian system with a Gibbs measure on $L^{2}(\mathbb{T})$. The paper shows that the Gibbs measures on bounded balls of $L^{2}$ satisfy some logarithmic Sobolev inequalities. The space of $n$-soliton solutions of the periodic Benjamin-Ono equation, as discovered by Case, is a Hamiltonian system with an invariant Gibbs measure. As $n \rightarrow \infty$, these Gibbs measures exhibit a concentration of measure phenomenon. Case introduced soliton solutions that are parameterised by atomic measures in the complex plane. The limiting distributions of these measures gives the density of a compressible gas that satisfies the isentropic Euler equations.


## 1. Introduction

The Benjamin-Ono equation is an integro-differential equation which was originally introduced in the study of waves in deep water [6]. Conceptually, the Benjamin-Ono equation lies between the Burgers equation and the KdV equation, and indeed it shares some of the properties of the latter differential equation. More recently, various authors have studied the periodic version of the equation, proving for example, theorems on global well-posedness (see, for example, [26]).

The Benjamin-Ono equation exhibits technical challenges which are not present in the case of the NLS and KdV equations in one space dimension. Specifically, the presence of the Hilbert transform means that solutions can be influenced by behaviour which is simultaneous but at a large distance.

Let $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{R} / 2 \pi \mathbb{Z}$ be the unit circle. The Hilbert transform on $\mathbb{T}$ is the operator $\mathcal{H}$ : $L^{2}(\mathbb{T} ; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow L^{2}(\mathbb{T} ; \mathbb{C})$

$$
\mathcal{H} v(x)=\text { p.v. } \int_{\mathbb{T}} \cot \left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) v(y) \frac{d y}{2 \pi}, \quad(x \in \mathbb{T}) .
$$

The Hilbert transform may be expressed as the Fourier multiplier $\mathcal{H}: e^{i n x} \mapsto-i \operatorname{sgn}(n) e^{i n x}$, where we take $\operatorname{sgn}(0)=0$. Similarly, $D$ is the Fourier multiplier $D: e^{i n x} \mapsto i n e^{i n x}$, while $|D|: e^{i n x} \mapsto|n| e^{i n x}$.
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The periodic Benjamin-Ono equation with real parameter $\beta$ is the evolution equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+\mathcal{H} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+2 \beta \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} u=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u=u(x, t): \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is twice continuously differentiable, and $\mathcal{H}$ is acting on the space variable $x$.

The Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\beta}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathcal{H} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x, t) u(x, t) \frac{d x}{2 \pi}+\frac{\beta}{3} \int_{\mathbb{T}} u(x, t)^{3} \frac{d x}{2 \pi}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

has canonical equation of motion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial H_{\beta}}{\partial u} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives rise to the periodic Benjamin-Ono equation, (1.1). Under the evolution (1.1), $H_{\beta}(u)$ is invariant with respect to time $t$, as is the $L^{2}$-norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(u)=\int_{\mathbb{T}} u(x, t)^{2} \frac{d x}{2 \pi}, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ represents the number of particles in the system.
This autonomous Hamiltonian system can be viewed as the limit of a sequence of autonomous Hamiltonian systems, whose phase spaces are modelled on the finite-dimensional vector spaces spanned by the first $M$ modes of the trigonometric basis of $L^{2}(\mathbb{T})$. For each such system, the canonical equations of motion give a system of ordinary differential equations that has a Gibbs measure which is invariant by the classical Liouville theorem. Hence it is natural to regard the limit of these finite dimensional Gibbs measures as the Gibbs measure for the Benjamin-Ono system itself. Tzvetko [32] constructed such an invariant Gibbs measure for (1.1), which is absolutely continuous with respect to the free measure for $\beta=0$, and Deng [11] proved that the initial value problem is well-posed on the support of the measure. Furthermore, the Gibbs measure is not absolutely continuous with respect to the usual Wiener loop, and does not live on $L^{2}$ itself. Deng, Tzvetkov and Visciglia [12] constructed invariant measures associated with conservation laws of (1.1).

Lebowitz, Rose and Speer [22] introduced invariant Gibbs measures for the nonlinear cubic Schrödinger equation, and proved that they can be normalised on bounded subsets of $L^{2}$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{N}=\left\{f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{T} ; \mathbb{R}): \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x)^{2} \frac{d x}{2 \pi} \leq N\right\} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

These measures determine the modified canonical ensemble. The fundamental idea is that solutions drawn from the support of the Gibbs measure should exhibit typical behaviour of solutions, which may not be exhibited by smooth or specially chosen solutions.

Bourgain [8] introduced Gibbs measures for the periodic KdV equation via random Fourier series, and the current paper follows this method. Lebowitz, Rose and Speer [22] identified two different regimes.
(i) For sufficiently small $N$, the Gibbs measure is absolutely continuous with respect to the free measure, and there is a well-posed dynamical system when the initial data lies in the support of the Gibbs measure, and the measure is invariant under the flow associated with the dynamical system.
(ii) For sufficiently large $N$, the Gibbs measure tends to concentrate on a travelling wave solution, which is given by a soliton.

Likewise, for the Benjamin-Ono equation, there are two regimes for periodic solutions.
(i) In Section 3, we work in Fourier space and obtain the Benjamin-Ono equation from a Hamiltonian system with canonical coordinates given by the Fourier coefficients. Hence the properties of the Gibbs measure are accessible by the techniques of random Fourier series. We prove that the Gibbs measure satisfies a logarithmic Sobolev inequality, and discuss further consequences of this such as transportation cost inequalities.
(ii) In Section 5, we introduce multi-soliton periodic travelling wave solutions, which are governed by a Hamiltonian in canonical coordinates in position and velocity space, rather than Fourier space. A further difference is that the multi-soliton is specified by a probability measure on the circle. In Sections 6 and 7, we analyse the $n$-solitons as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and interpret their limiting behaviour.

## 2. Definitions and notation

We introduce the phase space of Fourier variables. Throughout we shall identity a function $f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{T} ; \mathbb{R})$ with its Fourier coefficients,

$$
f(x)=\frac{a_{0}}{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(a_{j} \cos j x+b_{j} \sin j x\right) .
$$

Taking Fourier coefficients with respect to the trigonometric basis $\{1, \sqrt{2} \cos j x, \sqrt{2} \sin j x\}$, we have an isometric isomorphism, $L^{2}(\mathbb{T} ; \mathbb{R}) \sim \mathbb{R} \oplus \ell^{2}\left(\mathbb{N} ; \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 1}\right)$. With this convention we have the identifications

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \leftrightarrow 0 \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & j  \tag{2.1}\\
-j & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathcal{H} \leftrightarrow 0 \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

where these matrices are skew-symmetric and commute. We have the Poisson bracket,

$$
\{f, g\}=\sum_{j} j\left(-\frac{\partial f}{\partial b_{j}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial a_{j}}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial a_{j}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial b_{j}}\right)
$$

for infinitely differentiable functions $f, g: \ell^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that depend on only finitely many coordinates. Hence for a Hamiltonian $H\left(\left(a_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty},\left(b_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}\right)$, the canonical equations of motion are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{a}_{n}=\left\{a_{n}, H\right\}=n \frac{\partial H}{\partial b_{n}}, \quad \dot{b}_{n}=\left\{b_{n}, H\right\}=-n \frac{\partial H}{\partial a_{n}} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\sum_{n=1}^{M} n\left(a_{n}^{2}+b_{n}^{2}\right)+\frac{\beta}{3} \int_{\mathbb{T}}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{M} a_{n} \cos n x+b_{n} \sin n x\right)^{3} \frac{d x}{2 \pi}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain a finite-dimensional version of the Benjamin-Ono equation. This is consistent with the Poisson bracket used for periodic KdV and similar evolution equations [8, equation (5), p. 19].

In the following definition and later, $\sum^{\prime}$ denotes a sum where the term for index 0 is omitted.

Definition 2.1. For $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{H}^{\eta}=\left\{f(x)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{n} e^{i n x}: c_{n} \in \mathbb{C} \text { and } \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}|n|^{2 \eta}\left|c_{n}\right|^{2}<\infty\right\} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{\eta}}=\left(\sum_{n}^{\prime}|n|^{2 \eta}\left|c_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$, and let $H^{\eta}=\mathbb{C} \oplus \dot{H}^{\eta}$.
Note that the canonical inclusion map $H^{1 / 2} \rightarrow H^{-1 / 2}$ is Hilbert-Schmidt, and $H^{-1 / 2}$ is the dual space of $H^{1 / 2}$ for the pairing $\langle f, g\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x) \bar{g}(x) d x / 2 \pi$.

Definition 2.2. Let $\left(\Omega_{1}, d_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\Omega_{2}, d_{2}\right)$ be complete and separable metric spaces, and let $\mathcal{P}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ denote the set of Radon probability measures on $\left(\Omega_{1}, d_{1}\right)$ equipped with the weak topology. Let $\mathcal{P}_{2}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ be the subspace of $\mathcal{P}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ consisting of $\omega \in \mathcal{P}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ such that $\int_{\Omega_{1}} d_{1}\left(x_{0}, x\right)^{2} \omega(d x)$ is finite for some $x_{0} \in \Omega_{1}$, where $\mathcal{P}_{2}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ has the Wasserstein metric with cost function $d(x, y)^{2}$; see Definition 4.1.
(i) For continuous $\varphi: \Omega_{1} \rightarrow \Omega_{2}$ we write $\omega_{2}=\varphi_{\sharp} \omega_{1}$ for the probability measure such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{2}} f(y) \omega_{2}(d y)=\int_{\Omega_{1}} f(\varphi(x)) \omega_{1}(d x) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all compactly supported continuous functions $f: \Omega_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
(ii) We say that a function $\varphi:\left(\Omega_{1}, d_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega_{2}, d_{2}\right)$ is $\kappa$-Lipschitz for some $\kappa \geq 0$ if $d_{2}(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \leq \kappa d_{1}(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in \Omega_{1}$.
(iii) For $\Omega=\mathbb{T}$ with Lebesgue measure, we identify an absolutely continuous $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$ with its density as in $\omega(d \theta)=\rho(\theta) d \theta$, and write $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$.

We can now define the Gibbs measures which will be analysed in Section 3.

Definition 2.3. (Gibbs measure) Suppose that $\beta \in \mathbb{R}, N>0$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}$. Identifying a function $f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{T} ; \mathbb{R})$ with its Fourier coefficients as above, the probability measure $\nu_{\beta, N, M}$ on $L^{2}(\mathbb{T} ; \mathbb{R})$, with parameters $\beta, N$ and $M$, is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nu_{\beta, N, M}(d f) \\
= & \frac{\mathbf{I}_{\Omega_{N}}(f)}{Z_{\beta, N, M}} \exp \left[-\beta \int_{\mathbb{T}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{M} a_{j} \cos j x+b_{j} \sin j x\right)^{3} \frac{d x}{2 \pi}\right] \prod_{j=1}^{M} \exp \left[-\frac{j\left(a_{j}^{2}+b_{j}^{2}\right)}{2}\right] \frac{d a_{j} d b_{j}}{2 \pi j}, \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbf{I}_{\Omega_{N}}$ denotes the indicator function of $\Omega_{N}$, and $Z_{\beta, N, M}>0$ is the appropriate normalising constant. These measures are called Gibbs measure for the modified canonical ensemble for the periodic Benjamin-Ono equation.

In Sections 5 and 6 we discuss another Hamiltonian system associated with the soliton solutions of the Benjamin-Ono equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n}(p, q)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j}^{2}+\frac{k^{2}}{2} \sum_{m, \ell=1: m \neq \ell}^{n} \operatorname{cosec}^{2}\left(\frac{k\left(q_{m}-q_{\ell}\right)}{2}\right) . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathbb{D}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{D}}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z| \leq 1\}$. With $\phi(x)=-i k \cot (k x / 2)$, a particular collection of solutions of the canonical equations of motion is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d q_{\ell}}{d t}=-\phi\left(\bar{q}_{\ell}-q_{\ell}\right)-\sum_{m: m \neq \ell} \phi\left(q_{m}-q_{\ell}\right)-\sum_{m: m \neq \ell} \phi\left(\bar{q}_{m}-q_{\ell}\right), \quad(\ell=1, \ldots, n), \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the initial condition $\left(q_{j}(0)\right)_{j=1}^{n}$ gives a discrete $\omega_{n}=n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{e^{i q_{j}}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{D})$. Then there exists a solution $u_{n}(x, t)$ of the Benjamin-Ono equation such that the $\omega_{n}$ determines the initial profile $u_{n}(x, 0)$, and the pole dynamics of $u_{n}$ is determined by the ODE (2.8). The poles $e^{i q_{j}}$ are known as vortices.

The system (2.8) can itself be described as a dynamical system with Hamiltonian $E_{n, W}$, which amounts to the electrostatic energy of a collection of points in the complex plane. This $E_{n, W}$ has a Gibbs measure on phase space, which is the space of initial conditions of the dynamics of $\omega_{n}$. In Section 6 we show how $E_{n, W}$ arises from a random matrix model. Such models are often called log gas models due to the formula for the electrostatic energy. Using the techniques of random matrix theory, we obtain concentration of measure results for these Gibbs measures as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In Section 7, we suppose that $\rho_{n} \rightarrow \rho$ weakly in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$, where the density $\rho$ satisfies $Q^{\prime}=\mathcal{H} \rho$ for some $Q \in C^{2}(\mathbb{T} ; \mathbb{R})$, which is known as the scalar potential. As $n \rightarrow \infty$, the sequence of Hamiltonians $\left\{K_{n}\right\}$ converges to the Hamiltonian $K$, where

$$
K(q, \rho)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho(x)\left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial x}\right)^{2} d x+\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{3} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho(x)^{3} d x
$$

This $K$ gives an autonomous Hamiltonian system on an infinite-dimensional phase space $L^{2}(\mathbb{T}) \times L^{2}(\mathbb{T})$ and canonical variables $(\rho, q)$, for functions $q, \rho: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. This gives rise to the system of partial differential equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\rho \frac{\partial q}{\partial x}\right)=0  \tag{2.9}\\
& \frac{\partial q}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+2 \pi^{2} \rho^{2}=0 \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

which are a version of the isentropic Euler equations for a compressible gas. Here $\rho$ (assumed to lie in $L^{3}(\mathbb{T}) \cap \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$ ) represents the gas density, and equation (2.9) describes the conservation of mass. Let

$$
L^{2}(\rho)=\left\{g: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}: \int\left|g\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2} \rho\left(e^{i \theta}\right) d \theta<\infty\right\}
$$

then, with $q$ regarded as a phase variable, $u=\frac{\partial q}{\partial x}$ is the velocity with $u \in L^{2}(\rho)$. The equation (2.10) is a modified version of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation in which we regard $q$ as a phase function. One can therefore regard $\mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{T})$ as a manifold with a tangent space at $\rho_{0}$ which is modelled on $H^{-1 / 2}$. See [33, Theorem 7.26] for a related result. The significance of the pairing $\left(H^{1 / 2}, H^{-1 / 2}\right)$ is its universality, depending only on the general properties of $\rho_{0}$.

## 3. Concentration of the Gibbs measure

In this section, we prove a logarithmic Sobolev inequality for the Gibbs measure $\nu_{\beta, N, M}$. We suppress the $t$ variable, and consider the Hamiltonian $H_{\beta}(u)$ defined for $u=u(x)$. The operators $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H} D$ are not local in the sense of [5], so $\mathcal{H} D$ does not give rise to a carré du champ operator in the style of Bakry and Émery. We circumvent this problem by using the Fourier coefficients as the primary variables. The following lemma enables us to interpret the spatially periodic Benjamin-Ono equation in terms of Fourier series for $u$ in the $x$ variable. We choose $u$ in the domain of $|D|^{1 / 2}$, and we work on $\Omega_{N} \cap H^{1 / 2}$ with coordinates in Fourier transform space. We identify $H^{1 / 2}$ with a Dirichlet space of harmonic functions. For $0<r<1$, let

$$
P_{r}(\theta)=\frac{1-r^{2}}{1-2 r \cos \theta+r^{2}}=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} r^{|n|} e^{i n \theta}
$$

be the usual Poisson kernel.
Lemma 3.1. (i) The Poisson semigroup $\left(P_{e^{-t}}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ on $L^{2}(\mathbb{T})$ has generator $-|D|$, where $|D|^{1 / 2}$ has domain $H^{1 / 2}$.
(ii) Every $u \in H^{1 / 2}$ may be identified with a real harmonic function $U: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $U\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)=P_{r} u(\theta)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{H^{1 / 2}}^{2}=|U(0)|^{2}+\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{D}}\left\|\nabla U\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right\|^{2} r d r d \theta . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) For all $u \in H^{1 / 2}$, the function $e^{u}$ is integrable with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{u(\theta)} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi} \leq \frac{1}{4 \pi} \iint_{\mathbb{D}}\left\|\nabla U\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right\|^{2} r d r d \theta+\int_{\mathbb{T}} u(\theta) \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iv) Let $\varphi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be a one-to-one analytic function such that $\varphi(0)=0$. Let $u \circ \varphi \in$ $H^{1 / 2}$ denote the boundary values of the harmonic function $U\left(\varphi\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right)$. Then the Hamiltonian $H_{\beta}$ has the property that $u \mapsto H_{\beta}(u \circ \varphi)$ is continuous on $\Omega_{N} \cap H^{1 / 2}$ for the metric of $H^{1 / 2}$.

Proof. (i) Every $u \in L^{2}(\mathbb{T} ; \mathbb{R})$ can be extended to a real harmonic function $U\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)=$ $P_{r} u(\theta)=\int_{\mathbb{T}} u(\phi) P_{r}(\theta-\phi) d \phi / 2 \pi$ with $U: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $P_{r}: e^{i n \theta} \mapsto r^{|n|} e^{\text {in } \theta}$.
(ii) This follows from (i).
(iii) This is the Milin-Lebedev inequality, as in (4') from [27].
(iv) By (iii), we have $u \in L^{3}$ for all $u \in H^{1 / 2}$, so $H_{\beta}(u)$ is well defined. Then $U \circ \varphi$ : $\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is also harmonic and has boundary values $u \circ \varphi$, where

$$
\|u \circ \varphi\|_{H^{1 / 2}}^{2}=|U(0)|^{2}+\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\varphi(\mathbb{D})}\left\|\nabla U\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right\|^{2} r d r d \theta
$$

and $\varphi(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq \mathbb{D}$. By Littlewood's subordination principle, for $1<p<\infty$ there exists $C_{p}>0$ such that

$$
\|u \circ \varphi\|_{L^{p}} \leq C_{p}\|u\|_{L^{p}}
$$

for $u \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T} ; \mathbb{R})$. We can therefore write

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{\beta}(u \circ \varphi)-H_{\beta}(v \circ \varphi)= & \| \\
\quad & u \circ \varphi\left\|_{H^{1 / 2}}^{2}-\right\| v \circ \varphi \|_{H^{1 / 2}}^{2} \\
& +\frac{\beta}{3} \int_{\mathbb{T}}\left((u \circ \varphi)^{3}-(v \circ \varphi)^{3}\right) \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi} \\
\leq \| & \|\circ \varphi-v \circ \varphi\|_{H^{1 / 2}}\left(\|u \circ \varphi\|_{H^{1 / 2}}+\|v \circ \varphi\|_{H^{1 / 2}}\right) \\
& \quad+\beta\|u \circ \varphi-v \circ \varphi\|_{L^{2}}\left(\|u \circ \varphi\|_{L^{4}}+\|v \circ \varphi\|_{L^{4}}\right)^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

which by subordination is bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|u-v\|_{H^{1 / 2}}\left(\|u\|_{H^{1 / 2}}+\|v\|_{H^{1 / 2}}\right)+C_{4}^{2} \beta\|u-v\|_{L^{2}}\left(\|u\|_{L^{4}}+\|v\|_{L^{4}}\right)^{2} \\
& \quad \leq\|u-v\|_{H^{1 / 2}}\left(\|u\|_{H^{1 / 2}}+\|v\|_{H^{1 / 2}}\right)+C_{4}^{2} \beta\|u-v\|_{H^{1 / 2}}\left(\|u\|_{H^{1 / 2}}+\|v\|_{H^{1 / 2}}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

There are natural inclusion maps $\dot{H}^{1 / 2} \rightarrow L^{2} \rightarrow H^{-1 / 2}$, so $H^{-1 / 2}$ is the dual space of $\dot{H}^{1 / 2}$. Let $\mathcal{L}: H^{-1 / 2} \rightarrow H^{1 / 2}$ be the map

$$
\mathcal{L} f(x)=\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \left(\frac{1}{4 \sin ^{2}((x-y) / 2)}\right) f(y) \frac{d y}{2 \pi}+\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(y) \frac{d y}{2 \pi},
$$

which may be expressed in terms of convolution with respect to $\sum^{\prime} \frac{e^{i n \theta}}{|n|}=-\log \left(4 \sin ^{2}(\theta / 2)\right)$. Note that there is a bounded bilinear multiplication

$$
H^{1 / 2} \times H^{1 / 2} \rightarrow H^{1 / 2}: \quad(u, v) \mapsto \mathcal{L}(u v)
$$

so there exists $M_{0}>0$ such that $\|\mathcal{L}(u v)\|_{H^{1 / 2}} \leq M_{0}\|u\|_{H^{1 / 2}}\|v\|_{H^{1 / 2}}$ for all $u, v \in H^{1 / 2}$ as one checks by estimating the Fourier coefficients. We deduce that for $u \in H^{1 / 2}$, the term $\mathcal{H} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\beta u^{2}$ lies in $H^{-1 / 2}$ and hence is a distribution in the dual of $H^{1 / 2}$.

Proposition 3.2. There exists $\kappa>0$ such that if $|\beta| \sqrt{N}<1 / \kappa$ then the Hamiltonian $H_{\beta}$ defined in (1.2) is uniformly convex on $\Omega_{N}$. Furthermore $\nu_{\beta, N, M}$ satisfies the logarithmic Sobolev inequality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{N}} F(u) \log \left(\frac{F(u)^{2}}{\int F^{2} d \nu_{\beta, N, M}}\right) \nu_{\beta, N, M}(d u) \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{\Omega_{N}}\|\nabla F(u)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \nu_{\beta, N, M}(d u) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha=1-\kappa|\beta| \sqrt{N}$ and $\nabla F$ is the Fréchet derivative of $F \in C^{1}\left(\Omega_{N} ; \mathbb{R}\right)$.
Proof. With

$$
\nabla f=\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial a_{j}}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial b_{j}}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}
$$

we have the carré du champ itéré operator from [5],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{2}(\psi)=\|\operatorname{Hess}(\psi)\|_{H S}^{2}+\operatorname{Hess}\left(H_{\beta}\right)(\nabla \psi \otimes \nabla \psi)+\operatorname{Ric}_{\Omega_{N}}(\nabla \psi \otimes \nabla \psi) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To satisfy the Bakry-Émery condition [5], it suffices to produce $\alpha>0$ such that

$$
\Gamma_{2}(\psi) \geq \alpha\|\nabla \psi\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
$$

for all smooth $\psi: \Omega_{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The terms $\|\operatorname{Hess}(\psi)\|_{H S}^{2}$ and $\operatorname{Ric}_{\Omega_{N}}(\nabla \psi \otimes \nabla \psi)$ are nonnegative, so it suffices to show $\operatorname{Hess}\left(H_{\beta}\right)(\nabla \psi \otimes \nabla \psi) \geq \alpha\|\nabla \psi\|_{L^{2}}^{2}$. Let

$$
J_{1 / 2}(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos n x}{\sqrt{n}}=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i n x}}{2 \sqrt{|n|}}
$$

which is even and $2 \pi$-periodic. Standard estimates [36, II:13-11] show that $J_{1 / 2}(x)-$ $(\pi / 2 x)^{1 / 2}$ is bounded on $(0, \pi]$ and hence that $J_{1 / 2} \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T})$ for $p<2$. By Young's convolution inequality

$$
\left\|J_{1 / 2} * h\right\|_{L^{3}} \leq\left\|J_{1 / 2} * h\right\|_{L^{4}} \leq\left\|J_{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{4 / 3}}\|h\|_{L^{2}}
$$

so $h \mapsto H_{\beta}\left(J_{1 / 2} * h\right)$ is bounded on $\Omega_{N} \subset L^{2}$. Thus we regard $H_{\beta}$ as a densely defined function on $\Omega_{N}$. The Hessian is defined as a quadratic form on $H^{1 / 2}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\operatorname{Hess}\left(H_{\beta}\right)(u), h \otimes h\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathcal{H} \frac{d h}{d x}(x) h(x) \frac{d x}{2 \pi}+2 \beta \int_{\mathbb{T}} u(x) h(x)^{2} \frac{d x}{2 \pi} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\operatorname{Hess}\left(H_{\beta}\right)(u), J_{1 / 2} * h \otimes J_{1 / 2} * h\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{T}} h(x)^{2} \frac{d x}{2 \pi}+2 \beta \int_{\mathbb{T}} u(x)\left(J_{1 / 2} * h\right)(x)^{2} \frac{d x}{2 \pi}, \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \beta \int_{\mathbb{T}} u(x)\left(J_{1 / 2} * h\right)(x)^{2} \frac{d x}{2 \pi} & \geq-2|\beta|\|u\|_{L^{2}}\left\|J_{1 / 2} * h\right\|_{L^{4}}^{2} \\
& \geq-2|\beta| \sqrt{N}\left\|J_{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{4 / 3}}^{2}\|h\|_{L^{2}}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence with $\kappa=2\left\|J_{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{4 / 3}}^{2}$ and $h=|D|^{1 / 2} g$, we have

$$
\left\langle\operatorname{Hess}\left(H_{\beta}\right)(u), g \otimes g\right\rangle \geq\left(1-\kappa|\beta| N^{1 / 2}\right)\|g\|_{L^{2}}^{2} .
$$

Thus $H_{\beta}$ is uniformly convex on $\Omega_{N}$.
It now follows by the Bakry-Émery criterion that the measures $\nu_{\beta, N, M}$ satisfy the logarithmic Sobolev inequality with constant $\alpha=1-\kappa|\beta| N^{1 / 2}$.

For more general $\beta$ and $N$ we can use the Holley-Stroock Theorem to deduce a logarithmic Sobolev inequality, although with a possibly much poorer constant.

Theorem 3.3. For all $\beta, N>0$ the Gibbs measure $\nu_{\beta, N, M}$ of the periodic Benjamin-Ono equation satisfies the logarithmic Sobolev inequality (3.3) with constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2} \exp \left[-\frac{14}{3} \beta N^{3 / 2}\left(c N \beta^{2}+1\right)-\frac{N}{2}\right] \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some absolute constant $c>0$.
Proof. Rather than work directly with the Hamiltonian $H_{\beta}$ we first show a Bakry-Émery condition for a perturbed Hamiltonian of the form $H_{\beta}-W$.

We choose some integer $k$ such that $c^{2} \beta^{4} N^{2} \leq k \leq c^{2} \beta^{4} N^{2}+1$, where $c>0$ is a large constant to be chosen below. One can split any function $u(x)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{u}(n) e^{i n x}$ in $L^{2}(\mathbb{T})$ into a sum $u_{H}(x)=\sum_{n=-k}^{k} \hat{u}(n) e^{i n x}$ consisting of the low Fourier modes, and a tail $u_{T}(x)=\sum_{|n|>k} \hat{u}(n) e^{i n x}$ consisting of the high Fourier modes. This is an orthogonal decomposition so if $u \in \Omega_{N}$, then $u_{H}, u_{T} \in \Omega_{N}$ too. Furthermore, if $u \in \Omega_{N}$, the CauchySchwarz inequality applied to the Fourier coefficients of $u_{H}$ gives $\left\|u_{H}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \sqrt{2 k+1} N^{1 / 2}$.

Define the potential $W$ on $\Omega_{N}$ by

$$
W(u)=\frac{\beta}{3} \int_{\mathbb{T}} u_{H}(x)^{3} \frac{d x}{2 \pi}+\beta \int_{\mathbb{T}} u_{H}(x)^{2} u_{T}(x) \frac{d x}{2 \pi}+\beta \int_{\mathbb{T}} u_{H}(x) u_{T}(x)^{2} \frac{d x}{2 \pi}-\frac{1}{2}|\hat{u}(0)|^{2} .
$$

So we have

$$
\begin{align*}
|W(u)| & \leq \frac{\beta}{3}\left\|u_{H}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\left\|u_{H}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}+\beta\left\|u_{H}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|u_{H}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|u_{T}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\beta\left\|u_{H}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|u_{T}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{7}{3} \beta \sqrt{2 k+1} N^{3 / 2}+\frac{N}{2} \\
& \leq \frac{14}{3} \beta N^{3 / 2}\left(c \beta^{2} N+1\right)+\frac{N}{2}, \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

and so $W$ is bounded.
Consider now

$$
H_{\beta}(u)-W(u)=\frac{|\hat{u}(0)|^{2}}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}|n||\hat{u}(n)|^{2}+\frac{\beta}{3} \int_{\mathbb{T}} u_{T}(x)^{3} d x .
$$

Let $\Delta=-d^{2} / d x^{2}$, as represented by the Fourier multiplier $\left(n^{2}\right)_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$. On computing the Hessian, one finds

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Hess}\left(H_{\beta}-\right. & W)(u)(f \otimes f) \\
& =\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+}\left\{\varepsilon^{-2}\left[\left(H_{\beta}-W\right)(u+\varepsilon f)+\left(H_{\beta}-W\right)(u-\varepsilon f)-2\left(H_{\beta}-W\right)(u)\right]\right\} \\
& =|\hat{f}(0)|^{2}+\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}|n||\hat{f}(n)|^{2}+2 \beta \int_{\mathbb{T}} u_{T}(x) f_{T}(x)^{2} \frac{d x}{2 \pi}, \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where the final term in (3.9) satisfies,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|2 \beta \int_{\mathbb{T}} u_{T}(x) f_{T}(x)^{2} d x\right| & \leq 2 \beta\left\|u_{T}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\Delta^{-3 / 16} \Delta^{3 / 16} f_{T}\right\|_{L^{4}}^{2} \\
& \leq 2 \beta\left\|u_{T}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-i n x}}{|n|^{3 / 8}}\right\|_{L^{4 / 3}}^{2}\left\|\Delta^{3 / 16} f_{T}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Standard estimates [36, Section V.2] show that

$$
\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-i n x}}{|n|^{3 / 8}}=\frac{2 \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{8}\right)}{x^{5 / 8}}+O(1)
$$

and so, for some constant, $C$ we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}}\left|\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-i n x}}{|n|^{3 / 8}}\right|^{4 / 3} \frac{d x}{2 \pi} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d x}{|x|^{5 / 6}}=C_{1}
$$

say. Also, the large Fourier modes satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\Delta^{3 / 16} f_{T}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} & \leq \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-k}|n|^{3 / 4}|\hat{f}(n)|^{2}+\sum_{n=k}^{\infty}|n|^{3 / 4}|\hat{f}(n)|^{2} \\
& \leq k^{-1 / 4} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-k}|n \| \hat{f}(n)|^{2}+k^{-1 / 4} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty}|n||\hat{f}(n)|^{2} \\
& \leq k^{-1 / 4}\|f\|_{H^{1 / 2}}^{2} . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\left|2 \beta \int_{\mathbb{T}} u_{T}(x) f_{T}(x)^{2} d x\right| \leq 2 \beta \sqrt{N} C_{1}^{3 / 2} k^{-1 / 4}\|f\|_{H^{1 / 2}}^{2} \leq \frac{2 C_{1}^{3 / 2}}{\sqrt{c}}\|f\|_{H^{1 / 2}}^{2}
$$

and so

$$
\operatorname{Hess}\left(H_{\beta}-W\right)(u)(f \otimes f) \geq\left(1-\frac{2 C_{1}^{3 / 2}}{\sqrt{c}}\right)\|f\|_{H^{1 / 2}}^{2}
$$

so that $H_{\beta}-W$ satisfies the Bakry-Émery condition for suitably large $c$.
By the Holley-Stroock theorem [19, p. 1184], $H_{\beta}=\left(H_{\beta}-W\right)+W$ is a bounded perturbation of a uniformly convex potential, hence satisfies a logarithmic Sobolev inequality with constant

$$
\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2} \exp \left(-\|W\|_{L^{\infty}}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} \exp \left[-\frac{14}{3} \beta N^{3 / 2}\left(c N \beta^{2}+1\right)-\frac{N}{2}\right]
$$

## 4. Transport inequalities

Transport inequalities relate the cost of transporting a probability measure $\omega$ onto a particular reference measure $\rho_{0}$ with some functional such as the relative entropy of $\omega$ with respect to $\rho_{0}$. There are well-known connections between such inequalities and concentration of measure inequalities and logarithmic Sobolev inequalities. In this section we use the results of Section 3 to obtain a result of this type.

Definition 4.1. (Wasserstein distance) Let $(\mathcal{X}, d)$ be a compact metric space. The Wasserstein metric on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ for cost function $d(x, y)^{2}$ is

$$
W_{2}\left(\mu_{0}, \mu_{1}\right)=\inf _{\pi}\left\{\left(\iint_{\mathcal{X}^{2}} d(x, y)^{2} \pi(d x d y)\right)^{1 / 2}\right\}
$$

where the infimum is taken over all the probability measures $\pi$ on $\mathcal{X}^{2}$ that have marginals $\mu_{0}(d x)$ and $\mu_{1}(d y)$. Recall that we use the notation $\mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathcal{X})$ as shorthand for the metric space $\left(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}), W_{2}\right)$.

We introduce the following temporary definition, which we later reconcile with more standard definitions, as in (6.14) and (6.15).

Definition 4.2. We shall say that a probability density function $\rho_{0}$ on $\mathbb{T}$ satisfies the free transportation inequality if there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{2}\left(\rho, \rho_{0}\right) \leq C\left\|\rho-\rho_{0}\right\|_{H^{-1 / 2}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all probability density functions $\rho$ on $\mathbb{T}$.

Proposition 4.3. Let $\nu$ be a probability measure on $\left(\Omega_{N}, L^{2}\right)$ that is absolutely continuous with respect to $\nu_{\beta, N, M}$. Then, under the hypotheses of Theorem 3.3, $\nu_{\beta, N, M}$ satisfies the transportation cost inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{2}^{2}\left(\nu, \nu_{\beta, N, M}\right) \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{\Omega_{N}} \log \left(\frac{d \nu}{d \nu_{\beta, N, M}}\right) d \nu . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.3 by a result of Otto and Villani; see [33, p. 292].
The right-hand side of (4.2) involves the relative entropy of $\nu$ with respect to $\nu_{\beta, N, M}$. Next we consider a version of the free energy; further discussion of electrostatic energy is deferred until Section 6. For $f \in H^{-1 / 2}$ and $u \in H^{1 / 2}$ write $\langle f, u\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x) u(x) d x / 2 \pi$. Let

$$
\phi(f)=\log \int_{\Omega_{N}} \exp (\langle f, u\rangle) \nu_{\beta, N, M}(d u)-\int_{\Omega_{N}}\langle f, u\rangle \nu_{\beta, N, M}(d u), \quad\left(f \in H^{-1 / 2}\right)
$$

be the logarithmic moment generating function.
Corollary 4.4. The logarithmic moment generating function $\phi$ is a convex function on $H^{1 / 2}$ and there exists $C(\beta, N)$ such that $|\phi(f)| \leq C(\beta, N)\|f\|_{H^{-1 / 2}}^{2}$ for all $f \in H^{-1 / 2}$.

Proof. By Hölder's inequality, $f \mapsto \phi(f)$ is a convex function. Also, $u \mapsto\langle f, u\rangle$ is Lipschitz on $\Omega_{N}$ with respect to the norm of $H^{1 / 2}$, with Lipschitz constant $\|f\|_{H^{-1 / 2}}$, and mean

$$
\mu=\int_{\Omega_{N}}\langle f, u\rangle \nu_{\beta, N, M}(d u) .
$$

The logarithmic Sobolev inequality implies that $\nu_{\beta, N, M}$ satisfies a Gaussian-style concentration inequality by [34, Theorem 22.17], which in this case gives

$$
\int_{\Omega_{N}} \exp (\langle f, u\rangle) \nu_{\beta, N, M}(d u) \leq \exp \left(C(\beta, N)\|f\|_{H^{-1 / 2}}^{2}\right)
$$

for some constant $C(\beta, N)>0$ independent of $f$. We deduce that $\phi$ satisfies the concentration inequality.

## 5. Travelling wave solutions

We now contrast the solutions described by the convexity result, Proposition 3.2, with the travelling wave solution which we obtain from the Poisson kernel for $\mathbb{D}$. Let $c=$ $\left(1+r^{2}\right) /\left(1-r^{2}\right)$, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(x, t)=\frac{-1}{\beta} P_{r}(x-c t) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has $N(w)=\beta^{-2}\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(1+r^{2}\right)$, so that $N(w) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow 1-$.
Proposition 5.1. There exists $r<1$ such that the function $w$ defined in (5.1) gives a travelling wave solution of (1.1) such that $u \mapsto H_{\beta}(u)$ is not convex at $u=w$.

Proof. Let $f(\theta)=-\beta^{-1} P_{r}(\theta)$. Using the expansion $P_{r}(\theta)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} r^{|n|} e^{i n \theta}$, one can verify that

$$
\mathcal{H} f^{\prime}(\theta)=-\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n r^{n}\left(e^{i n \theta}+e^{-i n \theta}\right)=\frac{4 r^{2}-2\left(r^{2}+1\right) r \cos \theta}{\beta\left(1-2 r \cos \theta+r^{2}\right)^{2}},
$$

and consequently that $\mathcal{H} f^{\prime}(\theta)=-c f(\theta)-\beta f(\theta)^{2}$. Thus,

$$
c f^{\prime}(\theta)+\mathcal{H} f^{\prime \prime}(\theta)+2 \beta f(\theta) f^{\prime}(\theta)=0,
$$

so $w(x, t)=f(x+c t)$ gives a travelling wave solution of (1.1) with wave speed $c$. Repeating the calculation of (3.6), we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\operatorname{Hess}\left(H_{\beta}\right)(w), J_{1 / 2} * h \otimes J_{1 / 2} * h\right\rangle & =\int_{\mathbb{T}} h(\theta)^{2} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi}+2 \beta \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(\theta)\left(J_{1 / 2} * h\right)(\theta)^{2} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{T}} h(\theta)^{2} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi}-2 \int_{\mathbb{T}} P_{r}(\theta)\left(J_{1 / 2} * h\right)(\theta)^{2} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi} \\
& \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{T}} h(\theta)^{2} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi}-2\left(J_{1 / 2} * h\right)(0)^{2} \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

as $r \rightarrow 1^{-}$. Now

$$
\sup \left\{\sum_{j=-M}^{M} \frac{\hat{h}(j)}{2 \sqrt{|j|}}: \sum_{j=-M}^{M}|\hat{h}(j)|^{2} \leq 1\right\}=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{M} \frac{1}{j}\right)^{1 / 2} \rightarrow \infty
$$

as $M \rightarrow \infty$. We deduce that (5.2) is negative for suitably chosen $h$, so $\operatorname{Hess}\left(H_{\beta}\right)(w)$ is not positive semidefinite, so $u \mapsto H_{\beta}(u)$ is not convex near to $w$.

Remark 5.2. (i) Propositions 5.1 and 4.3 indicate a disjunction between the typical solutions in the support of the Gibbs measure and the travelling wave solution.
(ii) Amick and Toland [4] established that bounded and periodic solutions of BenjaminOno satisfy a rather stringent uniqueness theorem; our result does not contradict their Section 6, since $P_{r}$ is unbounded as $r \rightarrow 1-$. Let $u$ be a bounded real function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)^{2}-u(x)=\mathcal{H} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u, \quad(x \in \mathbb{R}) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $u$ extends via the Poisson kernel to a harmonic function $u(x, y)$ on $\{x+i y$ : $y \geq 0\}$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Delta u(x, y)=0 & (y>0), \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x, 0)=u(x, 0)-u(x, 0)^{2} & (x \in \mathbb{R}), \\
u(x+i y) \text { is bounded for } y>0 . &
\end{array}
$$

Then $u$ has a harmonic conjugate $v$ such that $f=u+i v$ is analytic on $\{x+i y: y \geq 0\}$, and $f(x+i y)=u(x, y)+i v(x, y)$ satisfies $u(x, y)=\operatorname{Re} f(z), f(0)=u(0,0)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d f}{d z}=\frac{i}{2}\left(f(z)^{2}+c\right) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c=u(0,0)^{2}-2 u(0,0)$ is a constant. Thus solutions to the elementary ordinary differential equation (5.4) give rise to solutions of (5.3), and hence to travelling wave solutions of Benjamin-Ono. The same (5.4) can also be viewed as a version of the complex Burgers equation.
(iii) Comparing our results with those of Benjamin [6], we find it convenient to change variables. We introduce the strip $\Sigma_{1}=\{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}: 0<\operatorname{Im} \zeta<1\}$ which is conformally equivalent to the upper half plane $\{z \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Im} z>0\}$ by $\zeta \mapsto z=e^{\pi \zeta}$. For $\Sigma_{1}$ we have the Poisson kernel

$$
P(x-\xi ; t)=\frac{\sin \pi t}{4 \pi\left[\cosh ^{2}(\pi(x-\xi) / 2)-\cos ^{2}(\pi t / 2)\right]}, \quad(\zeta=x+i t)
$$

Also, $\{z \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Im} z>0\}$ is conformally equivalent to $\mathbb{D}$ by $z \mapsto(z-i) /(z+i)$, so $\zeta \mapsto \operatorname{coth} \pi(\zeta+i / 2) / 2$ gives a conformal map $\Sigma_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$. Benjamin obtained the periodic solution $u(x, t)=f(x-c t ; p)$ where

$$
f(x ; p)=\frac{(\Delta / 2) \sinh p}{\cosh ^{2}(p / 2)-\cos ^{2}(\pi x /(2 \ell))}
$$

which is proportional to the Poisson kernel for the strip $\{x+i p: 0<x<\ell ;-\infty<p<$ $\infty\}$. The periodic and rational solutions discovered by Benjamin are related by formulas such as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\eta}{(j-x)^{2}+\eta^{2}}=\frac{\pi \sinh 2 \pi \eta}{\pi\left(\sin ^{2} \pi x+\sinh ^{2} \pi \eta\right)} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and variants of the Schwartz reflection principle.
The next step is to replace a single travelling wave solution by a solution which is the sum of waves travelling at variable speeds, namely a periodic multi-soliton solution.

Let $\left(p_{j}, q_{j}\right)$ be canonically conjugate complex variables for the Hamiltonian dynamical system with Hamiltonian $K_{n}$ given in (2.7), where $k$ is a scaling parameter. This gives rise to the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q_{j}}\right)^{2}+\frac{k^{2}}{2} \sum_{j, \ell=1: j \neq l}^{n} \operatorname{cosec}^{2}\left(\frac{k\left(q_{j}-q_{\ell}\right)}{2}\right)=0 . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

While the phase space of $K_{n}$ has dimension $2 n$, we introduce a particular subspace of solutions that has dimension less than or equal to $n$. With $\phi(x)=-i k \cot (k x / 2)$, Case
showed [10] that a particular collection of solutions of the canonical equations of motion is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d q_{\ell}}{d t}=-\phi\left(q_{\ell}-\bar{q}_{\ell}\right)-\sum_{m: m \neq \ell} \phi\left(q_{m}-q_{\ell}\right)-\sum_{m: m \neq \ell} \phi\left(q_{\ell}-\bar{q}_{m}\right) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} q_{j}}{d t^{2}}=\frac{-k^{2}}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{j}} \sum_{m, \ell=1: m \neq \ell}^{n} \operatorname{cosec}^{2}\left(\frac{k\left(q_{m}-q_{\ell}\right)}{2}\right) \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $q_{j}(0)$ have $\operatorname{Im} q_{j}(0)>0$ so that $\left(e^{i q_{1}(0)}, \ldots, e^{i q_{n}(0)}\right) \in \mathbb{D}^{n}$ gives the initial condition of the Hamiltonian dynamical system, which we express as $\omega=n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{e^{i q_{j}(t)}}$.

Definition 5.3. (Coulomb model) Consider points $e^{i z_{j}} \in \mathbb{D}$ for $j=1, \ldots, n$, which represent the positions of unit positive electrical charges, subject to a continuous electric field $W: \mathbb{C}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$; the constant $\beta$ is a scaling parameter. Then the Hamiltonian is

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{n, W}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} W\left(z_{j}, \bar{z}_{j}\right)-\beta \sum_{j=1}^{n} \log \left|\sin \left(\frac{k\left(z_{j}-\bar{z}_{j}\right)}{2}\right)\right|-\beta \sum_{j, \ell=1: j \neq \ell}^{n} \log \left|\sin \left(\frac{k\left(z_{j}-z_{\ell}\right)}{2}\right)\right| \\
&-\beta \sum_{j, \ell=1: j \neq \ell}^{n} \log \left|\sin \left(\frac{k\left(z_{j}-\bar{z}_{\ell}\right)}{2}\right)\right| \tag{5.9}
\end{align*}
$$

for the canonical variables $\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{n}$, where $z_{j}=x_{j}+i y_{j}$.

Proposition 5.4. (i) The canonical equations of $E_{n, W}$ give (5.7) when $W=0$.
(ii) Suppose $k=1$ and $Z_{n}$ is a normalising constant. Then the Gibbs measure

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{n}^{-1} \exp [- & \left.\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(W\left(z_{j}, \bar{z}_{j}\right)-\beta \log \left|\sin \left(\frac{z_{j}-\bar{z}_{j}}{2}\right)\right|\right)\right] \\
& \times \prod_{j, \ell=1: j \neq \ell}^{n}\left(\left|e^{i z_{j}}-e^{i z_{\ell}}\right|\left|e^{i z_{j}}-e^{i \bar{z}_{\ell}}\right|\left|e^{i \bar{z}_{j}}-e^{i z_{\ell}}\right|\left|e^{i \bar{z}_{j}}-e^{i \bar{z}_{\ell}}\right|\right)^{\beta / 2} \prod_{j=1}^{n} d x_{j} d y_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

is invariant under the flow generated by the canonical equations.

Proof. (i) The points $e^{i z_{j}}$ and $e^{i \bar{z}_{j}}$ are conjugate with respect to the unit circle, and for each pair of distinct vertices $j, \ell$, the configuration $e^{i z_{j}}, e^{i \bar{z}_{j}}, e^{i z_{\ell}}$ and $e^{i \bar{z}_{\ell}}$ gives 6 connecting
edges. The canonical equations are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d x_{j}}{d t}= & \frac{\partial E_{n, W}}{\partial y_{j}} \\
= & \frac{\partial W}{\partial z_{j}}\left(z_{j}, \bar{z}_{j}\right) i-\frac{\partial W}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}}\left(z_{j}, \bar{z}_{j}\right) i-\beta k \operatorname{coth}\left(k y_{j}\right) \\
& -\frac{\beta k}{4} \sum_{\ell=1: \ell \neq j}^{n} \frac{\sinh k\left(y_{j}-y_{\ell}\right)}{\sin ^{2}\left(k\left(x_{j}-x_{\ell}\right) / 2\right)+\sinh ^{2}\left(k\left(y_{j}-y_{\ell}\right) / 2\right)} \\
& -\frac{\beta k}{4} \sum_{\ell=1: \ell \neq j}^{n} \frac{\sinh k\left(y_{j}+y_{\ell}\right)}{\sin ^{2}\left(k\left(x_{j}-x_{\ell}\right) / 2\right)+\sinh ^{2}\left(k\left(y_{j}+y_{\ell}\right) / 2\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

and likewise

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{d y_{j}}{d t}= & \frac{\partial E_{n, W}}{\partial x_{j}} \\
= & \frac{\partial W}{\partial z_{j}}\left(z_{j}, \bar{z}_{j}\right)+\frac{\partial W}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}}\left(z_{j}, \bar{z}_{j}\right)-\frac{\beta k}{4} \sum_{\ell=1: \ell \neq j}^{n} \frac{\sin k\left(x_{j}-x_{\ell}\right)}{\sin ^{2}\left(k\left(x_{j}-x_{\ell}\right) / 2\right)+\sinh ^{2}\left(k\left(y_{j}-y_{\ell}\right) / 2\right)} \\
& -\frac{\beta k}{4} \sum_{\ell=1: \ell \neq j}^{n} \frac{\sin k\left(x_{j}-x_{\ell}\right)}{\sin ^{2}\left(k\left(x_{j}-x_{\ell}\right) / 2\right)+\sinh ^{2}\left(k\left(y_{j}+y_{\ell}\right) / 2\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

hence, after trigonometric reduction, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d z_{j}}{d t}=- & 2 i \frac{\partial W}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}}\left(z_{j}, \bar{z}_{j}\right)+i \beta k \cot \left(\frac{k\left(\bar{z}_{j}-z_{j}\right)}{2}\right)+\frac{i \beta k}{2} \sum_{\ell=1: \ell \neq j}^{n} \cot \left(\frac{k\left(\bar{z}_{j}-\bar{z}_{\ell}\right)}{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{i \beta k}{2} \sum_{\ell=1: \ell \neq j}^{n} \cot \left(\frac{k\left(\bar{z}_{j}-z_{\ell}\right)}{2}\right) . \tag{5.10}
\end{align*}
$$

(ii) The expression $e^{-E_{n, W}}$ gives the stated formula after some reduction. The invariance follows from Liouville's theorem.

In [2, p. 236], the authors discuss the Coulomb model and how this is related to the sine-Gordon model of quantum fields. The Benjamin-Ono equation involves $u^{3}$ in $H_{\beta}$, which is too singular for their transform method to be directly applicable; however, we can still use a version of their vortex equations. A vortex at $z \in \mathbb{C}$ is represented by the unit point mass $\delta_{z}$, and one computes $\Delta^{-1 / 2} \delta_{z}$.

The canonical equations of (5.6) give dynamics on points $q_{j} \in \mathbb{D}$ hence on the measures $\omega_{n}=n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{e^{i q_{j}(t)}}$ on $\mathbb{D}$ and $\omega_{n}^{*}=n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{e^{i \bar{q}_{j}(t)}}$ on $\{z:|z|>1\}$. The operation of the Poisson kernel $P: C(\mathbb{T} ; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(\overline{\mathbb{D}} ; \mathbb{R})$ has adjoint known as the balayage $\mathcal{S}$ : $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$, and we can extend this operation by the method of images so that $\mathcal{S}\left(\omega_{n}^{*}\right)=\mathcal{S}\left(\omega_{n}\right)$. Thus we have a map $\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T}):\left(e^{i q_{j}}\right)_{j=1}^{n} \mapsto \mathcal{S}\left(\omega_{n}\right)$.

We wish to compute $\mathcal{S}\left(\omega_{n}\right)$ and its conjugate function.

Lemma 5.5. The potential corresponding to $\mathcal{S}\left(\omega_{n}\right)$,

$$
w_{n}(x)=\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \left|e^{i x}-e^{i \phi}\right| \mathcal{S}\left(\omega_{n}\right)(\phi) d \phi
$$

evolves under the dynamics of (5.7) so that $q_{j}=\xi_{j}+i \eta_{j}$ and

$$
u(x, t)=\mathcal{H} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \omega_{n}=-\sum_{j=1}^{n} 2 P_{e^{-\eta_{j}}}\left(x-\xi_{j}\right) .
$$

Proof. We have

$$
w_{n}(x)=\int_{\mathbb{D}} \log \left|e^{i x}-z\right| \omega_{n}(d z)=\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \left|e^{i x}-e^{i \phi}\right| \mathcal{S}\left(\omega_{n}\right)(\phi) d \phi
$$

with derivative

$$
\frac{d w_{n}}{d x}=\text { p.v. } \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{2} \cot \left(\frac{x-\phi}{2}\right) \mathcal{S}\left(\omega_{n}\right)(\phi) d \phi .
$$

so that $\frac{d w_{n}}{d x}$ belongs to $L^{s}$ for $0<s<1$. We can also form $\frac{d^{2} w_{n}}{d x^{2}}$. Observe that $z \mapsto$ $\log \left(e^{i x}-z\right)$ is holomorphic for $z \in \mathbb{D}$ with real part $\log \left|e^{i x}-z\right|$. Let $q_{j}=\xi_{j}+i \eta_{j}$. Then

$$
\int \log \left|e^{i x}-e^{i \phi}\right| \mathcal{S}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{e^{i q_{j}}}\right)(d \phi)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \log \left|e^{i x}-e^{i q_{j}}\right|
$$

which has derivative

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \log \left|e^{i x}-e^{i q_{j}}\right| & =\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\sin \left(x-\xi_{j}\right)}{4 \sin ^{2}\left(\left(x-\xi_{j}\right) / 2\right)+4 \sinh ^{2}\left(\eta_{j} / 2\right)} \\
& =\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[\cot \left(\frac{x-\xi_{j}+i \eta_{j}}{2}\right)+\cot \left(\frac{x-\xi_{j}-i \eta_{j}}{2}\right)\right] . \tag{5.11}
\end{align*}
$$

We now consider $q_{j}(t)=\xi_{j}(t)+i \eta_{j}(t)$ as functions of $t$, and look at $x-q_{j}(t)$. Then the harmonic conjugate to (5.11) is

$$
\begin{align*}
u(x, t) & =\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[-i \cot \left(\frac{x-\xi_{j}-i \eta_{j}}{2}\right)+i \cot \left(\frac{x-\xi_{j}+i \eta_{j}}{2}\right)\right] \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\sinh \eta_{j}}{\sin ^{2}\left(\left(x-\xi_{j}\right) / 2\right)+\sinh ^{2}\left(\eta_{j} / 2\right)} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n} 2 P_{e^{-\eta_{j}}}\left(x-\xi_{j}\right) \tag{5.12}
\end{align*}
$$

in terms of the Poisson kernels for $\mathbb{D}$.
Proposition 5.6 (Case [10]). Let $\left(e^{i q_{j}(t)}\right)_{j=1}^{n} \in \mathbb{D}^{n}$ evolve according to the canonical equations (2.8), and suppose that $\eta_{j}(0)>0$. Then

$$
u(x, t)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{k \sinh k \eta_{j}}{\sinh ^{2}\left(k \eta_{j} / 2\right)+\sin ^{2}\left(k\left(x-\xi_{j}\right) / 2\right)}
$$

gives a solution of the Benjamin-Ono equation which is periodic in the x-variable.
Proof. See [10, p. 973] or [1, p. 203].
It follows that there is a family of multi-soliton solutions of the Benjamin-Ono equations, parameterised by the initial conditions $\{\omega+i \mathcal{H} \omega\}$, and via the map $\mathbb{D}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$ : $\left(e^{i q_{j}}\right)_{j=1}^{n} \mapsto \mathcal{S}\left(\omega_{n}\right)$, so we can use $\omega_{n} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$ as a system of parameters for the $n$-solitons. In the following two sections, we consider these solutions as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and we do this in two ways, according to the Hamiltonian system governing the $\left(e^{i q_{j}}\right)_{j=1}^{n}$. In Section 6, we consider a Hamiltonian $\tilde{E}_{n, v}$ closely related to $E_{n, W}$ and the associated Gibbs measures as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In Section 7, we show that in a suitable sense $K_{n} \rightarrow K$, where $K$ is the Hamiltonian of the isentropic Euler equations.

The soliton solutions may be expressed in terms of elliptic functions $\wp$, which are rational on the complex torus, hence are determined by the positions of their poles and zeros. In our case, $\wp$ degenerates to $\operatorname{cosec}^{2} k(x-y) / 2$, and the poles satisfy some identities which are instances of the addition rules for $\wp$; see [9]. In Section 6, we show how these solitons arise from a Coulomb gas in the plane; models of this kind have previously been found for quantum fields as in [2].

## 6. Random matrix model

In the previous section, we introduced a Hamiltonian $E_{n, W}$ and a related soliton solution of the Benjamin-Ono equation. In this section, we consider a scalar potential $v \neq 0$, and examine the properties of the Gibbs measure as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We show that the potential $v$ determines a probability measure $\nu_{U(n)}$ on the space $U(n)$ of $n \times n$ unitary matrices, and hence a probability measure $\tilde{\nu}_{U(n)}$ on the maximal torus $\mathbb{T}^{n}$. Under various conditions on $v$, we show that $\nu_{U(n)}$ and $\tilde{\nu}_{U(n)}$ satisfy concentration of measure phenomena as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Several of the arguments in this section are well known, but they are not necessarily familiar in the context of PDE, so we include them for completeness.

Given a periodic $C^{2}$ real potential function $Q\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$, we consider the energy functional

$$
E_{Q}(\omega)=\int_{\mathbb{T}} Q\left(e^{i t}\right) \omega(d t)+\iint_{\mathbb{T}^{2} \backslash \Delta} \log \frac{1}{\left|e^{i \psi}-e^{i t}\right|} \omega(d \psi) \omega(d t),
$$

where $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$ and $\Delta=\left\{\left(e^{i \psi}, e^{i t}\right): t=\psi\right\}$. Then we define the minimum energy by

$$
E_{Q}=\inf _{\omega}\left\{E_{Q}(\omega): \omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})\right\}
$$

We say that a property holds quasi-everywhere if the set on which the property does not hold has zero logarithmic capacity. By Theorem 1.3 and Remark 1.5 of [29, p. 27-28], there exists a unique probability measure $\omega_{0}$ that minimises $E_{Q}$, and for some constant
$C$ satisfies the inequality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(e^{i \theta}\right) \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \left|e^{i \theta}-e^{i \phi}\right| \omega_{0}(d \phi)+C \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

quasi-everywhere on the unit circle, with equality quasi-everywhere on the support of $\omega_{0}$. Furthermore, $\omega_{0}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure, with probability density function $\rho_{0}$. We call $Q$ the potential corresponding to the equilibrium measure $\omega_{0}$ or the equilibrium density $\rho_{0}$.

Definition 6.1. Suppose that $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$.
(i) The relative free entropy of $\omega$ with respect to $\omega_{0}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\Sigma}_{Q}(\omega)=\Sigma\left(\omega \mid \omega_{0}\right)=E_{Q}(\omega)-E_{Q} . \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) If further $\omega$ is absolutely continuous on $\mathbb{T}$ and $\omega(d \theta)=\rho(\theta) d \theta$ where $\rho, \rho_{0} \in L^{3}(\mathbb{T})$, then the relative free information of $\omega$ with respect to $\omega_{0}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{F}\left(\rho \mid \rho_{0}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{T}}\left(\mathcal{H}\left(\rho-\rho_{0}\right)(x)\right)^{2} \rho(x) d x \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly $\tilde{\Sigma}_{Q}(\omega) \geq 0$, and $\tilde{\Sigma}_{Q}(\omega)=0$ if and only if $\omega(\theta)=\rho_{0}(\theta) d \theta$ up to a set of zero capacity.

The density $\rho_{0}$ satisfies the principal value integral equation

$$
\frac{d}{d \theta} Q\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=2 \text { p.v. } \int_{\mathbb{T}} \cot \left(\frac{\theta-\psi}{2}\right) \rho_{0}(\psi) d \psi
$$

We are especially interested in the case in which there exists $\kappa>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2}}{d \theta^{2}} Q\left(e^{i \theta}\right) \geq \kappa-1 / 2, \quad\left(e^{i \theta} \in \mathbb{T}\right) \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The minimiser $\rho_{0}(\theta) d \theta$ is absolutely continuous, and the properties of $\rho_{0}$ are obtained via an approximation in which $\rho_{0}(\theta) d \theta$ is the weak limit of a convex combination of $n$ point masses as $n \rightarrow \infty$; [18]. Using $Q$, one can introduce a probability measure (6.8) on the group $U(n)$ of $n \times n$ unitary complex matrices which is invariant under unitary conjugation $X \mapsto U X U^{\dagger}$. The typical $X \in U(n)$ has eigenvalues $e^{i \theta_{1}}, \ldots, e^{i \theta_{n}}$, and empirical eigenvalue distribution $\omega_{n}=n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{e^{i \theta_{j}}}$, and as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the $\omega_{n}$ converge weakly almost surely to $\rho_{0}(\theta) d \theta$.

The statistical properties of $\omega_{n}$ for $n$ large are described by eigenvalues of matrices $X \in U(n)$, where the probability measure on $U(n)$ is given by the Haar probability measure and a scalar potential $v(x)=2 \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \left|e^{i x}-e^{i y}\right| \rho_{0}(y) d y$. There are quantitative results describing the convergence of $\omega_{n} \rightarrow \rho_{0}(\theta) d \theta$ in the weak topology.

Definition 6.2. Let $v:[0,2 \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and define $\tilde{E}_{n, v}$ as the function

$$
\tilde{E}_{n, v}\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} v\left(\theta_{j}\right)-\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{1 \leq j<k \leq n} \log \left(4 \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\theta_{k}-\theta_{j}}{2}\right)\right) .
$$

We can obtain $\tilde{E}_{n, v}$ from (5.9) by allowing $e^{i z_{j}}$ to approach the unit circle, and adjusting the scalar potential $W\left(z_{j}, \bar{z}_{j}\right)$ to remove the terms $\cot \left(k\left(\bar{z}_{j}-z_{j}\right) / 2\right)$ from (5.10). Thus we obtain a model for a Coulomb gas of $n$ atoms on the unit circle, subject to an electric field $v$. Then, taking $\Theta=\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right)$ we introduce a probability measure $\tilde{\nu}_{n}$ on $\mathbb{T}^{n}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\nu}_{n}(d \Theta) & =\tilde{Z}_{n}^{-1} e^{-n^{2} \tilde{E}_{n, v}} \frac{d \theta_{1}}{2 \pi} \cdots \frac{d \theta_{n}}{2 \pi} \\
& =\tilde{Z}_{n}^{-1} e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{n} n v\left(\theta_{j}\right)} \prod_{1 \leq j<k \leq n} 4 \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\theta_{k}-\theta_{j}}{2}\right) \frac{d \theta_{1}}{2 \pi} \cdots \frac{d \theta_{n}}{2 \pi}, \tag{6.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{Z}_{n}$ is a normalising constant. In Corollary 6.6, we will recover $\tilde{\nu}_{n}$ in the guise of $\tilde{\nu}_{U(n)}$.

In order to express $\tilde{Z}_{n}$ as a Toeplitz determinant, impose the condition $\beta=2$, and then use Andréief's Identity [14]. For $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ let $f_{j}$ and $g_{j}$ be continuous complex functions defined on $[0,2 \pi]$. Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{det}\left[\int_{[0,2 \pi]} f_{j}(x) g_{k}(x) d x\right]_{j, k=1}^{n} \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{n!} \int \cdots \int_{[0,2 \pi]^{n}} \operatorname{det}\left[f_{j}\left(x_{\ell}\right)\right]_{j, \ell=1}^{n} \operatorname{det}\left[g_{k}\left(x_{\ell}\right)\right]_{k, \ell=1}^{n} d x_{1} \ldots d x_{n} \tag{6.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 6.3. Let $v:[0,2 \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous function. Then

$$
\int \cdots \int_{[0,2 \pi]^{n}} e^{-n^{2} \tilde{E}_{n, v}} d \theta_{1} \ldots d \theta_{n}=n!\operatorname{det}\left[\int_{[0,2 \pi]} e^{i(j-k) \theta-n v(\theta)} d \theta\right]_{j, k=1}^{n}
$$

Proof. First note that $\left|e^{i \theta_{k}}-e^{i \theta_{j}}\right|^{2}=4 \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\theta_{k}-\theta_{j}}{2}\right)$, by the double angle formula. Therefore,

$$
e^{-n^{2} \tilde{E}_{n, v}}=e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{n} n v\left(\theta_{j}\right)} \prod_{1 \leq j<k \leq n}\left|e^{i \theta_{k}}-e^{i \theta_{j}}\right|^{2} .
$$

We observe that the final factor looks like a Vandermonde determinant. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int & \cdots \int_{[0,2 \pi]^{n}} e^{-n^{2} \tilde{E}_{n, v}} d \theta_{1} \ldots d \theta_{n} \\
& =\int \cdots \int_{[0,2 \pi]^{n}} \operatorname{det}\left[\operatorname{diag}\left(e^{-n v\left(\theta_{j}\right)}\right)_{j=1}^{n}\right] \operatorname{det}\left[e^{i(j-1) \theta_{\ell}}\right]_{j, \ell=1}^{n} \operatorname{det}\left[e^{-i(k-1) \theta_{\ell}}\right]_{k, \ell=1}^{n} d \theta_{1} \ldots d \theta_{n} \\
& =\int \cdots \int_{[0,2 \pi]^{n}} \operatorname{det}\left[e^{i j \theta_{\ell}}\right]_{j, \ell=1}^{n} \operatorname{det}\left[e^{-i k \theta_{\ell}-n v\left(\theta_{\ell}\right)}\right]_{k, \ell=1}^{n} d \theta_{1} \ldots d \theta_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, an application of Andréief's Identity [14] yields,

$$
\int \cdots \int_{[0,2 \pi]^{n}} e^{-n^{2} \tilde{E}_{n, v}} d \theta_{1} \ldots d \theta_{n}=n!\operatorname{det}\left[\int_{[0,2 \pi]} e^{i(j-k) \theta-n v(\theta)} d \theta\right]_{j, k=1}^{n}
$$

We can regard $\mathbb{T}^{n}$ as the maximal torus in the compact Lie group $U(n)$ of $n \times n$ unitary matrices, and recall that every $X \in U(n)$ is conjugate to some element of $\mathbb{T}^{n}$. Define the map $\Lambda: U(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{n}: X \mapsto\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right)$ where $\left(e^{i \theta_{1}}, \ldots, e^{i \theta_{n}}\right)$ are the eigenvalues of $X$ counted according to multiplicity and ordered so that $0 \leq \theta_{1} \leq \cdots \leq \theta_{n}<2 \pi$. We associate with $\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right)$ the empirical measure $n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{\theta_{j}}$, so composing these gives the map $\tilde{\Lambda}: U(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T}), X \mapsto \omega^{X}=n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{\theta_{j}}$.

Let $\mu_{n}$ be the Haar probability measure on $U(n)$, and consider the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{\beta}\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right)=\left|\prod_{1 \leq j<\ell \leq n} \sin \frac{\pi\left(\theta_{j}-\theta_{\ell}\right)}{L}\right|^{\beta} \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which we describe first in terms of random matrices, and then in (6.16) in terms of the ground state of a Schrödinger equation. First, for $\beta=2$, and $L=2 \pi$, any continuous class function $F: U(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies

$$
\int_{U(n)} F(X) \mu_{n}(d X)=\frac{2^{n(n-1)}}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{n}} F\left(e^{i \theta_{1}}, \ldots, e^{i \theta_{n}}\right) \psi_{2}\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{d \theta_{j}}{2 \pi}
$$

Thus $\Lambda: U(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{n}$ induces the probability measure on $\mathbb{T}^{n}$ with density $\psi_{2}$.
Given a continuous function $Q\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ on the unit circle, we can form $Q(X)$ for $X \in U(n)$ by matrix functional calculus, hence also trace $Q(X)$. Then we recognise (6.5) as the probability measure on $\mathbb{T}^{n}$ that is the image under $\tilde{\Lambda}$ of the probability measure

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{U(n)}(d X)=Z_{n}^{-1}(Q) \exp (-n \operatorname{trace} Q(X)) \mu_{n}(d X) \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $U(n)$ for some $Z_{n}(Q)>0$. For the Haar probability measure $\mu_{S U(n)}$ we can likewise introduce $\nu_{S U(n)}$ on $\mathbb{T}^{n}$ via $\Lambda: S U(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{n}$.

Let $\mathcal{L}^{2}(n)$ be the space $M_{n}$ of complex matrices with the normalised Hilbert-Schmidt norm $\|X\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2}(n)}=\left(\text { trace } X^{\dagger} X / n\right)^{1 / 2}$. Let $\nabla$ be the invariant gradient operator over $U(n)$, let $V(X)=n$ trace $Q(X)$ and let $\Delta$ be the invariant Laplace operator on $U(n)$. If we let $L=\Delta-\nabla V \cdot \nabla$ then the carré du champ itéré operator associated with $L$ is $\Gamma_{2}$, as in [34, p. 383], where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{2}(f)=\|\operatorname{Hess} f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2}}^{2}+(\operatorname{Ric}+\operatorname{Hess} V)(\nabla f, \nabla f) \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 6.4. (i) Suppose that $v^{\prime \prime}(\theta) \geq \kappa$ for some $\kappa>0$. Then there exists $\kappa_{1}>0$ such that $\nu_{U(n)}$ satisfies the logarithmic Sobolev inequality with constant $\kappa_{1} n$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{U(n)} F(X)^{2} \log \left(\frac{F(X)^{2}}{\int F^{2} d \nu_{U(n)}}\right) \nu_{U(n)}(d X) \leq \frac{2}{\kappa_{1} n} \int_{U(n)}\|\nabla F(X)\|^{2} \nu_{U(n)}(d X) \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all continuously differentiable $F: U(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
(ii) Suppose as in (6.4) that $v^{\prime \prime}(\theta) \geq \kappa-1 / 2$ for some $\kappa>0$. Then there exists $\kappa_{1}>0$ such that $\nu_{S U(n)}$ satisfies the logarithmic Sobolev inequality with constant $\kappa_{1} n$, so that (6.10) holds with $S U(n)$ in place of $U(n)$ for all continuously differentiable $F: S U(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. We compute the Hessian term in (6.9). The left translation operation gives a canonical means of transporting elements around $U(n)$, so we can carry out calculations of invariant operators on the identity element using the Lie algebra $M_{n}^{s a}=$ $\left\{A \in M_{n}(\mathbb{C}): A=A^{\dagger}\right\}$. Note that $M_{n}^{s a}$ is a real vector space of dimension $n^{2}$. Let $V(X)=n \operatorname{trace} Q\left(e^{i X}\right)$, where $Q\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=\sum_{j} a_{j} e^{i j \theta}$.

Fix $X, Y \in U(n)$. Let $\left(\xi_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{n}$ be an orthonormal basis in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ of eigenvectors of $X$ and let $y_{\ell, k}=\left\langle Y \xi_{k}, \xi_{\ell}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}$ for $k, \ell=1,2, \ldots, n$. Then one obtains a version of the RayleighSchrödinger formula; by applying Duhamel's formula twice, one computes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V(X+\varepsilon Y)-V(X)= \\
& n \operatorname{trace} \sum_{j} a_{j} i j \varepsilon \int_{0}^{1}\left[e^{i j(1-s) X}+\int_{0}^{1} e^{i j(1-s)(1-u)(X+\varepsilon Y)} i j \varepsilon(1-s) Y e^{i(1-s) u X} d u\right] Y e^{i s j X} d s,
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\operatorname{Hess} V, Y \otimes Y\rangle= & \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left\{\varepsilon^{-2}[V(X+\varepsilon Y)+V(X-\varepsilon Y)-2 V(X)]\right\} \\
= & n \sum_{j} \sum_{k=1}^{n}-j^{2} a_{j} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} e^{i j(1-u)(1-s) \theta_{k}+i j s \theta_{k}}\left\langle Y e^{i j u(1-s) X} Y \xi_{k}, \xi_{k}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{n}} d u d s \\
= & n \sum_{j} \sum_{k, \ell=1}^{n}-j^{2} a_{j} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} e^{i j(1-u)(1-s) \theta_{k}+i j s \theta_{k}+i j u(1-s) \theta_{m}}\left|y_{\ell, k}\right|^{2} d u d s \\
= & \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} n v^{\prime \prime}\left(\theta_{\ell}\right)\left|\left\langle Y \xi_{\ell}, \xi_{\ell}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \\
& \quad+n \sum_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq n: k \neq \ell} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{v^{\prime}\left((1-s) \theta_{\ell}+s \theta_{k}\right)-v^{\prime}\left(\theta_{k}\right)}{(1-s)\left(\theta_{\ell}-\theta_{k}\right)} d s\left|\left\langle Y \xi_{k}, \xi_{\ell}\right\rangle\right|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $v(\theta)=Q\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$.
(i) We have $\operatorname{Ric}(U(n)) \geq 0$, so that when $v^{\prime \prime} \geq \kappa>0$, there exists $\kappa_{1}>0$ such that the Bakry and Émery condition

$$
\Gamma_{2}(F) \geq \kappa_{1} n\|\nabla F\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
$$

is satisfied. The Bakry-Émery theorem leads to the logarithmic Sobolev inequality (6.10) for continuously differentiable $F: U(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as in [34, p. 547].
(ii) Gromov [16] showed that $\operatorname{Ric}(S U(n)) \geq(n / 2) I$. We deduce that when $v^{\prime \prime} \geq \kappa-1 / 2$ for some $\kappa>0$, there exists $\kappa_{1}>0$ such that the Bakry-Émery condition is satisfied. The Bakry-Émery theorem leads to a logarithmic Sobolev inequality (6.10) for continuously differentiable $F: S U(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as in [34, p. 547].

To deduce results about the eigenvalue distribution, we need two facts. The first is that for class functions, the right-hand side of (6.10) reduces to

$$
\int_{U(n)}\|\nabla F(X)\|^{2} \nu_{U(n)}(d X)=\int_{\mathbb{T}^{n}}\|\nabla F(\Theta)\|^{2} \psi_{2}(\Theta) e^{-V(\Theta)} d \Theta
$$

where $V(\Theta)=n \sum_{j=1}^{n} v\left(\theta_{j}\right)$ and $d \Theta=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(d \theta_{j} / 2 \pi\right)$. We also require the following lemma.

Lemma 6.5. The map $\tilde{\Lambda}: X \mapsto \omega^{X}$ is 1-Lipschitz $\left(U(n),\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2}(n)}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{T})$.
Proof. For $\Theta=\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{T}^{n}$ and a permutation $\sigma$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, we shall write $\Theta_{\sigma}$ for $\left(\theta_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, \theta_{\sigma(n)}\right)$. Clearly the map $\mathbb{T}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T}), \Theta \mapsto n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{\theta_{j}}$ is invariant under the action of any such $\sigma$ on $\Theta$.

By a duality argument (see $[7,18]$ ) one can show that this map is 1-Lipschitz from ( $\mathbb{T}^{n}, d_{2}$ ) to $\mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{T})$, where $d_{2}$ is the metric on $\mathbb{T}^{n}$ inherited as a subspace of $\ell^{2}(n)$.

Suppose now that $X, Y \in U(n)$. The Hoffman-Wielandt Theorem [20, p. 368] implies that there exists a permutation $\sigma$ such that $d_{2}\left(\Lambda(X), \Lambda(Y)_{\sigma}\right) \leq\|X-Y\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2}(n)}$. Putting these facts together with the above observation shows that

$$
W_{2}\left(\omega^{X}, \omega^{Y}\right) \leq\|X-Y\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2}(n)}
$$

Starting with $Q \in C^{2}(\mathbb{T} ; \mathbb{R})$, we can introduce an equilibrium density $\rho_{0} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$, and we now consider what is meant by multi-soliton solutions of the Benjamin-Ono equation with initial vortices distributed according to $\rho_{0}$. Fix $k=1$ and $\eta>0$, and suppose that $\eta_{j} \geq \eta$ for all $j=1, \ldots, n$. Define $f: \mathbb{T}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
f\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n} ; x\right)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\sinh \eta_{j}}{\sinh ^{2}\left(\eta_{j} / 2\right)+\sin ^{2}\left(\left(x-\theta_{j}\right) / 2\right)}
$$

For comparison, $n f\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n} ; x\right)$ is the expression which appears in $u(x, 0)$ from Proposition 5.6 for the initial condition for the solution to the Benjamin-Ono equation.

Corollary 6.6. (i) Let $\nu_{U(n)}$ be as in Proposition $6.4(i)$ and $\tilde{\nu}_{U(n)}$ its image under $\tilde{\Lambda}$. Then there exists an absolute constant $\kappa_{2}>0$ such that $\tilde{\nu}_{U(n)}$ satisfies a Gaussian concentration
inequality, so for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $s>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\nu}_{U(n)}\left\{\Theta \in \mathbb{T}^{n}:\left|f(\Theta)-\int f d \tilde{\nu}_{U(n)}\right| \geq \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right\} \leq 2 e^{-s^{2} / 4 \kappa_{2}} \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Let $\nu_{S U(n)}$ be as in Proposition $6.4\left(\right.$ ii) and $\tilde{\nu}_{S U(n)}$ its image under $\tilde{\Lambda}$. Then there exists an absolute constant $\kappa_{2}>0$ such that $\tilde{\nu}_{S U(n)}$ satisfies a Gaussian concentration inequality as in (6.11).

Proof. (i) By elementary estimates,

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \frac{\sinh \eta}{\sinh ^{2}(\eta / 2)+\sin ^{2}(\theta / 2)} & =\left(\frac{2 \sinh (\eta / 2) \sin (\theta / 2)}{\sinh ^{2}(\eta / 2)+\sin ^{2}(\theta / 2)}\right)\left(\frac{\cosh (\eta / 2) \cos (\theta / 2)}{\sinh ^{2}(\eta / 2)+\sin ^{2}(\theta / 2)}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{\cosh (\eta / 2)}{\sinh ^{2}(\eta / 2)} \\
& \leq \frac{4}{\left(1-e^{-\eta}\right)^{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\eta>0$. We therefore have $\|\nabla f\|_{\ell^{2}(n)} \leq 4 /\left(1-e^{-\eta}\right)^{2}$, so $f$ defines a Lipschitz function on $\mathbb{T}^{n}$. Define the class function $F: U(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $F(X)=f(\Lambda(X))$.

As in Lemma 6.5, we deduce that

$$
\left|F_{n}\left(X_{n}\right)-F_{n}\left(Y_{n}\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\left(1-e^{-\eta}\right)^{2}}\left\|X_{n}-Y_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2}(n)}, \quad\left(X_{n}, Y_{n} \in U(n)\right)
$$

By the logarithmic Sobolev inequality (6.10) with the advantageous constant $n$, we have

$$
\int_{U(n)} \exp \left(t F_{n}\left(X_{n}\right)-t \int F_{n} d \nu_{U(n)}\right) \nu_{U(n)}(d X) \leq \exp \left(\frac{\kappa_{2} t^{2}}{n}\right), \quad(t \in \mathbb{R})
$$

for some $\kappa_{2}>0$ independent of $n$, so (6.11) follows by Chebyshev's inequality.
(ii) This is similar.

Remark 6.7. Let $Q=0$ and suppose that $\eta_{j}=\eta>0$ for all $j$, and let

$$
g(\theta ; x)=\frac{\sinh \eta}{\sinh ^{2}(\eta / 2)+\sin ^{2}((x-\theta) / 2)}-2
$$

so that $\int_{0}^{2 \pi} g(\theta ; x) d \theta=0$ and $g \in H^{1 / 2}$. Then by Corollary 2.3 of [21], the random variables,

$$
\operatorname{trace} g\left(X_{n} ; x\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} g\left(\theta_{j} ; x\right)
$$

for $\left(X_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(U(n), \mu_{n}\right)$, converge in distribution to a normal random variable with mean 0 and variance $2\|g\|_{H^{1 / 2}}^{2}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Remarkably, this version of the central limit theorem does not involve any scaling constant such as $1 / \sqrt{n}$ in Corollary 6.6.

We now change perspective, and start with densities $\rho$. For every probability density function $\rho$ on $\mathbb{T}$ with $\rho \in L^{3}(\mathbb{T})$, the field

$$
Q\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=\mathcal{L} \rho(\theta)=2 \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \left|e^{i \theta}-e^{i \phi}\right| \rho(\phi) d \phi
$$

has derivative

$$
\frac{d}{d \theta} Q\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=\text { p.v. } \int_{\mathbb{T}} \cot \left(\frac{\theta-\phi}{2}\right) \rho(\phi) d \phi=\mathcal{H} \rho(\theta)
$$

so we can recover $\rho_{0}$ from $v^{\prime}(\theta)=\frac{d}{d \theta} Q\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ via this singular integral equation. This $v$ is called the scalar potential.

We return to the context of (6.1) under the condition (6.4). Suppose that the support of $\omega$ is contained in the support of $\rho_{0}$. Then by [18],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\Sigma}_{Q}(\omega)=\iint_{\mathbb{T}^{2}}\left(\omega(\theta)-\rho_{0}(\theta)\right)\left(\omega(\phi)-\rho_{0}(\phi)\right) \log \frac{1}{\left|e^{i \theta}-e^{i \phi}\right|} d \theta d \phi \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

When we operate on the probability measures with the Poisson kernel, replacing $\omega$ by $P_{r} \omega$ and $\rho_{0}$ by $P_{r} \rho_{0}$, we make the double integral on the right-hand side of (6.12) smaller.

Hiai, Petz and Ueda [18, Theorem 1.1] show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\Sigma}_{Q}(\omega)=\int_{\mathbb{T}} Q\left(e^{i t}\right) \omega(d t)+\iint_{\mathbb{T}^{2} \backslash \Delta} \log \frac{1}{\left|e^{i \psi}-e^{i t}\right|} \omega(d \psi) \omega(d t)+B(Q) \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta=\left\{(\theta, \phi) \in \mathbb{T}^{2}: \theta=\phi\right\}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(Q)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^{2}} \log \left[\int \cdots \int_{\mathbb{T}^{n}} \exp \left(-\sum_{j=1}^{n} n Q\left(e^{i \theta_{j}}\right)\right) \prod_{1 \leq j<k \leq n}\left|e^{i \theta_{k}}-e^{i \theta_{j}}\right|^{2} \frac{d \theta_{1}}{2 \pi} \cdots \frac{d \theta_{n}}{2 \pi}\right] \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The advantage of this expression over (6.2) is that (6.13) can be computed without prior knowledge of the minimiser, and the integral in (6.14) is otherwise given by the Toeplitz determinant in Proposition 6.3, which make standard asymptotic formulae available. Let $V(\theta)=Q\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$, and suppose that $V$ is $C^{2}$.

Hiai, Ueda and Petz [18] also show that the Wasserstein transportation cost function $c(\theta, \phi)=2^{-1}\left|e^{i \theta}-e^{i \phi}\right|^{2}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{2}^{2}\left(\mu, \rho_{0}\right) \leq \frac{2}{\kappa_{1}} \tilde{\Sigma}_{Q}(\mu), \quad(\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})) \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the free transportation inequality (4.1), which is sharper than the $T_{2}$ transportation inequality involving the classical relative entropy. Hiai, Petz and Ueda used the concentration of measure phenomenon to show that the empirical distributions $\omega_{n}$ converge weakly almost surely under $\tilde{\nu}_{n}$ to $\rho_{0}(\theta) d \theta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We now explain how the free measure on $H^{1 / 2}$ arises. The tangent space to $\mathbb{T}^{n}$ at $\left(e^{i \theta_{1}}, \ldots, e^{i \theta_{n}}\right)$ may be identified with $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T} ; \omega_{n}\right)$, so we can compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\operatorname{Hess} \tilde{E}_{n, V}, f \otimes g\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} V^{\prime \prime}\left(\theta_{j}\right) f\left(e^{i \theta_{j}}\right) g\left(e^{i \theta_{j}}\right)+\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{j, \ell=1: j \neq \ell}^{n} \frac{\left[f\left(e^{i \theta_{j}}\right)-f\left(e^{i \theta_{\ell}}\right)\right]\left[g\left(e^{i \theta_{j}}\right)-g\left(e^{i \theta_{\ell}}\right)\right]}{\left|e^{i \theta_{j}}-e^{i \theta_{\ell}}\right|^{2}} \\
& =\int V^{\prime \prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right) f\left(e^{i \theta}\right) g\left(e^{i \theta}\right) \omega_{n}(d \theta) \\
& \quad+\iint_{[\theta \neq \phi]} \frac{\left[f\left(e^{i \theta}\right)-f\left(e^{i \phi}\right)\right]\left[g\left(e^{i \theta}\right)-g\left(e^{i \phi}\right)\right]}{\left|e^{i \theta}-e^{i \phi}\right|^{2}} \omega_{n}(d \theta) \omega_{n}(d \phi) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $V=0$ and $\omega(d \theta)=d \theta / 2 \pi$, the final bilinear form is the inner product of $H^{1 / 2}$. Observe also that

$$
\operatorname{trace} \operatorname{Hess} \tilde{E}_{n, V}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} V^{\prime \prime}\left(\theta_{j}\right)+\frac{1}{4 n^{2}} \sum_{1 \leq j, \ell \leq n: j \neq \ell} \operatorname{cosec}^{2}\left(\frac{\theta_{j}-\theta_{\ell}}{2}\right),
$$

which resembles $K_{n}$.
While (2.7) is a classical Hamiltonian system, the corresponding quantum Hamiltonian,

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi}{\partial \theta_{j}^{2}}+\frac{\beta(\beta-1)}{4}\left[\sum_{1 \leq j<\ell \leq n} \operatorname{cosec}^{2}\left(\frac{\theta_{j}-\theta_{\ell}}{2}\right)\right] \Phi-E \Phi \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

is also integrable, and the ground state of the corresponding time-independent equation has a very special form like $\psi_{2}(\Theta)$ from (6.7). For $n \geq 5$, each summand $\operatorname{cosec}^{2}\left(\theta_{j}-\theta_{\ell}\right) / 2$ belongs to $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{n} ; \mathbb{C}\right)$, so (6.16) is densely defined. Suppose that $\Phi(\Theta)=\psi(\Theta) e^{i S(\Theta)}$ is a solution to (6.16) where $\psi, S: \mathbb{T}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then the phase satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{2} \nabla S \cdot \nabla S+\frac{\beta(\beta-1)}{4}\left[\sum_{1 \leq j<\ell \leq n} \operatorname{cosec}^{2}\left(\frac{\theta_{j}-\theta_{\ell}}{2}\right)\right]-E=0 . \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the next section, we introduce a Hamiltonian system that has canonical equations

$$
\frac{\partial q}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\frac{\kappa_{U}}{2} \rho_{t}(x)^{2}=0
$$

and we can write this in terms of the potential by $\rho=\mathcal{H} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}$. For comparison, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial q}{\partial t}+\beta\left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\mathcal{H} \frac{\partial^{2} q}{\partial x^{2}}=0 \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $u=\frac{\partial q}{\partial x}$ satisfies the Benjamin-Ono equation (1.1).

## 7. Continuous Hamiltonian systems

We proceed to show that the isentropic Euler equations arise as the limit of (2.8) and the canonical equations as $n \rightarrow \infty$, under a suitable scaling. Suppose that (2.8) is real-valued. Then, passing to the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, we can consider a PDE,

$$
\frac{\partial q}{\partial t}=\xi(q(x, t) ; t)
$$

and the evolution $q(x, 0) \mapsto q(x, t)$, with the corresponding induced map on probability measures $\rho(d y ; 0) \mapsto \rho(d y ; t)$ where

$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(y) \rho(d y ; t)=\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(q(x, t)) \rho(d x ; 0),
$$

which is the counterpart of (2.8).
Note that for any positive continuous function $\rho_{0}: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\sinh \eta}{\sinh ^{2}(\eta / 2)+\sin ^{2}((x-y) / 2)} \rho_{0}(y) d y \rightarrow \rho_{0}(x), \quad(\eta \rightarrow 0+)
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{2 n} \int_{|x-y|>1 /\left(2 n \rho_{0}(x)\right)} \operatorname{cosec}^{2}(x-y) \rho_{0}(y) d y \rightarrow 2 \rho_{0}(x)^{2}, \quad(n \rightarrow \infty) .
$$

Let $F_{n}(\lambda)=\int_{0}^{\lambda} \omega_{n}(d x)$ be the cumulative distribution function of $\omega_{n}$. Then

$$
W_{2}^{2}\left(\omega_{n}, \omega_{m}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{T}}\left|F_{n}(x)-F_{m}(x)\right|^{2} d x .
$$

We replace $\omega_{n}$ by $\tilde{\omega}_{n}=\omega_{n} * P_{1-2^{-n}}$ where $P_{r}$ is the Poisson kernel, so $\tilde{\omega}_{n}$ has cumulative distribution function $\tilde{F}_{n}$. Then $\tilde{F}_{n}$ has a strictly positive and continuous density, hence $\tilde{F}_{n}$ has continuously differentiable inverse function $\varphi_{n}$, so that $\tilde{\omega}_{n}$ is the probability measure induced from $([0,1], d x)$ by $\varphi_{n}$. Then $\tilde{F}_{n}^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{n}(x)\right) \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(x)=1$, so we can approximate

$$
\iint_{[0,2 \pi] \backslash \Delta} \operatorname{cosec}^{2}\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) \omega_{n}(d x) \omega_{n}(d y)=\iint_{[0,2 \pi] \backslash \Delta} \operatorname{cosec}^{2}\left(\frac{\varphi_{n}(x)-\varphi_{n}(y)}{2}\right) d x d y,
$$

in which $\varphi_{n}(j / n)-\varphi_{n}(\ell / n)=(j-\ell) /\left(n \tilde{F}_{n}^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{n}(\bar{x})\right)\right)$, for some $\bar{x}$ between $j / n$ and $\ell / n$.
Suppose that with $k=1 / n$, the empirical distribution $\omega_{n}^{x}=n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{k q_{j}}$ converges weakly to a probability measure with probability density function $\rho$ on the circle as $n \rightarrow$ $\infty$. By some simple estimates on the Poisson kernel, we have $W_{2}^{2}\left(P_{r} * \omega_{n}, \omega_{n}\right) \leq C \sqrt{1-r}$ for some absolute constant $C$, so with $r=1-2^{-n}$, we have $\tilde{\omega}_{n} \rightarrow \rho(x) d x$ likewise as
$n \rightarrow \infty$. Then with $q_{j} / n=\varphi_{n}(j / n)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2 n^{2}} \sum_{\ell=1: \ell \neq j}^{n} \operatorname{cosec}^{2}\left(\frac{j-\ell}{2 n \rho\left(q_{j} / n\right)}\right)= & \frac{1}{2 n^{2}} \sum_{\ell=1: \ell \neq j}^{n} \\
& \left.+\operatorname{cosec}^{2}\left(\frac{j-\ell}{2 n \rho\left(q_{j} / n\right)}\right)-\left(\frac{j-\ell}{2 n \rho\left(q_{j} / n\right)}\right)^{-2}\right] \\
= & 2 \rho\left(\frac{q_{j}}{n}\right)^{2}\left[\frac{\pi^{2}}{3}+O\left(\frac{1}{j}\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{n-j}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, n$. Thus, summing over $j$ we have

$$
\frac{1}{2 n^{3}} \sum_{j, \ell=1: \ell \neq j}^{n} \operatorname{cosec}^{2}\left(\frac{j-\ell}{2 n \rho\left(q_{j} / n\right)}\right) \rightarrow \frac{2 \pi^{2}}{3} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho(x)^{3} d x
$$

Likewise, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d q_{\ell}}{d t} & =i k \sum_{m: m \neq \ell}\left[\cot \left(\frac{k\left(q_{\ell}-q_{m}\right)}{2}\right)+\cot \left(\frac{k\left(\bar{q}_{m}-q_{\ell}\right)}{2}\right)\right]+i k \cot \left(\frac{k\left(\bar{q}_{\ell}-q_{\ell}\right)}{2}\right) \\
& =i k \sum_{m: m \neq \ell} \frac{\sin \left(k\left(\bar{q}_{m}-q_{m}\right) / 2\right)}{\sin \left(k\left(q_{\ell}-q_{m}\right) / 2\right) \sin \left(k\left(\bar{q}_{m}-q_{\ell}\right) / 2\right)}+i k \cot \left(\frac{k\left(\bar{q}_{\ell}-q_{\ell}\right)}{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and with $q_{\ell}-q_{m}=(\ell-m) / \rho\left(q_{\ell}\right)$ and $k=1 / n$ we have,

$$
\sum_{m: m \neq \ell} \frac{k^{2}}{\sin ^{2}\left(k\left(q_{\ell}-q_{m}\right) / 2\right)} \rightarrow \sum_{m: m \neq \ell} \frac{4 \rho\left(q_{\ell}\right)^{2}}{(\ell-m)^{2}}=\frac{4 \pi^{2} \rho\left(q_{\ell}\right)^{2}}{3}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We can also take $p_{\ell}=\partial q_{\ell} / \partial x$. This suggests the following definition.
Definition 7.1. For $\gamma>1$ and $\kappa_{U} \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\rho \in L^{\gamma}(\mathbb{T}) \cap \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$ and $q$ be absolutely continuous such that $\partial q / \partial x \in L^{2 \gamma /(\gamma-1)}(\mathbb{T})$. Then let $K$ be the Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(q, \rho)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho(x)\left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial x}\right)^{2} d x+\frac{\kappa_{U}}{\gamma(\gamma-1)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho(x)^{\gamma} d x \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with canonical variables the functions $q, \rho: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and Poisson bracket

$$
\int\{F, G\}(x) d x=\iint\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial q}(x) \frac{\partial G}{\partial \rho}(y)-\frac{\partial F}{\partial \rho}(x) \frac{\partial G}{\partial q}(y)\right) \delta_{0}(x-y) d x d y
$$

where $\delta_{0}$ denotes the unit point mass at 0 .
The thermodynamic variables are the velocity and density $(v, \rho)$ where $v=\partial q / \partial x$.
We interpret $\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho(x) v(x)^{2} d x$ as the kinetic energy. The Hamilton-Jacobi equation is

$$
\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho(x)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial S}{\partial \rho}\right)^{2} d x+\frac{\kappa_{U}}{\gamma(\gamma-1)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho(x)^{\gamma} d x=0
$$

The canonical equations are

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\rho  \tag{7.2}\\
v
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{cc}
v & \rho \\
\kappa_{U} \rho^{\gamma-2} & v
\end{array}\right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\rho \\
v
\end{array}\right]=0
$$

with initial data $v(0, x)$ and $\rho(0, x)$, which one recognises as Euler's equations for isentropic dynamics of a compressible gas, as in (2.9) and (2.10). The state of the isentropic gas is completely determined by $\rho$ and $v$, and thermodynamic entropy is constant in space and time. The top entry in the column vector is the conservation law, and the bottom entry is the equation of motion. Here the eigenvalues of the matrix are $\lambda_{ \pm}=v \mp \sqrt{\kappa_{U}} \rho^{(\gamma-1) / 2}$, and the Riemann invariants are

$$
r_{ \pm}=v \mp \frac{2 \sqrt{\kappa_{U}}}{\gamma-1} \rho^{(\gamma-1) / 2} .
$$

In particular the choice $\gamma=3$ corresponds to an isentropic gas with one degree of freedom, and we recover the equations (2.9) and (2.10). Taking the laws of thermodynamics into account, Selinger and Whitham [30] show that the Lagrangian corresponding to $K$ with constraints is $\int \rho^{3}$. We consider this quantity below. LeFloch and Westdickenberg [24] obtained a global existence theorem for solutions of the one-dimensional isentropic Euler equations under the hypotheses of finite mass and finite total energy.

First, we concentrate attention upon the density.
Proposition 7.2. Let $\rho_{0}, \rho_{1} \in L^{3}(\mathbb{T}) \cap \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$. Then there exists a Lipschitz continuous path $\left(\hat{\rho}_{t}\right)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ in $\mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{T})$ from $\rho_{0}$ to $\rho_{1}$, and a measurable family of functions $v_{t} \in L^{2}\left(\hat{\rho}_{t}\right)$ with antiderivatives $q_{t}=\int^{x} v_{t}$, that satisfy the continuity equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \hat{\rho}_{t}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(v_{t}(x) \hat{\rho}_{t}(x)\right)=0 \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and such that the mean of the Hamiltonian satisfies

$$
\int_{0}^{1} K\left(\hat{\rho}_{t}, q_{t}\right) d t \leq \frac{1}{2} W_{2}^{2}\left(\rho_{0}, \rho_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(U\left(\rho_{0}\right)+U\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right) .
$$

Proof. As in Theorem 13.8 of [34], Brenier showed that there exists a Lipschitz continuous path $\hat{\rho}_{t}$ in $\mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{T})$ and a measurable family of functions $v_{t} \in L^{2}\left(\hat{\rho}_{t}\right)$ such that (7.3) holds. Then

$$
W_{2}\left(\rho_{0}, \rho_{1}\right)=\inf _{v_{t}}\left\{\left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{[0,2 \pi]}\left|v_{t}(x)\right|^{2} \rho_{t}(d x) d t\right)^{1 / 2}\right\}
$$

where the infimum is taken over all paths $\left(\rho_{t}\right)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ in $\mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{T})$ and measurable families of functions $v_{t} \in L^{2}\left(\rho_{t}\right)$; the minimising family $v_{t} \in L^{2}\left(\hat{\rho}_{t}\right)$ is essentially unique. Thus $\frac{1}{2} W_{2}\left(\rho_{0}, \rho_{1}\right)^{2}$ is the minimum kinetic energy of a path that transports $\rho_{0}$ to $\rho_{1}$. We let $\varphi_{1}(x)$ be the monotonic function that satisfies $\int_{0}^{\varphi_{1}(x)} \rho_{1}(u) d u=\int_{0}^{x} \rho_{0}(u) d u$, and generally introduce $\varphi_{t}(x)=(1-t) x+t \varphi_{1}(x)$ with $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi_{t}(x)=\varphi_{1}(x)-x$; so we define $v(x)=\varphi_{1}(x)-x$ to be the constant velocity along the geodesic, and we obtain a family of probability density functions $\left(\hat{\rho}_{t}\right)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ such that $\int_{0}^{\varphi_{t}(x)} \hat{\rho}_{t}(u) d u=\int_{0}^{x} \rho_{0}(u) d u$ and

$$
W_{2}\left(\rho_{0}, \rho_{1}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{T}} v(x)^{2} \rho_{0}(x) d x .
$$

Suppose that there exists $\delta>0$ such that $\rho_{1}(x)>\delta$ for all $x$. Then $\varphi_{1}(x)$ is absolutely continuous and $\rho_{1}\left(\varphi_{1}(x)\right) \varphi_{1}^{\prime}(x)=\rho_{0}(x)$ almost surely.

In particular, when $\hat{\rho}_{t}$ is the probability density function induced from $\rho_{0}$ by the function $\varphi_{t}$, we have $\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x) \hat{\rho}_{t}(x) d x=\int_{\mathbb{T}} f\left(\varphi_{t}(x)\right) \rho_{0}(x) d x$ for all continuously differentiable functions $f$. Differentiating this identity, we obtain the continuity equation with $v(x, t)=\frac{\partial \varphi_{t}}{\partial t}(y)$ where $\varphi_{t}(y)=x$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(\varphi_{t}(x), t\right) \frac{\partial \varphi_{t}}{\partial x}(x) & =\rho(x, 0) \\
\frac{\partial \varphi_{t}}{\partial t}(x) & =v\left(\varphi_{t}(x), t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the kinetic energy satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}}|v(x, t)|^{2} \hat{\rho}(x, t) d x & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}}\left|v\left(\varphi_{t}(y), t\right)\right|^{2} \rho_{0}(y) d y \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}}\left|\frac{\partial \varphi_{t}}{\partial t}(y)\right|^{2} \rho_{0}(y) d y
\end{aligned}
$$

We introduce the internal energy density,

$$
U_{0}(\rho)=\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{3} \rho^{3}
$$

such that $U(\rho)=\int_{\mathbb{T}} U_{0}(\rho(\theta)) d \theta$ is the internal energy for a fluid with density $\rho$. Here
(i) $U_{0}(\lambda) / \lambda \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0+$;
(ii) $\lambda \mapsto \lambda U_{0}(1 / \lambda)$ is strictly convex and decreasing on ( $0, \infty$ );
(iii) $U_{0}(\lambda+\mu) \leq 4\left(U_{0}(\lambda)+U_{0}(\mu)\right)$ for all $\lambda, \mu>0$;
hence $U$ satisfies the conditions of Section 10.4.3 of [3] for an internal energy. Also, $U$ is regarded as physically realistic in gas dynamics [15]. By results of McCann discussed in [33], the internal energy is displacement convex in the sense that

$$
U\left(\hat{\rho}_{t}\right) \leq(1-t) U\left(\rho_{0}\right)+t U\left(\rho_{1}\right), \quad(t \in[0,1])
$$

hence the mean value of $U\left(\hat{\rho}_{t}\right)$ is less than or equal to the average of $U\left(\rho_{0}\right)$ and $U\left(\rho_{1}\right)$. The Hessian is computed by formula (15.7) of [34], but in our case, we have a simple formula. Indeed, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} U\left(\hat{\rho}_{t}\right) & =\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} \frac{2 \pi^{2}}{3} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \hat{\rho}_{t}(x)^{3} d x \\
& =\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} \frac{2 \pi^{2}}{3} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\rho_{0}(x)^{3}}{\varphi_{t}^{\prime}(x)^{2}} d x \\
& =4 \pi^{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho_{0}(x)^{3} \frac{\left(\varphi_{1}^{\prime}(x)-1\right)^{2}}{\left(t \varphi_{1}^{\prime}(x)+1-t\right)^{4}} d x \geq 0 \tag{7.4}
\end{align*}
$$

The continuity equation may be regarded as a variational formula for $\hat{\rho}_{t}$ when one moves along the tangent direction $v$ in the Wasserstein space.

Remark 7.3. (i) Along the optimal transport trajectories, we use the continuity equation to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Sigma\left(\hat{\rho}_{t} \mid \rho_{0}\right) & =\int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathcal{L}\left(\hat{\rho}_{t}-\rho_{0}\right) \frac{\partial \hat{\rho}_{t}}{\partial t} d x \\
& =-\int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathcal{L}\left(\hat{\rho}_{t}-\rho_{0}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(v \hat{\rho}_{t}\right) d x \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathcal{H}\left(\hat{\rho}_{t}-\rho_{0}\right)\left(v \hat{\rho}_{t}\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

so by integrating with respect to $t$ and applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Sigma\left(\rho_{1} \mid \rho_{0}\right) & \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} v(x, t)^{2} \hat{\rho}_{t}(x) d x d t\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{T}}\left(\mathcal{H}\left(\hat{\rho}_{t}-\rho_{0}\right)\right)^{2} \hat{\rho}_{t}(x) d x d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =W_{2}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{0}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{1} I_{F}\left(\hat{\rho}_{t} \mid \rho_{0}\right) d t\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{7.5}
\end{align*}
$$

which is a converse to the free transportation inequality in the style of the $H W I$ inequality proven in [23].
(ii) Let $\gamma=3$, so the canonical equations are

$$
\frac{\partial q}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\frac{\kappa_{U}}{2} \rho_{t}(x)^{2}=0
$$

and we can write this in terms of the potential by $\rho=\mathcal{H} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}$. For comparison, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial q}{\partial t}+\beta\left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\mathcal{H} \frac{\partial^{2} q}{\partial x^{2}}=0 \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $u=\frac{\partial q}{\partial x}$ satisfies the Benjamin-Ono equation (1.1).
The Hamiltonian $K$ has a quadratic form with domain in $L^{2}\left(\rho_{0}\right)$ which we now specify more precisely. Let
$\mathcal{D}^{1}\left(\rho_{0}\right)=\left\{q: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: q\right.$ is absolutely continuous, $\left.\int_{\mathbb{T}} q(x) \rho_{0}(x) d x=0, \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} \in L^{2}\left(\rho_{0}\right)\right\}$, with the norm

$$
\|q\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1}}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}}\left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial x}\right)^{2} \rho_{0}(x) d x\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

We introduce $\mathcal{D}^{-1}$ as the closure of $L^{2}(\mathbb{T})$ for the norm

$$
\|h\|_{\mathcal{D}^{-1}}=\sup \left\{\int_{\mathbb{T}} h(x) q(x) d x: q \in \mathcal{D}^{1},\|q\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1}} \leq 1\right\}
$$

We regard $\mathcal{D}^{-1}$ as the tangent space to $\mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{T})$ at $\rho_{0}$, and $\mathcal{D}^{1}$ as the cotangent space to $\mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{T})$ at $\rho_{0}$ (see [33, Theorem 7.26]). For example, given $\rho_{0} \in L^{3} \cap \mathcal{P}$, we can select $Q$
such that $\mathcal{H} Q^{\prime}=\rho_{0}$, so $Q, \mathcal{H} Q \in \mathcal{D}^{1}\left(\rho_{0}\right)$ and we can take $q_{0}=Q$ as an initial choice of the phase so that $K\left(\rho_{0}, q_{0}\right)<\infty$.

Then we consider $\left\{\left(\rho_{0}, q\right): \rho_{0} \in \mathcal{P} \cap L^{3} ; q \in \mathcal{D}^{1}\left(\rho_{0}\right)\right\}$ and map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\rho_{0}, q\right) \mapsto\left(r_{+}, r_{-}\right)=\left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial x}+\sqrt{\kappa_{U}} \rho_{0}, \frac{\partial q}{\partial x}-\sqrt{\kappa_{U}} \rho_{0}\right) \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

so $r_{ \pm} \in L^{2}\left(\rho_{0}\right)$. One can use the Riemann invariants as new variables, and obtain simple wave solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{r_{-} f\left(r_{+}\right)+r_{+} g\left(r_{-}\right)}{r_{+}+r_{-}}, \quad t=\frac{g\left(r_{-}\right)-f\left(r_{+}\right)}{2\left(r_{+}+r_{-}\right)} \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

in terms of arbitrary differentiable functions $f$ and $g$; see [31, p. 248].
The following result is largely contained in [15] and [35], and included for completeness.
Proposition 7.4. Let $\gamma=3$ and $\kappa_{U}=4 \pi^{2}$.
(i) Suppose that $\rho_{0} \in \mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{T})$ and $\rho_{0}$ is absolutely continuous with $\rho_{0} d \rho_{0} / d x \in L^{2}\left(\rho_{0}\right)$, and that $q \in \mathcal{D}^{1}\left(\rho_{0}\right)$. There exists a solution of the isentropic Euler equations with initial conditions $\left(\rho_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ where $v_{0}=\partial q / \partial x$.
(ii) Suppose further that $\rho_{0}$ is the equilibrium density of a potential $Q$ that satisfies (6.4), and let $(\rho, v)$ satisfy the Euler equations (7.2). Then

$$
\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Sigma\left(\rho_{t} \mid \rho_{0}\right)\right| \leq \frac{9 \sqrt{3}}{\pi} K
$$

and there exists $C_{\kappa}>0$ such that

$$
W_{2}^{2}\left(\rho_{t}, \rho_{0}\right) \leq C_{\kappa} K t
$$

for $t \geq 0$.
Proof. (i) By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality $\frac{d \rho_{0}^{3}}{d x}$ is integrable, so $\rho_{0}^{3}$ is bounded and hence integrable over $\mathbb{T}$ and hence $U\left(\rho_{0}\right)$ is finite. The Lagrangian form of the isentropic Euler equations is

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\varphi_{t}(x)  \tag{7.9}\\
v_{t}\left(\varphi_{t}(x)\right)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
v_{t}\left(\varphi_{t}(x)\right) \\
-\left(\rho_{t} \circ \varphi_{t}(x)\right)\left(\left(\frac{\partial \rho_{t}}{\partial x}\right) \circ \varphi_{t}(x)\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

which is a system of ordinary differential equations in $t$ for fixed $x$. Westdickenberg and Wilkening proposed a discrete approximation to this system of ODE, based upon a backward Euler method

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
X_{n+1} \\
V_{n+1}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
X_{n} \\
V_{n}
\end{array}\right]+\tau\left[\begin{array}{c}
V_{n+1} \\
-\left(\rho_{n \tau} \circ X_{n+1}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \rho_{n \tau}}{\partial x}\right) \circ X_{n+1}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Let $\tau>0$, and suppose that we have a starting position $X_{0}$, an initial density $\rho_{0}$ and velocity field $V_{0}$, so our initial data is $\left(X_{0}, \rho_{0}, V_{0}\right)$. In the notation of [33, p. 4], we write
$\varphi \sharp \rho_{0}$ for the probability density function induced from $\rho_{0}$ by $\varphi$. The particles move from $X_{0}$ to $X_{0}+\tau V_{0}$, then we select $\varphi_{\tau}$ to be the transportation map that minimises

$$
E(\varphi)=\frac{1}{2 \tau^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{T}}\left[\varphi(x)-\left(x+\tau V_{0}(x)\right)\right]^{2} \rho_{0}(x) d x+U\left(\varphi \sharp \rho_{0}\right),
$$

which exists by the convexity condition (7.4). Observe that the identity transformation $\varphi(x)=x$ gives $E(\varphi)=\frac{1}{2} \int v_{0}(x)^{2} \rho_{0}(x) d x+U\left(\rho_{0}\right)=K$, so $E\left(\varphi_{\tau}\right) \leq K$. The optimal choice $\varphi_{\tau}$ induces a new density $\rho_{\tau}=\varphi_{\tau} \sharp \rho_{0}$ from $\rho_{0}$, and gives new position $X_{n+1}=$ $\varphi_{\tau}\left(X_{n}\right)$, with which one updates the velocity to

$$
V_{1}=V_{0}-\tau\left(\rho_{\tau} \circ X_{1}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \rho_{\tau}}{\partial x} \circ X_{1}\right) .
$$

This gives the new initial data $\left(X_{1}, \rho_{\tau}, V_{1}\right)$ with which we can proceed to the next step. Gangbo and Westdickenberg [15, (3.3) and (4.26)] establish the crucial estimate

$$
E\left(\rho_{\tau}, v_{\tau}\right)+\tau^{2} 2 \pi^{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}}\left(\frac{\partial \rho_{\tau}}{\partial x}\right)^{2} \rho_{\tau}^{3}(x) d x \leq E\left(\rho_{0}, v_{0}\right)
$$

to show that the kinetic energy remains finite. Furthermore, one can use such energy estimates to show that as $\tau \rightarrow 0$, there exists a curve $t \mapsto \rho_{t} \in \mathcal{P}_{2}$ absolutely continuous with respect to Wasserstein distance, and that the continuity equation holds in the sense of distributions; see [15, Proposition 5.3].
(ii) By the continuity equation

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Sigma\left(\rho_{t} \mid \rho_{0}\right) & =\int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathcal{L}\left(\rho_{t}-\rho_{0}\right) \frac{\partial \rho_{t}}{\partial t} d x \\
& =-\int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathcal{L}\left(\rho_{t}-\rho_{0}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(v \rho_{t}\right) d x \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathcal{H}\left(\rho_{t}-\rho_{0}\right)\left(v \rho_{t}\right) d x \\
& \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} v^{2} \rho_{t} d x+\frac{1}{2 \alpha} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho_{t}\left(\mathcal{H}\left(\rho_{t}-\rho_{0}\right)\right)^{2} d x \tag{7.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where we choose $\alpha=9 \sqrt{3} /(2 \pi)$. Then by M. Riesz's theorem $\left\|\mathcal{H}\left(\rho_{t}-\rho_{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{3}} \leq 3^{3 / 2} \| \rho_{t}-$ $\rho_{0} \|_{L^{3}}$, so by Hölder's inequality we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Sigma\left(\rho_{t} \mid \rho_{0}\right)\right| & \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} v(x, t)^{2} \rho(x, t) d x+\frac{9^{2}}{2 \alpha} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho(x, t)^{3} d x+\frac{36}{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho(x, 0)^{3} d x \\
& \leq \alpha K\left(\rho_{t}, q_{t}\right)+\frac{12}{\sqrt{3} \pi} K\left(\rho_{0}, q_{0}\right) \\
& \leq 2 \alpha K\left(\rho_{0}, q_{0}\right) \tag{7.11}
\end{align*}
$$

using the fact that the Hamiltonian $K$ defined by (7.1) is autonomous, and hence invariant under the canonical flow. It now follows from the free transportation inequality (4.1) for
$\rho_{0}$ that

$$
W_{2}^{2}\left(\rho_{t}, \rho_{0}\right) \leq C_{\kappa} \Sigma\left(\rho_{t}, \rho_{0}\right) \leq C_{\kappa} K t .
$$

Remark 7.5. (i) Although the bound on $K$ ensures that the kinetic energy is finite, the velocity $v$ could be unboundedly large on sets where $\rho$ is small. Suppose however that $Q \in C^{3}$ and that there exists a $\delta>0$ such that

$$
Q^{\prime \prime}(\theta) \geq \delta-\frac{1}{2 \log 2}
$$

Then by [28, Corollary 2], the corresponding equilibrium density is continuous and satisfies $\rho_{0}(\theta)>\delta 2 \log 2$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$. Popescu [28, Theorem 4] has also obtained a version of the free Poincaré inequality

$$
\delta^{2} \iint_{\mathbb{T}^{2}}\left|\frac{f\left(e^{i \theta}\right)-f\left(e^{i \phi}\right)}{e^{i \theta}-e^{i \phi}}\right| \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi} \frac{d \phi}{2 \pi} \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}}\left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)-\int_{\mathbb{T}} f^{\prime}\left(e^{i \phi}\right) \rho_{0}\left(e^{i \phi}\right) d \phi\right|^{2} \rho_{0}(\theta) d \theta
$$

where $f^{\prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=\frac{d}{d \theta} f\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$. Hence the formal inclusion map $\mathcal{D}^{1} \rightarrow \dot{H}^{1 / 2}$ is bounded, so when we apply this to the difference of the potentials corresponding to $\rho_{\tau}$ and $\rho_{0}$, as in $f=Q_{\tau}-Q_{0}$, we deduce the free information inequality,

$$
\delta^{-2} I_{F}\left(\rho_{0} \mid \rho_{\tau}\right) \geq\left\|\rho_{0}-\rho_{\tau}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{-1 / 2}}^{2}
$$

DiPerna [13] discusses viscosity solutions of the isentropic Euler equations under the hypothesis $\rho_{0}(\theta)>\delta 2 \log 2$, and concludes that cavities do not develop in finite time in a viscous gas.
(ii) Suppose that there exists $0<\theta_{0}<\cdots<\theta_{n}<2 \pi$ and $h \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T} ; \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$
\rho_{0}(\theta)=\prod_{j=0}^{n}\left|e^{i \theta}-e^{i \theta_{j}}\right|^{1 / 2} h(\theta) .
$$

Then $\frac{d}{d \theta} \rho_{0}(\theta)^{2}$ determines an $L^{2}(\mathbb{T} ; \mathbb{R})$ function, as in Proposition 7.4.
(iii) Matytsin [25] considers the case of $\gamma=3$ and $\kappa_{U}=-1$, so that the isentropic Euler equations with negative pressure, and the wave speed in (7.7) becomes purely imaginary. In this case, the Euler equations show that $g=\rho+i v$ is a holomorphic function of $z=x+i t$, which we can regard as the complex version of $g=r_{+}$. Then Burgers equation becomes $i \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}+g \frac{\partial g}{\partial z}=0$. Matytsin shows that $g$ satisfies a Dirichlet boundary value problem on the strip $\Sigma_{1}=\{z=x+i t:-\infty<x<\infty, 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$. The Burgers equation superficially resembles (5.4), so it is not surprising that some of the solution formulae look alike. Guionnet [17] develops this theme further in the context of random matrices.
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